

Experience on Coupling Correction in the ESRF electron storage ring

Laurent Farvacque & Andrea Franchi, on behalf of the Accelerator and Source Division

Future Light Source workshop 2012 Jefferson Lab, Newport News, VA, 5th to 9th March 2012

European Synchrotron Radiation Facility



Outlines

- Vertical emittances in the presence of coupling
- Coupling correction via Resonance Driving Terms
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2010)
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2011)
- Benefits and drawbacks; operational considerations



Outlines

- Vertical emittances in the presence of coupling
- Coupling correction via Resonance Driving Terms
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2010)
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2011)
- Benefits and drawbacks; operational considerations



ESRF SR equipment: •11 dipole radiation projection monitors (IAX) • 2 pinhole cameras





Ex=4.2 nm

• Well corrected coupling

Low beam
 current (20 mA)













 $\mathbf{2}$

Vertical emittances in the presence of coupling

Measurable apparent emittance:

$$\mathbb{E}_y(s) = \frac{\sigma_y^2(s)}{\beta_y(s)} = \frac{\langle y^2(s) \rangle - (\delta D_y(s))}{\beta_y(s)}$$



Vertical emittances in the presence of coupling

Measurable apparent emittance:

 $\mathbb{E}_{y}(s) = \frac{\sigma_{y}^{2}(s)}{\beta_{y}(s)} = \frac{\langle y^{2}(s) \rangle - (\delta D_{y}(s))^{2}}{\beta_{y}(s)}$ nce: $\epsilon_{y}(s) = \sqrt{\sigma_{y}(s)\sigma_{p}(s) - \sigma_{yp}^{2}(s)}$

Non measurable projected emittance:

European Synchrotron Radiation Facility



Vertical emittances in the presence of coupling $\mathbb{E}_y(s) = \frac{\sigma_y^2(s)}{\beta_y(s)} = \frac{\langle y^2(s) \rangle - (\delta D_y(s))^2}{\beta_y(s)}$ Measurable apparent emittance: $\epsilon_y(s) = \sqrt{\sigma_y(s)\sigma_p(s) - \sigma_{yp}^2(s)}$ Non measurable projected emittance: apparent emittance Lattice errors from Orbit projected emittance **Response Matrix** 35 vertical emittance [pm measurement + Accel. large coupling 30 **Toolbox** $\mathcal{E}^{v=}$ 9 pm 25 20 15 10 200 400 800 600 0 s [m]











Vertical emittances in the presence of coupling









Vertical emittances in the presence of coupling

















Outlines

- Vertical emittances in the presence of coupling
- Coupling correction via Resonance Driving Terms
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2010)
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2011)
- Benefits and drawbacks; operational considerations



 Coupling (x-y & y-δ) correction @ ESRF SR is carried out with independent skew quadrupoles (V=J₁xy) distributed along the machine.



- Coupling (x-y & y-δ) correction @ ESRF SR is carried out with independent skew quadrupoles (V=J₁xy) distributed along the machine.
- Until 2009 their currents were computed by trying to minimize the apparent vertical emittance along the machine

time consuming

ocal minimum value



- Coupling (x-y & y-δ) correction @ ESRF SR is carried out with independent skew quadrupoles (V=J₁xy) distributed along the machine.
- Until 2009 their currents were computed by trying to minimize the apparent vertical emittance along the machine

time consuming

AT code + Matlab **FMINSEARCH** function



- Coupling (x-y & y-δ) correction @ ESRF SR is carried out with independent skew quadrupoles (V=J₁xy) distributed along the machine.
- Until 2009 their currents were computed by trying to minimize the apparent vertical emittance along the machine → non-linear fitting

time consuming

AT code + Matlab **FMINSEARCH** function



- Coupling (x-y & y-δ) correction @ ESRF SR is carried out with independent skew quadrupoles (V=J₁xy) distributed along the machine.
- Until 2009 their currents were computed by trying to minimize the apparent vertical emittance along the machine → non-linear fitting

- time consuming

AT code + Matlab **FMINSEARCH** function

24



- Coupling (x-y & y-δ) correction @ ESRF SR is carried out with independent skew quadrupoles (V=J₁xy) distributed along the machine.
- Until 2009 their currents were computed by trying to minimize the apparent vertical emittance along the machine → non-linear fitting ↓ vertical emittance
 - time consuming
 - may get stuck into a local minimum value

AT code + Matlab **FMINSEARCH** function





- Coupling (x-y & y-δ) correction @ ESRF SR is carried out with independent skew quadrupoles (V=J₁xy) distributed along the machine.
- As of 2010 their currents are computed by trying to minimize other quantities: Resonance Driving Terms, obtained from orbit measurements (for x-y) and vert. disp. (for y-δ).



- Coupling (x-y & y-δ) correction @ ESRF SR is carried out with independent skew quadrupoles (V=J₁xy) distributed along the machine.
- As of 2010 their currents are computed by trying to minimize other quantities: Resonance Driving Terms, obtained from orbit measurements (for x-y) and vert.
 disp. (for y-δ). This automatically minimizes vertical emittance



- Coupling (x-y & y-δ) correction @ ESRF SR is carried out with independent skew quadrupoles (V=J₁xy) distributed along the machine.
- As of 2010 their currents are computed by trying to minimize other quantities: Resonance Driving Terms, obtained from orbit measurements (for x-y) and vert.
 disp. (for y-δ). This automatically minimizes vertical emittance → linear fitting



- Coupling (x-y & y- δ) correction @ ESRF SR is carried out with independent skew quadrupoles (V=J₁xy) distributed along the machine.
- As of 2010 their currents are computed by trying to minimize other quantities: Resonance Driving Terms, obtained from orbit measurements (for x-y) and vert.
 disp. (for y-δ). This automatically minimizes vertical emittance → linear fitting
 - faster
 - gets directly to absolute minimum value



The lower the vertical dispersion and the coupling RDTs, the smaller the vertical emittances

Procedure [already independently developed by R. Tomas (for ALBA)]:

1. Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

$$\mathbf{F} = (a_1^* f_{1001}, a_1^* f_{1010}, a_2^* Dy) , a_1^+ a_2^- 1$$

- 1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors **M**
- 2. Find via SVD a corrector setting \mathbf{J} that minimizes both RDTs and Dy

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted



Procedure [already independently developed by R. Tomas (for ALBA)]:

1. Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

$$\mathbf{\dot{F}} = (a_1^* f_{1001}, a_1^* f_{1010}, a_2^* Dy) , a_1^+ a_2^- 1$$

1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors **M**

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted



$$ORM = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Orbit Response Matrix at 224 BPMs after exciting 16x2 steerers (H,V)

1. Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

 $\mathbf{F} = (a_1^* f_{1001}, a_1^* f_{1010}, a_2^* Dy) , a_1^+ a_2^- 1$

1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors **M**

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted



$$ORM = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O}_{xx} & \mathbf{O}_{xy} \\ \mathbf{O}_{yx} & \mathbf{O}_{yy} \end{pmatrix}$$

Fitting measured diagonal blocks from ideal ORM => focusing errors ΔK₁.

1. Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

 $\mathbf{F} = (a_1^* f_{1001}, a_1^* f_{1010}, a_2^* Dy) , a_1^+ a_2^- 1$

1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors **M**

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted





Fitting measured offdiagonal blocks from ideal ORM => effective quadrupole tilts θ (accounting for sextupole ver. misalignments)

1. Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

 $\vec{F} = (a_1 * f_{1001}, a_1 * f_{1010}, a_2 * Dy), a_1 + a_2 = 1$

1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors **M**

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted



$$ORM = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O}_{xx} & \mathbf{O}_{xy} \\ \mathbf{O}_{yx} & \mathbf{O}_{yy} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J_1 = -[K_1 + \Delta K_1]\sin(2\theta)$$

Fitting measured offdiagonal blocks from ideal ORM => effective quadrupole tilts θ (accounting for sextupole ver. misalignments)

1. Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

 $\vec{F} = (a_1 * f_{1001}, a_1 * f_{1010}, a_2 * Dy) , a_1 + a_2 = 1$

1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors **M**

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted





1. Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

$$\mathbf{F} = (a_1 * f_{1001}, a_1 * f_{1010}, a_2 * Dy) , a_1 + a_2 = 1$$

1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors **M**

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted




1. Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

$$\mathbf{F} = (a_1 * f_{1001}, a_1 * f_{1010}, a_2 * Dy) , a_1 + a_2 = 1$$

1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors **M**

2. Find via SVD a corrector setting \mathbf{J} that minimizes both RDTs and Dy

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted



Vertical dispersion Dy is measured at all 224 BPMs

1. Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

 $\vec{F} = (a_1 * f_{1001}, a_1 * f_{1010}, a_2 * Dy), a_1 + a_2 = 1$

1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors M

2. Find via SVD a corrector setting \mathbf{J} that minimizes both RDTs and Dy

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted



Coupling correction via Resonance Driving Terms Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy $\mathbf{F} = (a_1 * f_{1001}, a_1 * f_{1010}, a_2 * Dy), a_1 + a_2 = 1$

- 1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors M
- 2. Find via SVD a corrector setting \mathbf{J} that minimizes both RDTs and Dy

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted





 Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

 $\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{a}_1^* \mathbf{f}_{1001}, \mathbf{a}_1^* \mathbf{f}_{1010}, \mathbf{a}_2^* \mathbf{D} \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{a}_1^+ \mathbf{a}_2^- \mathbf{1}$

- 1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors M
- 2. Find via SVD a corrector setting \mathbf{J} that minimizes both RDTs and Dy

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted



$$\begin{split} W \\ m_{w,c} &= \frac{\sqrt{\beta_x^{(c)} \beta_y^{(c)}} e^{i(\Delta \phi_{w,x}^{(c)} - \Delta \phi_{w,y}^{(c)})}}{4(1 - e^{2\pi i (Q_u - Q_v)})} \quad \text{for } w \le 224, \\ m_{w,c} &= \frac{\sqrt{\beta_x^{(c)} \beta_y^{(c)}} e^{i(\Delta \phi_{w,x}^{(c)} + \Delta \phi_{w,y}^{(c)})}}{4(1 - e^{2\pi i (Q_u + Q_v)})} \quad \text{for } w > 224, \end{split}$$

1. Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

 $\mathbf{F} = (a_1^* f_{1001}, a_1^* f_{1010}, a_2^* Dy) , a_1^+ a_2^- 1$

- 1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors M
- 2. Find via SVD a corrector setting **J** that minimizes both RDTs and Dy

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted













a₂=0.7 (2010), 0.4 (2011)

a₁+a₂=1

Different weights on f_{1001} and f_{1010} tried, best if equal.

1. Build an error lattice model (quad tilts, etc. from Orbit Response Matrix or turn-by-turn BPM data) => RDTs and Dy

 $\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{a}_1^* \mathbf{f}_{1001}, \mathbf{a}_1^* \mathbf{f}_{1010}, \mathbf{a}_2^* \mathbf{D} \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{a}_1^+ \mathbf{a}_2^- \mathbf{1}$

- 1. Evaluate response matrix of the available skew correctors M
- 2. Find via SVD a corrector setting \mathbf{J} that minimizes both RDTs and Dy

$$\vec{J} = -M \vec{F}$$
 to be pseudo-inverted



Outlines

- Vertical emittances in the presence of coupling
- Coupling correction via Resonance Driving Terms
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2010)
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2011)
- Benefits and drawbacks; operational considerations





First RDT correction: January 16th 2010

All skew correctors OFF: $\overline{\xi}_{v} \pm \delta \xi_{v} = 237 \pm 122 \text{ pm}$





First RDT correction: January 16th 2010

After ORM measur. and RDT correction: $\overline{\epsilon}_{v} \pm \delta \epsilon_{v} = 11.5 \pm 4.3 \text{ pm}$





First RDT correction: January 16th 2010

After ORM measur. and RDT correction: $\overline{\epsilon}_{v} \pm \delta \epsilon_{v} = 11.5 \pm 4.3 \text{ pm}$







ESRF 2010 <u>temporary</u> record-low vertical emittance: June 22nd At ID gaps open: $\overline{\epsilon}_y \pm \delta \epsilon_y = 4.4 \pm 0.7 \text{ pm}$







 Low coupling may not be preserved during beam delivery
because of continuous
changes of ID
gaps that vary
coupling along
the ring

Apparent emittance measured at 13 monitors (red) on Jan. 20th 2010, during beam delivery and movements of two ID gaps (black & green)

European Synchrotron Radiation Facility

Andrea Franchi





•H-V steerers at the ends of an ID straight section were cabled so as to provide skew quad fields.





•H-V steerers at the ends of an ID straight section were cabled so as to provide skew quad fields.

•Look-up tables (corrector currents Vs ID gap aperture) were defined so as to preserve the vertical emittance at any gap value.





•Look-up tables (corrector currents Vs ID gap aperture) were defined so as to preserve the vertical emittance at any gap value.





•Look-up tables (corrector currents Vs ID gap aperture) were defined so as to preserve the vertical emittance at any gap value.



•Coupling may be represented by two complex vectors (for the sum and difference resonances respectively) $C^{\pm}=|A^{\pm}|e^{i\phi\pm}$.

$$C^- = -rac{1}{2\pi} \oint ds \; j(s) \sqrt{eta_x(s) eta_y(s)} e^{-i(\phi_x(s) - \phi_y(s)) + is/R\Delta}$$

$$C^{+} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint ds \ j(s) \sqrt{\beta_x(s)\beta_y(s)} e^{-i(\phi_x(s) + \phi_y(s)) + is/R\Delta}$$



•Coupling may be represented by two complex vectors (for the sum and difference resonances respectively) $C^{\pm}=|A^{\pm}|e^{i\phi^{\pm}}$.

 In the ESRF storage ring, on top of the RDT static correction, C[±] may be dynamically varied in order to catch up coupling variations induced by ID gap movements.

Qui	t HELP	NuX +	NuZ = 50	H C	lard Copy Panel	
ľ Na	Quit HELP	NuX	– NuZ = 2	23	Hard Copy	anel
QP- QP-	<u>ľ</u> Name	14 H)	Set	Read	Status	
QP QP	QP-S13/C1 QP-S4/C20		-0.4683 A 0.3354 A	-0.4683 A 0.3351 A	On On	
QP QP	QP-54/C2 QP-54/C10 QP-54/C1		-0.1365 A 0.1034 A 0.1084 A	-0.1366 A 0.1066 A 0.1082 A	On On On	X
QP QP	QP-S13/C20 QP-S4/C18		-0.1677 A 0.0716 A	-0.1677 A 0.0717 A	On On	rre
QP QP OP	QP-S4/C26 QP-S4/C5 QP-S4/C6		-0.1354 A 0.0343 A 0.1092 A	-0.1351 A 0.0340 A 0.1091 A	On On On	U U U
QP QP	QP-S4/C21 QP-S4/C22		0.3225 A 0.0331 A	0.3226 A 0.0330 A	On On	đ
QP QP OP	QP-S13/C4 QP-S13/C12 QP-S13/C24		-0.0151 A 0.1139 A 0.0536 A	-0.0155 A 0.1155 A 0.0534 A	On On On	
QP- QP-	QP-S13/C30 QP-S20/C5		-0.1862 A 0.0507 A 0.1407 A	-0.1829 A 0.0511 A 0.1407 A	On On On	X
QP QP QP	QP-S20/C9 QP-S20/C11		-0.2468 A -0.1365 A	-0.2469 A -0.1331 A	On On	0 V
QP QP OP	QP-S20/C13 QP-S20/C15 QP-S20/C17		0.0299 A 0.0149 A 0.1395 A	0.0328 A 0.0159 A 0.1399 A	On On On	
QP QP	QP-S20/C19 QP-S20/C21		0.2667 A 0.3467 A	0.2688 A 0.3465 A	On On	1 Z
QP QP OP	QP-\$20/C23 QP-\$20/C25 QP-\$20/C27		-0.2234 A 0.1086 A -0.2560 A	-0.2214 A 0.1088 A -0.2562 A	On On On	a
QP-	QP-S20/C29 QP-S20/C31 QP-S20/C1		-0.1649 A	-0.1628 A 0.1582 A	On On On	S I
C	QP-S20	militudo	0.2111 A	0.2116 A	Un	_
		API - 18/P22	\sim	SB/PHASE-18	1/P22	
	0		\cup		1	
R.	Set Value	0.0738.	A Set Vali	ue 147.6	5 deg	
OI	Read Value	0.0735	A Read Val	ue 145 s	y	0.61
	On Reset					



•Coupling may be represented by two complex vectors (for the sum and difference resonances respectively) $C^{\pm}=|A^{\pm}|e^{i\phi\pm}$.

 In the ESRF storage ring, on top of the RDT static correction, C[±] may be dynamically varied in order to catch up coupling variations induced by ID gap movements.

• A new software automatically minimizes C[±] by looking at the average vertical emittance

Quit HELP	uX + NuZ = 50	Hard Copy	1
IN Quit HELP	NuX - NuZ = 23	B Hard Copy	Panel
QP ↓ Name QP QP-s13/c1 QP QP-s4/c20 QP QP-s13/c20 QP QP-s4/c26 QP QP-s4/c26 QP QP-s4/c21 QP QP-s4/c21 QP QP-s13/c4 QP QP-s13/c4 QP QP-s13/c24 QP QP-s20/c5 QP QP-s20/c5 QP QP-s20/c1 QP QP-s20/c1 QP QP-s20/c13 QP QP-s20/c15	Set -0.4683 A 0.3354 A -0.1365 A 0.1034 A 0.1084 A -0.1677 A 0.0716 A -0.1354 A 0.0343 A 0.1092 A 0.3225 A 0.3311 A -0.151 A 0.1139 A 0.0536 A -0.1862 A 0.0507 A 0.1407 A -0.1365 A 0.0299 A 0.0149 A	Read Status -0.4683 A On 0.3351 A On -0.1366 A On 0.1066 A On 0.1082 A On -0.1677 A On 0.0717 A On 0.0340 A On 0.1351 A On 0.0340 A On 0.1351 A On 0.3226 A On 0.0330 A On 0.0153 A On 0.1155 A On 0.0534 A On 0.0511 A On 0.0511 A On 0.1407 A On -0.1331 A On 0.0328 A On	32 corrector skew
QP QP-S20/C17 QP QP-S20/C17 QP QP-S20/C19 QP QP-S20/C21 QP QP-S20/C25 QP QP-S20/C25 QP QP-S20/C25 QP QP-S20/C27 QP QP-S20/C29 QP-S20/C31 QP-S20/C1 QP-S20/C1 QP-S20/C1	0.11395 A 0.1395 A 0.2667 A -0.2234 A 0.1086 A -0.2560 A -0.1649 A 0.1599 A 0.7097 A 0.2111 A	0.1399 A On 0.1399 A On 0.2688 A On 0.3465 A On -0.2214 A On 0.1088 A On -0.2562 A On -0.1628 A On 0.1582 A On 0.1182 A On 0.2116 A On 0.2116 A On	quads
SR/AMPL		SR/PHASE-18/P22	
On Read Value	0.0738 A Set Value VIX 0.0735 A Read Value	147.6 deg	
On Becet			041





58

Andrea Franchi



Outlines

- Vertical emittances in the presence of coupling
- Coupling correction via Resonance Driving Terms
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2010)
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2011)
- Benefits and drawbacks; operational considerations



2011: Towards ultra-small vertical emittance

2010, with 32 skew quad correctors



60



2011: Towards ultra-small vertical emittance

2011, with 64 skew quad correctors





Outlines

- Vertical emittances in the presence of coupling
- Coupling correction via Resonance Driving Terms
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2010)
- Experience in the ESRF storage ring (2011)
- Benefits and drawbacks; operational considerations



Benefit: Brilliance @ $\varepsilon_v = 3 \text{ pm}$ @200 mA

Solid curve: Brilliance of the X-ray beam emitted from the two in-vacuum undulators installed on ID27 (High Pressure beamline). Each undulator segment has a period of 23 mm, a length of 2 m and is operated with a minimum gap of 6 mm.





Benefit: Injection Efficiency

 Injection tuning after shutdown (steering in the transfer line, septa optimization) observed to be more effective if performed after coupling correction in the storage ring



Benefit: Injection Efficiency

- Injection tuning after shutdown (steering in the transfer line, septa optimization) observed to be more effective if performed after coupling correction in the storage ring
- Radiation dose (neutron detectors) reduced with low coupling (losses are mainly in the vertical plane @ 8 mm vacuum chambers)



Benefit: Injection Efficiency

- Injection tuning after shutdown (steering in the transfer line, septa optimization) observed to be more effective if performed after coupling correction in the storage ring
- Radiation dose (neutron detectors) reduced with low coupling (losses are mainly in the vertical plane @ 8 mm vacuum chambers)

Filling mode	inj. eff. until 2009 operation	inj. eff. as of 2010 operation	inj. eff. as of 2010 open IDs & scrapers	
16 bunches (92mA)	30-50%	50-70% (*)	~100% (*)	
7/8 +1 (200mA)	50-70%	70-90% (^)	~100% (^)	
(*) with new optics	3	(^) with lower chromaticity		



• LT in 2009 (@ ε_v = 30 pm) : 55-60 h



- LT in 2009 (@ ε_v = 30 pm) : 55-60 h
- LT in 2010 (@ ε_y = 7 pm) : 35-40 h



- LT in 2009 (@ ε_v = 30 pm) : 55-60 h
- LT in 2010 (@ ε_y = 7 pm) : 35-40 h
- Discussion among users following the LT reduction (brilliance Vs intensity and stability). Two scenarios:
 - \checkmark alternate weeks of beam delivery with low and large emittance
 - ✓ stay at low emittance, add one more refill per day



- LT in 2009 (@ ε_v = 30 pm) : 55-60 h
- LT in 2010 (@ ε_y = 7 pm) : 35-40 h
- Discussion among users following the LT reduction (brilliance Vs intensity and stability). Two scenarios:
 - \checkmark alternate weeks of beam delivery with low and large emittance
 - ✓ stay at low emittance, add one more refill per day
- Mid 2010: decision for the additional 3rd asymmetric refill: two short daytime decays (9am, 3am) + long night decay (9pm)



- LT in 2009 (@ ε_v = 30 pm) : 55-60 h
- LT in 2010 (@ $\varepsilon_v = 7 \text{ pm}$) : 35-40 h
- Discussion among users following the LT reduction (brilliance Vs intensity and stability). Two scenarios:
 - ✓ alternate weeks of beam delivery with low and large emittance
 - ✓ stay at low emittance, add one more refill per day
- Mid 2010: decision for the additional 3rd asymmetric refill: two short daytime decays (9am, 3am) + long night decay (9pm)
- LT at the beginning of 2011 (@ ε_v = 3-4 pm) : 35-40 h



- LT in 2009 (@ ε_v = 30 pm) : 55-60 h
- LT in 2010 (@ ε_y = 7 pm) : 35-40 h
- Discussion among users following the LT reduction (brilliance Vs intensity and stability). Two scenarios:
 - \checkmark alternate weeks of beam delivery with low and large emittance
 - ✓ stay at low emittance, add one more refill per day
- Mid 2010: decision for the additional 3rd asymmetric refill: two short daytime decays (9am, 3am) + long night decay (9pm)
- LT at the beginning of 2011 (@ ε_v = 3-4 pm) : 35-40 h
- LT mid 2011(@ ε_y = 3-4 pm): 45 h after new sextupolar resonance correction. Back to the two symmetric daily refills (9am, 9pm)


A Light for Science

Drawback: reduced lifetime (7/8 +1 filling mode, @ 200 mA)



resonance correction. Back to the two symmetric daily refills (9am, 9pm)



Drawback: reduced lifetime (7/8 +1 filling mode, @ 200 mA)













European Synchrotron Radiation Facility





















 ORM + correction (focusing/coupling/D_y) performed weekly during machine-dedicated time at low current by operators (with ID gaps in the latest configuration)



- ORM + correction (focusing/coupling/D_y) performed weekly during machine-dedicated time at low current by operators (with ID gaps in the latest configuration)
- ORM + correction presently takes ~25 min. (20 + 5)



- ORM + correction (focusing/coupling/D_y) performed weekly during machine-dedicated time at low current by operators (with ID gaps in the latest configuration)
- ORM + correction presently takes ~25 min. (20 + 5)
- Coupling feed-forward runs continuously during beam delivery, under ID (and not OP) control system



- ORM + correction (focusing/coupling/D_y) performed weekly during machine-dedicated time at low current by operators (with ID gaps in the latest configuration)
- ORM + correction presently takes ~25 min. (20 + 5)
- Coupling feed-forward runs continuously during beam delivery, under ID (and not OP) control system
- Coupling feedback acts hourly, but only if $\overline{\epsilon_y} > 5 \text{ pm}$ (adjustable)



- ORM + correction (focusing/coupling/D_y) performed weekly during machine-dedicated time at low current by operators (with ID gaps in the latest configuration)
- ORM + correction presently takes ~25 min. (20 + 5)
- Coupling feed-forward runs continuously during beam delivery, under ID (and not OP) control system
- Coupling feedback acts hourly, but only if $\overline{\epsilon}_y > 5 \text{ pm}$ (adjustable)
- Looking into the future: under study the possibility of using the new AC orbit correction system to perform fast ORM measurements on all steerers in parallel (at different frequencies, ORM retrieved from harmonic analysis)



 In modern light sources based on storage rings the vertical emittance has a "triple identity" (equilibrium, projected and apparent) in the presence of coupling.



- In modern light sources based on storage rings the vertical emittance has a "triple identity" (equilibrium, projected and apparent) in the presence of coupling.
- The Resonance Driving Terms (RDT) formalism helps to clarify the various definitions and allows a straightforward linear correction algorithm.



- In modern light sources based on storage rings the vertical emittance has a "triple identity" (equilibrium, projected and apparent) in the presence of coupling.
- The Resonance Driving Terms (RDT) formalism helps to clarify the various definitions and allows a straightforward linear correction algorithm.
- Applications in the ESRF storage ring led to vertical emittance of $\varepsilon_y = 2.8 \pm 1.1$ pm, a record low for this machine $(\varepsilon_x=4.2 \text{ nm} => \varepsilon_y/\varepsilon_x \approx 0.06\%$, a factor 10 lower than in the past).



- In modern light sources based on storage rings the vertical emittance has a "triple identity" (equilibrium, projected and apparent) in the presence of coupling.
- The Resonance Driving Terms (RDT) formalism helps to clarify the various definitions and allows a straightforward linear correction algorithm.
- Applications in the ESRF storage ring led to vertical emittance of $\varepsilon_y = 2.8 \pm 1.1$ pm, a record low for this machine $(\varepsilon_x=4.2 \text{ nm} => \varepsilon_y/\varepsilon_x \approx 0.06\%, \text{ a factor 10 lower than in the past}).$
- Two procedures to preserve small vertical emittance during beam delivery were successfully tested: as of spring 2011 stable $\varepsilon_y = 3.2-4.5$ pm delivered to users (lifetime of 45 hours after refilling @ 200 mA, 10 hours less than in the past only).