# J/ψ photoproduction – new results and future plans

Lubornir Pentchev

- New results (preliminary!) :
  - Including 2018 data batch 1-3
  - Analysis launch with tight timing cuts and M<sub>measured</sub>(e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>)>2 GeV
  - Same method of extracting cross-sections
  - Except BH in 2.1<M<2.5 GeV very different kin. region than 1.2-2.5 GeV before
  - BH now dominates stat. error
  - $d\sigma/dt$  extracted for much wider t-range
  - MC for 2017 used for the 2018 data
- Future plans:
  - Aim for new results from 2016-2018 by the end of the year
  - Would like to initiate discussions about the position of the coherent peak in case there's an experimental issue or compelling physics case

#### 2018 data batch 1-3



#### BH in 2.1<M<2.5 GeV all data



#### $J/\psi$ all data – fits in bins of beam energy





#### $J/\psi$ all data – fits in bins of beam energy



#### $J/\psi$ all data – fits in bins of beam energy



## $J/\psi$ total cross-section – all data so far



#### Differential cross-section – all data



## **Proton Gluonic Form Factor**



Frankfurt and Strikman PRD66 (2002) suggested t-dependence defined by the proton gluonic FF **Explains t-slope** change with energy (due to t<sub>min</sub> and t-range dependence) in wide energy range

## Energy dependence



## Energy dependence



## Photon spectrum 2016+2017







#### Photon beam asymmetry









FIG. 7. (Color online) The photon beam asymmetries  $\Sigma_{\gamma}$  for the  $\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi p$  reaction of  $E_{\gamma} = 9.0 - 10.5$  GeV. The violet solid curves represent the total results including the  $P_c$  states, whereas the black dashed lines only show the result of Pomeron exchange.

#### Photon beam polarization



## Summary

- New very preliminary results (twice more statistics) are consistent with the paper results
- Plan to have 2016-2018 combined results by the end of the year
  - Requires unified analysis of all (2016-2108) the data: reconstruction, analysis, MC, etc.
  - New unbinned analysis to set limits on the pentaquarks, that includes better(at 10 MeV level) understanding of the flux
- Some not statistically significant features at ~9.1 GeV require attention – when all 2018 data analyzed we will have statistical significance
  - Lowering the energy of the coherent peak may help experimental problem or real physics
  - In case of compelling physics case we may decide to move to peak to higher energies

## Back-ups

Proton Gluonic Form Factors: A,B,C  

$$J/\psi p \rightarrow J/\psi p: \qquad \langle P'|(T_g)^{\mu}_{\mu}|P \rangle = \langle P'| \left(\frac{\beta(g)}{2g}F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}_a + m\gamma_m\bar{\psi}\psi\right)|P \rangle$$

$$= \bar{u}(P') \Big[A_g M + \frac{B_g}{4M}\Delta^2 - 3\frac{\Delta^2}{M}C_g + 4\bar{C}_g M\Big]u(P)$$

 Red – maximal trace anomaly term (related to fraction of nucleon mass arising from gluons)



Yoshitaka Hatta and Di-Lun Yang <a href="https://arxiv.org/pdf/1808.02163.pdf">https://arxiv.org/pdf/1808.02163.pdf</a>

Proton Gluonic Form Factors: A,B,C (lattice calculations)

