




# Hypernuclei at MAMI-C and PANDA

---


- ▶ Introduction
- ▶ Hypernuclei at MAMI-C: KAOS
- ▶ The GSI project: PANDA
- ▶ Search for the  $\Xi(1860)$  in WA89

# Birth, life and death of a hypernucleus



# Reminder: momentum distributions



- ▶ semi inclusive measurements of one-nucleon knockout reactions provide information on the momentum distribution of the nucleon and hence on the nucleon wave function



$$E_x = W - T_p - T_{A-1} - E_s$$

$$p_m = q - p'$$



- ▶ Example:  $^{12}\text{C}(e, e' p)^{11}\text{B}$
- ▶ Steenhoven et al., (1988)



# Double Hypernuclei

- Multi-Hypernuclei are terra incognita, but they exist !

1963: Danysz et al.	$\Lambda\Lambda^{10}\text{Be}$
1966: Prowse	$\Lambda\Lambda^6\text{He}$
1991: KEK-E176	$\Lambda\Lambda^{13}\text{B}$ (or $\Lambda\Lambda^{10}\text{Be}$ )
2001: AGS-E906	$\Lambda\Lambda^4\text{H}$ (~15); no binding energies
2001: KEK-E373	$\Lambda\Lambda^6\text{He}$ (Nagara)
"	$\Lambda\Lambda^{10}\text{Be}$ (Demachi-Yanagi)






H. Takahashi et al., PRL 87, 212502-1  
(2001)

## 2. $(e, e'K)$ at MAMI-C

---


# MAMI-C



- ▶ Electron energy: 0.855 GeV → 1.5 GeV
- ▶ first beam end of 2005

# Existing data and experiments

- ▶ photoproduction
  - ▶ 1970: Cornell, CalTech, Bonn, DESY, Orsay
  - ▶ 2000: SAPHIR (Bonn), GRAAL (Grenoble), CLAS (Jlab, Hall B)
- ▶ electroproduction
  - ▶ 1970: Cornell, DESY, CEA
  - ▶ 2000: E93-018 (Jlab, Hall C), E98-108 (Jlab, Hall A)





- ▶ for  $Q^2 < 0.5 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$  only few precise data



# New Experimental Opportunities

- ▶ Crystal ball +TAPS (A2)
  - ▶ real photons
  - ▶ calorimetry of neutral and charged particles

- ▶ KAOS (A1)
  - ▶ virtual photons
  - ▶ high resolution spectroscopy of charged particles




(→ Volker Kochs talk)

# Hypernucleus production

- ▶ cross section for  $A(e, e' K^+)_{\Lambda} B$  in e.g. relativistic impulse approximation

$$\begin{aligned}
 ds &\propto (2p)^4 d^4(p_e + p_A - p_{e'} - p_B - p_K) \\
 &\times \frac{d^3 p_{e'} m_e}{(2p)^3 E_{e'}} \cdot \frac{d^3 p_K}{(2p)^3 E_K} \cdot \frac{d^3 p_B (2m_p)(2m_e)}{(2p)^3 \sqrt{(p_e \cdot p_A)^2 - p_e^2 p_A^2}} \\
 &\times \sum_{M_f, M_i} \left| \sum_{a, a'} \langle J_f M_f T_f N_f | C_a^\dagger C_a | J_i M_i T_i N_i \rangle \cdot \langle a' | t | a \rangle \right|^2
 \end{aligned}$$


matrix elements  $\times$  elementary operator for  $p(g, K^+)_{\Lambda}$





- ▶ key ingredient to the elementary operator  $p(\gamma, K^+)_{\Lambda}$ 
  - ▶ nucleon wave function and spectroscopic factor (e.g. from  $(e, e' p)$ )
  - ▶  $K^+$  optical potential (e.g. from  $K^+$  elastic scattering)
  - ▶  $\Lambda$  wave function
- ▶ we can map out the  $\Lambda$  wave function by varying the kaon angle

# Kinematics

- ▶ qualitative example
  - ▶  $\langle p_{K^+} \rangle = 0.8 \text{ GeV}/c$
  - ▶  $\langle p_e \rangle = 0.4 \text{ GeV}/c$
  - ▶  $\langle \theta_{K^+} \rangle = 6.8^\circ$
  - ▶ averaged over all kaon momenta




# Kinematics $e + {}^A Z \rightarrow e' + K^+ + {}^A_{\Delta} (Z - 1)$



- ▶ strong correlation between kaon and scattered electron momentum
  - ▶ large momentum acceptance for both particles
- ▶ both particles are produced at small angle
  - ▶ forward double spectrometer



# KAOS at 0°

- ▶ large count rate
- ▶ chicane for primary beam



# KaoS@MAMI

- ▶ arrival of KaoS at Mainz on 11 June 2003



# Fiberdetectors for KAOS




## ► requirements

- ▶ high count rate capability
- ▶ good position resolution (150 mm)
- ▶ timing information (needed for trigger)

## ► realization


- ▶ 0.83 mm fiber diameter
- ▶ 4 fibers=1 PMT channel
- ▶ 4000 channels
- ▶ multi-anode phototube (32 ch/tube)
- ▶ 32-ch discriminator based on double threshold discriminator chip GSI-3

## ► funded by HBFEG



# KAOS @ A1

- ▶ planing for setting up KaoS is ongoing
  - ▶ electrical power, water cooling...
  - ▶ parking position, vertical and horizontal moving
  - ▶ at 0°: chicane for beam dump (**background!**)
  - ▶ trigger
  - ▶ ...






## 3. The GSI Future Project


# The GSI Future Project

- ▶ origin of confinement ?
- ▶ hierarchy of quark masses ?
- ▶ atomic nucleus and nuclear matter as quark-gluon systems ?




# Antiproton Storage Ring

- ▶ Antiproton momentum 1.5 – 15 GeV/c
- ▶ Luminosity  $2 \cdot 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$
- ▶ beam diameter 10-100 $\mu\text{m}$
- ▶  $\Delta p/p = 10^{-4} \dots 3 \cdot 10^{-5}$



# The PANDA Project

- ▶ Anti-proton storage ring HESR
  - ▶ internal target
- ▶ Physics program
  - ▶ quark-quark interaction  
⇒ charmonium spectroscopy
  - ▶ gluonische degrees of freedom  
⇒ glueballs, hybrids
  - ▶ hadrons in nuclear matter  
⇒ mesons in nuclei  
⇒ Hyperkerne
- ▶ Far future
  - ▶ CP violation?
  - ▶ inverse DVCS ?



# Double hypernuclei: what is known?

$$B_{\Lambda\Lambda}({}_{\Lambda\Lambda}^AZ) = B_{\Lambda}({}_{\Lambda\Lambda}^AZ) + B_{\Lambda}({}_{\Lambda}^{A-1}Z)$$

$$\Delta B_{\Lambda\Lambda}({}_{\Lambda\Lambda}^AZ) = B_{\Lambda}({}_{\Lambda\Lambda}^AZ) - B_{\Lambda}({}_{\Lambda}^{A-1}Z)$$


Hyperkern	$B_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ (MeV)	$\Delta B_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ (MeV)	
${}_{\Lambda\Lambda}^6\text{He}$	$10.9 \pm 0.5$	$4.7 \pm 0.6$	Prowse (1966)
${}_{\Lambda\Lambda}^6\text{He}$	$7.25 \pm 0.19^{+0.18}_{-0.11}$	$1.01 \pm 0.20^{+0.18}_{-0.11}$	KEK-E373 (2001)
${}_{\Lambda\Lambda}^{10}\text{Be}$	$17.7 \pm 0.4$	$4.3 \pm 0.4$	Danysz (1963)
${}_{\Lambda\Lambda}^{10}\text{Be}$	$8.5 \pm 0.7$	$-4.9 \pm 0.7$	KEK-E176 (1991)
${}_{\Lambda\Lambda}^{13}\text{B}$	$27.6 \pm 0.7$	$4.8 \pm 0.7$	KEK-E176 (1991)
${}_{\Lambda\Lambda}^{10}\text{Be}$	$12.33^{+0.35}_{-0.21}$		KEK-E373 (2001, unpublished)

same event


- ▶ Interpreting  $\Delta B_{\Lambda\Lambda}$  as  $\Lambda\Lambda$  bond energy one has to consider e.g.
  - ▶ dynamical change of the core nucleus
  - ▶  $\Lambda N$  spin-spin interaction for non-zero spin of core
  - ▶ excited states possible ...
- ▶ if  $\Lambda\Lambda$ - or intermediate  $\Lambda$ -nuclei are produced in excited states
  - ▶ Q-value difficult to determine (particularly for heavy nuclei)
  - ▶ nuclear fragments difficult to identify with usual emulsion technique
- ▶ new concept required  $\Rightarrow$   $\gamma$ -spectroscopy!

# Production of $\Lambda$ -Hyper nuclei

- ▶  $\Xi^-$  conversion in  $2\Lambda$



- ▶  $\Xi^-$  production



- ▶ needs  $K^-$  beam ( $c \cdot \tau = 3.7\text{cm}$ )



- ▶ recoil momentum  $> 460\text{ MeV}/c$

- ▶ KEK-E176:  $10^2$  stopped  $\Xi$

- ▶ E373:  $10^3$  stopped  $\Xi$


- ▶ AGS-E885:  $10^4$  stopped  $\Xi$

- ▶ at HESR




- ▶ few times  $10^5$  stopped  $\Xi$  per day

- ▶  $\gamma$ -spectroscopy possible




# Production of Double Hypernuclei



# General Idea


- ▶ Use  $p\bar{p}$  Interaction to produce a hyperon "beam" ( $t \sim 10^{-10}$  s) which is tagged by the antihyperon or its decay products





# $\Xi^-$ properties



- ▶  $\Xi^-$  mean lifetime 0.164 ns



- ▶ minimal distance production  $\Rightarrow$  capture
- ▶ initial momentum 100-500 MeV/c  $\Rightarrow$  range  $\sim$  few g/cm<sup>2</sup>

# $\Xi^-$ capture

- ▶  $\Xi^-$ -atoms: x-rays
- ▶ conversion
  - ▶  $\Xi^-(dss) p(uud) \Rightarrow \Lambda(uds) \Lambda(uds)$
  - ▶  $\Delta Q = 28 \text{ MeV}$
- ▶ Conversion probability approximately 5-10%



# Expected Count Rate

▶ Ingredients (golden events:  $\Xi^+$  trigger)

- ▶ luminosity  $2 \cdot 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$
- ▶  $\Xi^+\Xi^-$  cross section 2mb for pp P 700 Hz
- ▶  $p(100\text{-}500 \text{ MeV}/c)$   $p_{500} \approx 0.0005$
- ▶  $\Xi^+$  reconstruction probability 0.5
- ▶ stopping and capture probability  $p_{\text{CAP}} \approx 0.20$
- ▶ total captured  $\Xi^-$  P 3000 / day
  
- ▶  $\Xi^-$  to  $\Lambda\Lambda$ -nucleus conversion probability  $p_{\Lambda\Lambda} \approx 0.05$
- ▶ total  $\Lambda\Lambda$  hyper nucleus production P 4500 / month
  
- ▶ gamma emission/event,  $p_\gamma \approx 0.5$
- ▶  $\gamma$ -ray peak efficiency  $p_{\text{GE}} \approx 0.1$


- ▶ ~ 7/day „golden“  $\gamma$ -ray events
- ▶ ~ several 100/day with KK trigger

high resolution  $\gamma$ -spectroscopy of double hypernuclei will be feasible

# Competition

experiment	reaction	device	beam/ target	status
BNL-AGS E885	$(\Xi^-, {}^{12}\text{C}) \rightarrow {}^{12}\text{B} + n$ LL	neutron detector arrays	K <sup>-</sup> beam, diamond target	20000 stopped $\Xi^-$
BNL-AGS E906	$2\pi$ decays	Cylindrical Detector System	K <sup>-</sup> beam line	few tens $2\pi$ decays of ${}^4_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{H}$
KEK-PS E373	$(\text{K}^-, \text{K}^+)\Xi$	emulsion	$(\text{K}^-, \text{K}^+)$	several hundreds stopped $\Xi^-$
facility	reaction	device	beam/ target	Captured X <sup>-</sup> per day
JHF	$(\text{K}^-, \text{K}^+)\Xi$	spectrometer, $\Delta\Omega$ =30 msr	$8 \cdot 10^6/\text{sec}$ 5 cm ${}^{12}\text{C}$	<7000
cold anti- protons	$p \bar{p} \rightarrow \text{K}^* \bar{\text{K}}^*$ $\bar{\text{K}}^* \text{N} \rightarrow \text{X K}$	vertex detector	$10^6$ stopped $\bar{p}$ per sec	2000
GSI-HESR	$p \bar{p} \rightarrow \Xi \Xi$	vertex detector + $\gamma$ -spectrometer	$L=2 \cdot 10^{32}$ , thin target, production vertex $\neq$ decay vertex	3000 „golden events“ ~ 100000 KK trigger  (numbers incl. trigger)

# Production of $\Omega$ -Atoms



# Fundamental Properties of Baryons


- ▶ Contributions to intrinsic quadrupole moment of baryons
  - ▶ One-gluon exchange
  - ▶ Meson exchange

$$Q_i = \int d^3r r r(r)(3z^2 - r^2)$$


- ▶  $J=1/2$  baryons have no spectroscopic quadrupole moment

$$Q_s \propto (3J_z^2 - J(J+1)) \xrightarrow[J_z=1/2]{J=1/2} 0$$

- ▶  $\Omega^-$  Baryon:
  - ▶  $J=3/2$
  - ▶ long mean lifetime  $0.82 \cdot 10^{-10}$  s
  - ▶ only one-gluon contributions to quadrupole moment  
(A.J. Buchmann Z. Naturforsch. 52 (1997) 877-940)



# A very strange Atom



- ▶  $\Omega$  atoms by  $\Omega\bar{\Omega}$  production
- ▶ hyperfine splitting in  $\Omega$ -atom  
 $\Rightarrow$  electric quadrupole moment of  $\Omega$

spin-orbit  $\Delta E_{ls} \sim (aZ)^4 I \cdot m_{\Omega}$

quadrupole  $\Delta E_{\theta} \sim (aZ)^4 Q m_{\Omega}^3$

- ▶ prediction  $Q_{\Omega} = (0 - 3.1) 10^{-2} \text{ fm}^2$
- ▶  $E(n=11, l=10 \rightarrow n=10, l=9) \sim 520 \text{ keV}$ 
  - ▶ calibration with 511keV line!
- ▶  $\Delta E_{\theta} \sim$  few tenth of keV for Pb

close to  
511keV



R.M. Sternheimer, M. Goldhaber  
PRA 8, 2207 (1973)

M.M. Giannini, M.I. Krivoruchenko  
Phys. Lett. B 291, 329 (1992)

with high resolution  $\gamma$ -spectroscopy difficult  
but feasible if statistics sufficient

# The PANDA Detector

- ▶ hermetic ( $4\pi$ )
- ▶ high rate
- ▶ PID ( $\gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\pi$ ,  $K$ ,  $p$ )
- ▶ trigger ( $e$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $K$ ,  $D$ ,  $\Delta$ )
- ▶ compact (€)
- ▶ modular



- ▶ Solid state-micro-tracker
  - ▶ thickness ~ 3 cm
- ▶ High rate germanium detector



## 4. E(1860) search in WA89

# NA49: $\Xi^-$ Pentaquark

## Observation of an Exotic $S = -2$ , $Q = -2$ Baryon Resonance in Proton-Proton Collisions at the CERN SPS

C. Alt,<sup>9</sup> T. Anticic,<sup>20</sup> B. Baatar,<sup>8</sup> D. Barna,<sup>4</sup> J. Bartke,<sup>6</sup> M. Behler,<sup>13</sup> L. Betev,<sup>10,9</sup> H. Bialkowska,<sup>18</sup> A. Billmeier,<sup>9</sup> C. Blume,<sup>7,9</sup> B. Boimska,<sup>18</sup> M. Botje,<sup>1</sup> J. Bracinik,<sup>3</sup> R. Bramm,<sup>9</sup> R. Brun,<sup>10</sup> P. Bunčić,<sup>9,10</sup> V. Cerny,<sup>3</sup> P. Christakoglou,<sup>2</sup> O. Chvala,<sup>15</sup> J.G. Cramer,<sup>16</sup> P. Csató,<sup>4</sup> N. Darmanov,<sup>17</sup> A. Dimitrov,<sup>17</sup> P. Dinkelaker,<sup>9</sup> V. Eckardt,<sup>14</sup> G. Farantatos,<sup>2</sup> P. Filip,<sup>14</sup> D. Flierl,<sup>9</sup> Z. Fodor,<sup>4</sup> P. Foka,<sup>7</sup> P. Freund,<sup>14</sup> V. Friese,<sup>7,13</sup> J. Gál,<sup>4</sup> M. Gaździcki,<sup>9</sup> G. Georgopoulos,<sup>2</sup> E. Gładysz,<sup>6</sup> S. Hegyi,<sup>4</sup> C. Höhne,<sup>13</sup> K. Kadija,<sup>20</sup> A. Karev,<sup>14</sup> S. Kniege,<sup>9</sup> V.I. Kolesnikov,<sup>8</sup> T. Kollegger,<sup>9</sup> R. Korus,<sup>12</sup> M. Kowalski,<sup>6</sup> I. Kraus,<sup>7</sup> M. Kreps,<sup>3</sup> M. van Leeuwen,<sup>1</sup> P. Lévai,<sup>4</sup> L. Litov,<sup>17</sup> M. Makariev,<sup>17</sup> A.I. Malakhov,<sup>8</sup> C. Markert,<sup>7</sup> M. Mateev,<sup>17</sup> B.W. Mayes,<sup>11</sup> G.L. Melkumov,<sup>8</sup> C. Meurer,<sup>9</sup> A. Mischke,<sup>7</sup> M. Mitrovski,<sup>9</sup> J. Molnár,<sup>4</sup> St. Mrówczyński,<sup>12</sup> G. Páll, <sup>4</sup> A.D. Panagiotou,<sup>2</sup> D. Panayotov,<sup>17</sup> K. Perl,<sup>19</sup> A. Petridis,<sup>2</sup> M. Pikna,<sup>3</sup> L. Pinsky,<sup>11</sup> F. Pühlhofer,<sup>13</sup> J.G. Reid,<sup>16</sup> R. Renfordt,<sup>9</sup> W. Retyk,<sup>19</sup> C. Roland,<sup>5</sup> G. Roland,<sup>5</sup> M. Rybczyński,<sup>12</sup> A. Rybicki,<sup>6,10</sup> A. Sandoval,<sup>7</sup> H. Sann,<sup>7,\*</sup> N. Schmitz,<sup>14</sup> P. Seyboth,<sup>14</sup> F. Siklér,<sup>4</sup> B. Sitar,<sup>3</sup> E. Skrzypczak,<sup>19</sup> G. Stefanek,<sup>12</sup> R. Stock,<sup>9</sup> H. Ströbele,<sup>9</sup> T. Susa,<sup>20</sup> I. Szentpétery,<sup>4</sup> J. Sziklai,<sup>4</sup> T.A. Trainor,<sup>16</sup> D. Varga,<sup>4</sup> M. Vassiliou,<sup>2</sup> G.I. Veres,<sup>4,5</sup> G. Vesztergombi,<sup>4</sup> D. Vranić,<sup>7</sup> A. Wetzler,<sup>9</sup> Z. Włodarczyk,<sup>12</sup> I.K. Yoo,<sup>7</sup> J. Zaranek,<sup>9</sup> and J. Zimányi<sup>4</sup>

(NA49 Collaboration)

<sup>1</sup>NIKHEF, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Athens, Athens, Greece.

<sup>3</sup>Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia.

<sup>4</sup>KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary.

<sup>5</sup>MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA.

<sup>6</sup>Institute of Nuclear Physics, Cracow, Poland.

<sup>7</sup>Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung (GSI), Darmstadt, Germany.

<sup>8</sup>Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.

<sup>9</sup>Fachbereich Physik der Universität, Frankfurt, Germany.

<sup>10</sup>CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.

<sup>11</sup>University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA.

<sup>12</sup>Świętokrzyska Academy, Kielce, Poland.


<sup>13</sup>Fachbereich Physik der Universität, Marburg, Germany.

<sup>14</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Munich, Germany.


<sup>15</sup>Institute of Particle and Nuclear Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.

# $\Xi^-$ in NA49

- ▶ distance between primary and secondary vertex 12cm
- ▶ additional cuts on track impact position and angle
- ▶ 1640  $\Xi^-$  events
- ▶ 551 anti- $\Xi^+$  events



- ▶  $\Xi^-$  combined with primary  $\pi^-$
- ▶  $\theta(\pi^-, \Xi^-) > 4.4^\circ$
- ▶  $p(\pi^+) > 3 \text{ GeV}/c$
- ▶ ...several additional cuts



# The WA89 Experiment

- ▶  $\Sigma^-$  and  $\pi^-$  beam of 340 GeV/c, n-beam of 260 GeV/c
- ▶ 1993, 1994 data taking
- ▶  $4 \cdot 10^8$  interactions

**WA89**  
Hyperon beam  
1993 layout



TRD: beam identification

Si- $\mu$ -strip vertex near target

MWPC: tracking


RICH:  $\pi/K$  separation

Calorimeter: e,  $\gamma$ , n




# Cross sections

- ▶ more than 20 different strange hadrons are analyzed under identical conditions
- ▶ typical statistical distribution with slope  $\sim 150$  MeV




# $\Xi^-$ Mass Spectrum

▶  $\Xi^- \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^-$   
 $\hat{E} \rightarrow p \pi^-$



# $\Xi^{*0}(1690) \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$



▶  $M(\Xi^{*0}) = 1685 \pm 4 \text{ MeV}/c^2$

▶  $\Gamma = 10 \pm 6 \text{ MeV}/c^2$

▶  $\sigma \cdot \text{BR} = 6.8 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{b}$



▶  $M(\Xi^{*-}) - M(\Xi^{*0}) =$

▶  $\Delta(\Xi_{1690}) = 6 \pm 5 \text{ MeV}/c^2$

▶  $\Delta(\Xi_{1530}) = 6.4 \pm 0.6 \text{ MeV}/c^2$

▶  $\Delta(\Xi_{1320}) = 3.2 \pm 0.6 \text{ MeV}/c^2$

Euro. Phys. J. C 5, 621 (1998)





# $\Xi^*$ (1820) and $\Xi^*$ (1960) Production

►  $\Xi^{*-} \rightarrow \Xi^{*0}(1530) \pi^-$

Eur. Phys. J. C11, 271 (1999)


$\hat{E} \Xi^- \pi^+$


$\hat{E} \Lambda^0 \pi$

$\hat{E} \rho \pi$

$\Xi^-(1820)$

$\Xi^-(1960?)$





- ▶ further cuts on  $p_t$ ,  $x_F$ ,  $\theta_{cm}$
- ▶ additional (justified) cuts?
- ▶ ...more to come

# Summary

---

- ▶ Hypernuclear Physics is getting mature after 50 years
- ▶ MAMI-C is on track
- ▶ PANDA and HESR will enable high statistics double hypernuclear physics
  - ▶ many questions to answer, many problems to solve
  - ▶ comments, suggestions, help... are very welcome

See you all  
in Mainz  
2006



