

d2bar	R	1.20%	0.00007	0 here
	f target	4.90%	0.00028	0 systematics3.sdc
	f RC	3.00%	0.00017	0 from F1
	A inel RC	9.04%	0.00052	0 d2_rc_sys.sdc
	F1	3.00%	0.00017	0
	Pb*Pt		0.00017	0 systematics3.sdc
	Pb*Pt __		0.00023	0 systematics3.sdc
	Q^2 depend	3.92%	0.00022	0 here
			d d2bar	0.00074
		d d2bar/d2b:	12.86%	

$\Gamma 1$	R	0.86%	0.00030	0 rss_summary12 IT51
	f target	4.90%	0.00170	0
	f RC	3.00%	0.00104	0
	A inel RC	1.17%	0.00041	0 d2_rc_sys.sdc AG75
	F1	3.00%	0.00104	0
	Pb*Pt		0.00052	0 systematics3.sdc CI-CJ45
	Pb*Pt __		0.00004	0 Fixed-fixed Fixed-data
	Q^2 depend	0.33%	0.00011	0 0.0347 0.0348
			$\delta \Gamma 1$	0.00236
		$\delta \Gamma 1 / \Gamma 1$	6.82%	

Syst. error, local integrals

	Pb*Pt	relative
Delta	0.000017	1.01%
R1350	0.000038	1.17%
R2	0.000253	1.23%
R3	0.000135	1.66%
Global	0.00052	1.50%

Duality integral (Gamma 1) errors dominated by target df, F1, fRC,
Global, Local integrals show little difference in next dominant error Pb*Pt||
(see above, from cells CV170- CW 175)
Conclusion: apply constant global relative syst. error to all data duality integrals.