TEST OF 4'X8' SANDWICH PANEL. PREPRODUCTION SAMPLE OF FRONT PLATE FOR A SHOWER COUNTER.

WALTER TUZEL MARCH 23, 1993

1 Introduction

This report describes deflection and strength tests that were performed on a 4'x8' composite structure. This composite sandwich is a test panel for the front face of the forward calorimeter of the CLAS detector.

2 Description of the test samples and test setup

The composite structure consisted of a 3" thick structural foam sandwiched between .075" thick stainless steel (type 304) skins. One stainless skin was cut apart and welded to simulate the weld seams on the final product.

The skins were bonded to the foam using Hysol EA939 epoxy. The structural foam, type FR-3700 (density 15lb/cuft), was manufactured by General Plastic MFG Co.

The stainless skin was thoroughly cleaned with trichlorethane just prior to bonding.

We employed the following test setup.

The sandwich was simply supported at the ends of two I-beams. The load was applied uniformly over the entire surface. Deflection of the central region was measured with a height transducer attached to an I-beam spanning the composite structure.

The panel was uniformly loaded to 10,400 lbs. This load introduced a stress in the center of the panel 1.5 times higher than maximum expected in the

final product.

The panel was subsequently loaded to a total of 27,000 lbs. This load introduced a stress 4 times the maximum stress in service.

3 Theoretical deflection based on the existing formula

The Hexcell Corp. does provide analytical formulae to evaluate composite beams loaded according to the same schematic as the tested beam. All of these formulae are based on two following terms:

a) deflection due to pure bending:

$$y1 = \frac{2KBPL^3\lambda}{Eth^2b}$$

b) deflection due to pure shear

$$y2 = \frac{KSPL}{hGb}$$

where:

 $P-total\ load$

L = 96" — $distance\ between\ supports$

t = 0.075" - skin thickness

h = 3.075" — distance between the centers of the skins

 $E = 30 \times 10^6 psi - elastic modulus of skin$

G = 6200psi - foam shear modulus

b = 48" - width of sample

 $\lambda = 1 - \mu^2$

 $\mu = 0.3 - \ Poisson's \ ratio \ of \ facing \ material$

KB = 0.01302 - bending deflection constant - see attached

KS = 0.125 - shear deflection constant

Introducing all constants into the equation for deflection due to the pure bending and shear, the final expression for the total deflection has the following form: y = .0000342P

This expression is plotted on fig.1.

4 Test results

Figure 1 gives comparison between the test results and analytical calculation for the 3.15" composite structure. The results clearly shows a very good agreement between the test and theory. The small discrepancy may be due to a variation of elastic modulus of the foam in "strong" and "weak" direction.

5 Creep of the panel under 1.5 of operating load.

An attempt was made to measure the long term creep while the panel was under 1.5 times the normal service load.

Small changes in deflection were observed, but the readings were erratic (Varying by thousand of inch).

We conclude that no significant creep occured during 240 hours of loading.

DEFLECTION VS LOAD FOR TEST PLATE





Fig 1

SANDWICH DESIGN (continued)

ANALYSIS OF FLAT RECTANGULAR SANDWICH BEAMS



$$h = \frac{t_{f_1}}{2} + \frac{t_{f_2}}{2} + t_c$$

FIGURE 7 **BEAM CHART**

BENDING STRESS IN FACINGS

$$\overline{O_{fi}} = \frac{M}{t_{fi}h} \frac{\text{where M is determined by}}{\text{is a 1 or 2}}$$
in the sum of the sum

CORE SHEAR STRESS

$$T_{c} = \frac{V}{hb}$$
 V is from Figure 7

DEFLECTION K_b and K_s from Figure 7

$$\Delta = \frac{2K_bPL^3\lambda}{E_ft_fh^2b} + \frac{K_sPL}{hG_cb}$$
 (for same skin materials)

$$\Delta = \frac{K_b P L^3}{D} + \frac{K_s P L}{h G_c b}$$
 (see D below)

(For most long beams, the second term is relatively small, but should be checked if deflection is critical.)

FACE DIMPLING

$$\sigma_{\text{CA}} = \frac{2E_f}{\lambda} \left[\frac{t_f}{s} \right]^2$$

FACE WRINKLING

$$\sigma_{cn} = 0.82 E_f \left[\frac{E_c t_f}{E_f t_c} \right] \frac{1}{2}$$

	MAXIMUN			SHEAR
BEAM TYPE	SHEAR FORCE V	BENDING MOMENT M	DEFLECTION	N DEFLECTION
SMAPLE SUPPORT SMAFRING LBAD P. 11 P. 11	0.5P	0.125PL	0.01302	
	0.5P	.08333PI	.002604	0.125
SAMPLE SUPPORT CENTER LOAD TOTAL ENDS FILES FOR ENDS FILES FOR ENDS FILES	0.5P	0.25PL	0.02083	0.25
CENTÉR LOAD	0.5P	0.125PL	.00521	0.25
CANTILEVEN UNIFORM LOAD	P	0.5PL	0.125	0.5
	P	PL	0.3333	1
CANTALVER TRIANGULAN LINA	Р	0.3333PL	0.06666	0.3333
Dec End SIMPLY SUPPORTED DEC END FIXED Q SIMPLED LOAD "If delications are critical actual delications are	0.625P	0.125PL	0.005405	.07042

$$D = \frac{E_1t_1E_2t_2h^2b}{E_1t_1\lambda_2 + E_2t_2\lambda_1} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{Et_1t_2h^2b}{(t_1 + t_2)\lambda} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{Eth^2b}{2\lambda}$$

LIST OF TERMS

P =Total Load

L = Span

C1 = Facing Stress

tf = Skin Thickness

h = Centroid Distance

7c = Core Shear Stress

 Δ = Deflection

E_f = Facing Modulus

Gc = Core Shear Modulus

b = Width

GCR = Critical Facing Stress

D = Panel Stiffness

 $\lambda = 1 - \mu^2$

 μ = Facing Poisson's Ratio

S = Cell Size

Ec = Core Compression Modulus

tc = Core Thickness

I = Moment of Inertia