



188

ingole Moment Q





### Summary and Whitepaper

SURA Headquarters Washington D.C. July 23-24, 2012

<sup>258</sup>Fm (SkM\*)

-1870 -1880 -1890

-1900

-1920

-1930

-1940



# Nuclear Physics Research

Unraveling the Origin and Nature of the Visible Matter



Quantum Chromodynamics Electroweak Interactions

**Nuclear** Physics



Establishing and verifying the capability to reliably predict



# A Broad and Balanced Nuclear Physics Agenda

Phase transition(s) at early times, light sources at later times



Production of most elements in the cosmos



Matter under extreme conditions



Nuclei and their reactions: The structure of, and Energy, Medical Isotopes, National Security,... forces between, nucleons

Search for **New Physics** 







Enormous range of length scales involved



# Computing is Essential























# Computing is Essential

### High Performance Computing provides answers to questions that neither experiment nor analytic theory can address; hence, it becomes the third leg supporting the field of nuclear physics

National Academy Report (2012)



#### **Computational Nuclear** Cold QCD **Physics** Nature is finely tuned capacity The Quantum Vacuum capability resources resources $L \sim 4 \text{ fm}$ $\Delta t \sim 6 \times 10^{-24} \ s$ capacity **Topological Charge Density** exotics (Massimo DiPierro) resources Jefferson Lab 11 s = -1s = -2s = 01. EDM EDM B [MeV] -100Time + -120 neutron neutron USQCD Reversal $1^{-+}$ -1402-body 3-body **GlueX** Detector USOCD 4-body <sup>3</sup><sub>v</sub>He <sup>4</sup><sub>A</sub>He H-dib nΞ <sup>4</sup><sub>AA</sub>He <sup>3</sup><sub>A</sub>H <sup>3</sup><sub>A</sub>He $^{2}$ H $^{3}$ He $^{4}$ He $n\Sigma$ nn SPALL TION REPRICE ME REAL



### Hot QCD





# Nuclear Structure and Reactions









### Nuclear Astrophysics









(Partial) Unification of Nuclear Physics

- Quantifiable Uncertainties
- Predictive Capability





# Collaboration with CS/AM



SciDAC (Collaboration) has been crucial in progress





### Present Day Resources













2011-2012 : capability and capacityCold QCD~ 50 TflopsHotQCD~ 50 TflopsNuclear Structure and Reactions~ 20 TflopsNuclear Astrophysics~ 10 TflopsTotal~ 130 Tflops (sustained)



Resources for Viability, Impact of Flat Funding

Getting the job done - but when ? NSAC Milestones ? Synchronized with the experimental program (+- n-years) ? International Leadership ?



# Flat + COLA

Moore's Law Algorithm Potential



Resources for Viability, Impact of Flat Funding

Getting the job done - but when ? NSAC Milestones ? Synchronized with the experimental program (+- n-years) ? International Leadership ?



### Viable (?) 5 x Moore's Law Algorithm Potential



### US Competitiveness

Today : US Nuclear Physics is under-resourced



Japan :

K-Machine ~ 2 Petaflops (sustained)
Nuclear Physics allocation ~ 20% for >= 1 year
400 Tflops (sustained) = 8 x US cold-QCD NP resource

For entertainment purposes :

cold-QCD :

Japan : 3.2 Mflops/citizen

USA: 160 kflops/citizen !!!



# Machine Design and Optimization





### Collaboration is Essential

- Different areas in Nuclear Physics
  - coherent community effort partial unification of NP
  - with Particle Physics, Plasma, Fluids, ....
- Computer Scientists
  - hardware development
  - optimizations
  - new coding paradigms
  - data management, visualization....
- Applied Mathematicians
  - algorithm development
- Statisticians
  - Monte Carlo
- Many collaborations currently exist
  - embraced and strengthened
  - requires support mechanism
  - International and multi-Institutional











### Human Resources

- For viable program we need to grow HPC expertise in the NP community
  - faster than Moore's Law
  - not business as usual
- The standard interdisciplinary hiring problems exist
  - challenge at Universities (at Labs?)
  - new training models, start today for 2020?



- Broad collaborations
  - Graduate students and postdocs hired into collaboration
    - naive scaling from UNEDF programs (2009 estimate) =

enhancement in person-power (+10+10 per project ?)

• Organization in the Nuclear Physics community



### The Timeline



Organizers/Writers Adam Burrows Joe Carlson Robert Edwards Witek Nazarewicz Peter Petreczky David Richards Martin Savage





NSAC Tribble Committee Questions

-

Most Important :

Present a compelling case for significant enhancements in resources dedicated to NP research program (seems easy to me !)

- clearly articulate what we want to do
- my view : this is the right time to go for it
- will lose leadership if we don't
- will lose scientists if we don't
- not necessarily a scaling of present

(e.g. tighter coupling between allocations and base support?)



### The Agenda

Monday, July 23 , 2012		
8:30 8:35	Welcome	Jerry Draayer
8:35-8:45	Introduction	Martin Savage
8:45-9:45	Cold QCD	Tom Luu, Balint Joo
9:45-10:45	Hot QCD	Peter Petreczky, Swagato Mukerjee
10:45- 11:15	Coffee Break	
11:15- 12:15	Nuclear Structure and Reactions	Joe Carlson, Ewing Lusk
12:15- 13:15	Lunch Break	
13:15- 14:15	Astrophysics	Adam Burrows, Bronson Messer
14:15- 14:45	Additional Opportunities for Computational Nuclear Physics-5 Minutes and Maximum of Two Transparencies From Floor	
14:45- 15:15	Coffee Break	
15:15- 15:35	Whitepaper: Aims and Plans	Martin Savage
15:35-	General Discussion, With Attendance by Agency Representatives	
Tuesday, July 24, 2012		
8:25 8:30	Introduction to the 2nd Day	Martin Savage
8:35-10:00	Computational Requirements Working Session	Robert Edwards (Chair)
10:00- 10:30	Coffee Break	
10:30- 12:00	Evolution of Computational Physics and Cross- Cutting	David Dean (Chair)
12:00- 13:00	Lunch Break	
13:00- 14:00	Summary, Resolutions, and Closeout	Witold Nazarewicz, David Richards
14:00- 15:30	Writing Group Session	

DAQ - phase



### NSAC Tribble Committee Questions

(1) What major scientific accomplishments and discoveries have occurred in your area of high-performance computing since the 2007 LRP was drafted?

(2a) What compelling and unique science can be carried out in the program in the next five years assuming support similar to FY13 that includes cost of living increases?(2b) What additional impact would flat-flat funding to FY18 have on (2a)?

(3) What is the minimum level of support (cycles, new hardware, etc.) needed to maintain a viable program in computational nuclear physics?

(4) What workforce (physicists, CS, AM, students) is needed to maintain a viable program? What will it require to take the community to the exascale era (e.g., training of students and postdocs)?

(5) What science would you expect to pursue in the program in 2020 and beyond?What is needed to support this?What science would you expect to pursue without access to major supercomputer centers?

(6) What is role of the science in your research area in the international context? If the US effort in high-performance computing were seriously curtailed, to what degree would efforts in other countries fill the gap?

And, to what degree would US scientists be able to advance research in this area by working outside of the country?

(7) How does high performance computing contribute to the educational mission of training the future workforce in nuclear physics and associated applied areas?



### The End, or is it the Beginning

