Recent Results of the Exclusive Single Pion Electroproduction off the Proton from CLAS

KIJUN PARK







3 New Interesting Results from CLAS6 !



Long Range Plan 2015 (21st Century Nuclear Science)



The 2015 LONG RANGE PLAN for NUCLEAR SCIENCE



http://science.energy.gov/np/reports

1. Fully utilize programs at existing & under construction facilities (JLab12, RHIC, NSCL, FRIB,...)

- (a) How did visible matter come into being and how does it evolve ?
- (b) How does subatomic matter organize itself and what phenomena emerge ?
- (c) Do we understand the fundamental interactions that are basic to the structure of matter ?



How to approach ?

Present one of the many efforts

This Talk !

The most challenging problems in Hadron Physics

- Non-perturbative DCSB generates more than 98% of dress quark masses as well as dynamical structure although, Higgs mechanism < 2% in N, N* masses
- Quark-gluon confinement in bayrons emerges from QCD; dressed quarks, meson-baryon cloud, dressed gluon,...

• Study of the excited states of the nucleon is important step in the development of a fundamental understanding of strong interaction; QGP \rightarrow Hadrons

Talk by V. Mokeev

The most fundamental question: "WHAT ARE THE RELEVANT DEGREE-OF-FREEDOM AT VARYING DISTANCE SCALE ? "



$SU(6) \times O(3)$ Classification of Baryons



• There are questions about underlying DoF of some well known state...but still many open questions.. related with QCD, FT, CQM, LQCD ...

● Effective degrees of freedom / Transition charge densities / Running quark mass → Nature of States

$SU(6) \times O(3)$ Classification of Baryons



• There are questions about underlying DoF of some well known state...but still many open questions.. related with QCD, FT, CQM, LQCD ...

● Effective degrees of freedom / Transition charge densities / Running quark mass → Nature of States

K. Park

July 1-4, 2018 4 / 36

Analysis Chain



Modified the original flowchart Credit to R. Briceno

APCTP2018

July 1-4, 2018 5 / 36

Analysis Approaches and CLAS data analyses

• UIM, DR for $\pi^+ n$ and $\pi^0 p$

I. G. Aznauryan, Phys. Rev. C67, 015209 (2003).

- I. G. Aznauryan et al., CLAS Coll., Phys. Rev. C80, 055203 (2009).
- I. G. Aznauryan et al., CLAS Coll., Phys. Rev. C91, 045203 (2015).

• Extension of UIM, DR, Data fit for ηp , ωp

I. G. Aznauryan, Phys. Rev. C68, 065204 (2003).

H. Denizli et al., CLAS Coll., Phys. Rev. C76, 015204 (2007).

• JM-MB model, Data fit for $\pi^+\pi^-p$

V. I. Mokeev, V. D. Burkert et al., Phys. Rev. C80, 045212 (2009).

V. I. Mokeev et al., CLAS Coll., Phys. Rev. C86, 035203 (2012).

V. I. Mokeev, V. D. Burkert et al., Phys. Rev. C93, 054016 (2016).

Overview: NN* Electrocoupling Extraction from CLAS data

Talk by V. Mokeev

Global coupled-channel analyses for exclusive $\gamma N, \pi N, \pi \pi N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma$

Data Analyses, $ec{e} p ightarrow e' \pi N$



$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega_{\pi}^*} = \frac{p_{\pi}^*}{k_{\pi}^*} \left(\sigma_0 + h\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \sigma_{LT}' \sin \theta_{\pi}^* \sin \phi_{\pi}^* \right)$$

$$\sigma_0 = \sigma_U + \epsilon \sigma_{TT} \sin^2 \theta_\pi^* \cos 2\phi_\pi^* + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sigma_{LT} \sin \theta_\pi^* \cos \phi_\pi^*$$

where,

h: beam helicity state σ_0 : unpolarized cross-section $\sigma_U = \sigma_T + \epsilon \sigma_L$ Kinematics is completely defined by five variables $(Q^2, W, \theta^*_{\pi}, \phi^*_{\pi}, and \phi_e)$

Let me briefly talk about the highlighted results ...



APCTP2018

Let me briefly talk about the highlighted results ...



APCTP2018

In particular, $ep ightarrow e' \pi^+ n$

• Kinematic range W(excitation), Q^2 (resolution) of $\gamma^* p \rightarrow n\pi^+ \rightarrow$ From the near pion threshold to Deep Process regime



Near threshold (W < 1.15 GeV)



• Generalized form factor (G_1) and Axial Form Factor (G_A) near pion threshold Multipole fit & LCSR, Both showed consistent results in lowest W [red solid: LCSR+FF, dash: pure LCSR, blue solid: MAID07 U] ٩



K. Park

APCTP2018

$\vec{e}p ightarrow e'\pi^+ n$ for low lying N^* (W = 1.15 - 1.69 GeV)

- Transition Form Factors for $N(1440)1/2^+$ (old conv: $P_{11}(1440)$) $A_{1/2}$ shows a sign change in $Q^2 \sim 0.8 \text{ GeV}^2$
- $S_{1/2}$ is large at low Q^2 and drop off smoothly with increasing Q^2
- A complex interplay btw inner core of quarks in the first radial excitation and external MB cloud
- LF RQM (thick red curve), Quark core in DSEQCD (thick dashed curve) MB cloud contribution (shaded band)



10 / 36

$\vec{e}p ightarrow e'\pi^+ n$ for low lying N^* (W = 1.15 - 1.69 GeV)

- Transition Form Factors for $N(1535)1/2^-$ (old conv: $S_{11}(1535)$)
- $\beta_{Nn}^{PDG} = 0.45 0.60 \rightarrow \beta_{N\pi}^{PDG} = 0.485 \& \beta_{Nn}^{PDG} = 0.460$, excellent agreement
- Sensitive to long. as well (strong interference S_{11} - P_{11})
- Previously Opposite sign of $S_{1/2}$!
 - \rightarrow Impossible to change in quark model (LFRQM failed for $S_{1/2}$!)
 - \rightarrow Combined with the difficulties in the description of

 - (1) large width of $S_{11}(1535) \rightarrow \eta N$ (2) large $S_{11}(1535) \rightarrow \phi N$, ΛK couplings \rightarrow It shows that 3q picture for $S_{11}(1535)$ should be complemented ! [I.Aznuryan]



$ec{e} p ightarrow e' \pi^+ n$ for high lying N^* $(1.65 < W < 2.0 \; { m GeV})$



K. Park

APCTP2018

.-4, 2018 12 / 36

$\vec{e}p \rightarrow e'\pi^+ n$ for high lying N^* (1.65 < W < 2.0 GeV)



Selection Rules in Symmetric Quark Model

- The first orbital excitation states $|70, {}^{2}8, 1, 1, J\rangle S_{11}(1535)(****), D_{13}(1520)(****) |70, {}^{4}8, 1, 1, J\rangle S_{11}(1650)(****), D_{13}(1700)(***), D_{15}(1675)(****)$
- Moorhouse selection rule (Moorhouse, PRL16, 772 (1966)) $\gamma + p(|56, {}^28; 0, 0, 1/2\rangle) \leftrightarrow N^*(|70, {}^48\rangle)$: vanishing TME for charge operator $\gamma + n(|56, {}^28; 0, 0, 1/2\rangle) \leftrightarrow N^*(|70, {}^48\rangle)$
- A selection rule (Zhao, PRD74, 094014 (2006)) $N^*|70, {}^48\rangle \nleftrightarrow K(K^*) + \Lambda$
- Faiman-Hendry selection rule (Faiman,Hendry, PR173, 1720 (1968)) $\Lambda^*|70, {}^{4}8\rangle \nleftrightarrow N(|56, {}^{2}8; 0, 0, 1/2\rangle) + \overline{K}$

Moorhouse selection rule must be violated !

Spin-dependent potential from one-gluon-exchange and $SU(6) \otimes O(3)$ symmetry breaking, color hyperfine interaction H_{hyper} is introducing mass splitting and configuration mixing in SU(6) multiplets _{Isgur, Karl, PRL 41, 1269 (1978)}.

$$H_{hyper} = \frac{2\alpha_s}{3m_im_j} \left[\frac{8\pi}{3} S_i \cdot S_j \delta^3(r_{ij}) + \frac{1}{r_{ij}^3} \left(\frac{3(S_i \cdot r_{ij})(S_j \cdot r_{ij})}{r_{ij}^2} - S_i \cdot S_j \right) \right]$$

TABLE I. Violations of some SU(6) rules.

Quantity	SU(6) (Relative values)	This calculation (Relative values)	Experiment (Various units)
$A_{3/2}^{n}(D_{15} \rightarrow n\gamma)$ $A_{4/2}^{n}(D_{45} \rightarrow n\gamma)$	$-\alpha$	$-\alpha$ -0.71 α	-60 ± 33^{a} -33 ± 25^{a}
$\begin{array}{c}A_{3/2}{}^{p}(D_{15} \rightarrow p\gamma)\\A_{1/2}{}^{p}(D_{15} \rightarrow p\gamma)\end{array}$	0 0	+0.31 α +0.22 α	$+20 \pm 13^{a}$ +19 ± 14 ^a
$\begin{array}{c} A\left(D_{15} \rightarrow \overline{KN}\right) \\ A\left(D_{05} \rightarrow \overline{KN}\right) \end{array}$	β 0	β - 0.28 β	$+0.41 \pm 0.03^{b}$ -0.09 $\pm 0.04^{c}$
$\langle \sum e_i r_i^2 \rangle_p \\ \langle \sum e_i r_i^2 \rangle_n$	γ 0	-0.16γ	$+0.82 \pm 0.02^{\text{ d}}$ $-0.12 \pm 0.01^{\text{ e}}$

APCTP2018

July 1-4, 2018 15 / 36

- Transition Form Factors for $N(1675)5/2^-$ (old conv: $D_{15}(1675)$)
- SQTM, Moorhouse selection rule: suppression Transverse Amplitudes
 - \rightarrow Solid: M. M. Gianini/E. Santopinto (hQCM)
 - \rightarrow dash: D.Merten& U.Loring(2003)
- Non-quark contributions dominance, A strong coupling $A_{1/2}$ for $Q^2 < 4 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Significant *MB* contribution from the dynamical coupled-channel model \rightarrow (dash-dot: B. Julia-Diaz, T-S. H. Lee, A. Matsuyama)
- A strong suppression of $A_{3/2}$ for $Q^2 > 1.8 \text{ GeV}^2$



Deep Inelastic Scattering Regime (W > 2.0 GeV)



APCTP2018

17 / 36



 Solid (dσ/dt), dashed curves (dσ_L/dt)

Magenta curves: M. Kaskulov, Duality model

 \rightarrow Transverse: resonance excitation \rightarrow Longitudinal: *t*-channel meson exchange

● Blue curves: G-K : Transversity of GPDs → Partonic model (handbag diagram) (But w/o adjusting Jlab kinematics)

luly 1-4, 2018 18 / 36

Hard Exclusive Forward, Large-angle $\gamma^* p \rightarrow n\pi^+$



- Solid (*d*σ/*dt*), dashed curves (*d*σ_L/*dt*)
- Red curves: J. M. Laget, Regge-model
- Blue curves: M. Kaskulov, Hybrid (hadron-parton) model

** Hybrid: The partonic part of production mechanism is described by DIS quark knock out reaction is followed by string

K. Park

uly 1-4, 2018 19 / 36



New Results 2018 !!! & Upcoming New Results 2019 !!!

uly 1-4, 2018 20 / 36

Hard Exclusive Backward angle $\gamma^* p \rightarrow n\pi^+$



Structure functions vs. πN -TDA calculation



[K.Park, et al., Phys. Lett. B 780, 340 (2018)]

- $\sigma_T + \epsilon \sigma_L$ (•), σ_{TT} (\Box), and σ_{LT} (\blacktriangle)
- A recent theoretical calculation as a function of $\xi \simeq Q^2/(Q^2+2W^2)$
- Nucleon to meson TDAs provide new information about correlation of partons inside hadrons
- Curves : the contribution of πN-TDA model Red band: BLW NNLO^a, dark blue band: COZ^b, and light blue band: KS^c
- Nucleon pole exchange in *u*-channel contribution is determinant for smaller ξ (*D*-term GPDs)
- Theoretical understanding is growing up: spectral representation for πN TDA based on quadruple distributions; factorized Ansatz for quadruple distributions with input at ξ = 1.
- Open questions: proof of factorization theorems, interpretation in the impact parameter space, analytic properties of the amplitude

(日)

- ^aA. Lenz, et al., Phys.Rev. D79, 093007, (2009)
- ^bV.L. Chernyak, et al., Z. Phys. C42, 583 (1989).
- ^cI.D. King et al., Nucl. Phys. B279, 785, (1987)

K. Park

APCTP2018

/ 1-4, 2018 22 / 36

$\vec{e}p ightarrow e' \pi^+ n$, A_{LU} for high W, **PRELIMINARY**

Curves: solid-MAID2007, dashed-JANR, Blue: Phys. Rev. C77 015208, (2008), Black points: current work K. Park & P. Bosted 2018



A_{LU} , $\sigma_{LT'}$ for high W, **PRELIMINARY** el-Ga

 A_{LU}



 $\sin \phi^* \sigma_{LTP}$



Blue curves: $\sin \phi^*$ fit

uly 1-4, 2018 24 / 36

$\sigma_{LT'}$ vs. $\cos \theta_{\pi}^*$, MAID2007, **PRELIMINARY**

Red curves: Legendre fit, Black curves: MAID2007

 $Q^2 = 2.0 \text{ GeV}^2$



Moments $D_0^{LT'}$



K. Park

uly 1-4, 2018 25 / 36

- Extraction of the transition form factor should be carried out through the differential cross-sections/asymmetries measurements for near full angles and large kinematics W, Q^2
- Precision data for $\gamma^* p \rightarrow n\pi^+$ from CLAS allows to extract the helicity amplitudes for various resonance states, $N(1440)1/2^+$, $N(1520)3/2^-$, $N(1535)1/2^-$, $N(1675)5/2^-$, $N(1680)5/2^+$, and $N(1710)1/2^+$, Near Threshold (*GFF*, *G*_A), and DIS...
- Coupled-channel analysis (including πN, ππN, KY, ...) is crucial in particular high W and this will improve considerably our knowledge on N*-state electro-couplings.

 \S Full Mass Spectrum with Q^2 evolution and Coupled-Channel Analyses help us to map out nucleon structure in terms of the effective degree of freedom \S



BACKUP



ly 1-4, 2018 27 / 36

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Analysis Approaches

- Two different approaches: UIM, DR UIM
 - \rightarrow BG UIM is built from nucleon exchange in s-, u- and π,ω,ρ exchange in t- channel
 - \rightarrow Unitarization of multipole amplitudes in the K-matrix approximation
 - ightarrow Resonance contributions are parameterized in the unified BW form with energy dependence

DR

- ightarrow Fixed-t dispersion relation for the invariant amplitude
- \rightarrow Re-Amplitude to Born-term (nucleon exchange in s-, u-, π exchange in t-channel)
- ightarrow Integral Im-Amplitude with the isospin structure

Two model-uncertainties

- \rightarrow BG determination in the UIM and Born term in DR
- \rightarrow A width and mass of resonances from PDG

Take into account...

 \rightarrow All(13) **** and *** states in the 1st,2nd,3rd $\rightarrow \Delta(1905)F_{35}, \Delta(1950)F_{37}$ in 4th resonance region

• Same BR from PDG2012

Reaction, $\vec{e}p ightarrow e'\pi^+ n$ - SKIP



$ec{e} p ightarrow e' \pi^+ n$ for low lying N^* (W=1.15-1.69 GeV)

- Transition Form Factors for $N(1520)3/2^-$ (old conv: $D_{13}(1520)$)
- $A_{1/2}$ is large at high Q^2 , $A_{3/2}$ is small at high Q^2



$ec{e} ho ightarrow e'\pi^+n$ for high lying $N^*~(W=1.65-2.0~{ m GeV})$





K. Park

APCTP2018

uly 1-4, 2018 31 / 36

- Transition Form Factors for $N(1680)5/2^+$ (old conv: $F_{15}(1680)$)
 - \rightarrow A RPP(PDG:2014), \triangle V. Mokeev& I.G.Aznauryan(2013), \Box I.G.Aznauryan(2005)
 - \rightarrow Solid: M.M.Gianini/E.Santopinto (hQCM), dash-dot: Z.Lee& F.Close(1990), dash: D.Merten& U.Loring(2003)
- All models estimates amplitudes larger $A_{1/2}$ (lower $A_{3/2}$) than data
- MB contribution should be taken into account ?





• Helicity asymmetry shows a very slow rise at $Q^2 > 2 \text{GeV}^2$

• Interesting of helicity asymmetry $Q^2 > 5 \text{ GeV}^2$? $\rightarrow \text{CLAS12}$

$$A_{hel} = \frac{A_{1/2}^2 - A_{3/2}^2}{A_{1/2}^2 + A_{3/2}^2}$$

- \Box CLAS single- π and 2π electroproduction
- ARPP2014 at Q² = 0
- Solid: M.M.Gianini/E.Santopinto (hQCM), dash-dot: Z.Lee& F.Close(1990), dash: D.Merten& U.Loring(2003)



- Transition Form Factors for $N(1710)1/2^+$ (old conv: $P_{11}(1710)$)
- Finite size of $A_{1/2}$ for $Q^2 < 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Finite size and negative of $S_{1/2}$ for all given Q^2 GeV²



Solid: M.M. Gianini E. Santopinto (hQCM)

$\sigma_{LT'}$ vs. $\cos \theta_{\pi}^*$ **PRELIMINARY**

Red curves: Legendre fit

W = 1.77 GeV



W = 1.87 GeV



Moments $D^{LT'}$ vs. W, Q^2 **PRELIMINARY**

Observation: interesting behavior above W > 1.8 GeV

Moments $D_0^{LT'}$



Moments $D_1^{LT'}$



K. Park

APCTP2018

uly 1-4, 2018 36 / 36