# The Charmed Strange Mesons from Lattice QCD with Overlap Fermions 

Shao-Jing Dong and Keh Fei Liu
University of Kentucky
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## The $D_{s 0}^{*}(2317)$ and questions

- BaBar collaboration ( Phys. Rev. lett.(90)242001, 2003 ) and CLEO collaboration ( arXiv:hep-ex/0305017). PDG gives $M \approx 2317.8(6) \mathrm{MeV}$ and $J^{P}=0^{+}(2007)$
- The quark potential model gives 2.48 GeV ( S. Godfrey, N. Isgur Phys. Rev. D32(1985) 189 ).
- T. Banes and collaborators thought it could be a $D K$ molecule since $D_{s 0}^{*}(2317)$ is 160 MeV lighter than that predicted in the potential model.
- W. Bardeen et al discuss the $0^{-}-0^{+}$splitting in terms of chiral symmetry and gives $\Delta M \approx 338 \mathrm{MeV}$. It is very close experimental 349 MeV . However, the chiral loop will reduce this expectation. ( P. Colangelo et al hep-ph/0305140, S. Godfrey hep-ph/0305122 )
- Lattice prediction in static limit with NRQCD charm correction gives $2.57(11) \mathrm{GeV}$ ( Gunnar Bali hep-ph/0305209 )
- Lattice NRQCD quenched $2.50(2) \mathrm{GeV}$ (R. Lewis et al hep-lat/0003011 )


## Overlap Fermions

- Massive Overlap Fermion does not have order ma error

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(m) & =D+m a\left(1-\frac{1}{2} D\right) \\
\psi^{c} & =\left(1-\frac{1}{2} D\right) \psi ; \quad D_{c}=\frac{D}{1-\frac{1}{2} D} \\
\Rightarrow \text { propagator } & =\frac{1}{D_{c}+m} ; \quad\left\{D_{c}, \gamma_{5}\right\}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- The order $a^{2}$ error is small too. ( T. Draper et all hep-lat/0609034 )
- By examining the dispersion relation and the hyperfine splitting, we showed that one could use ma smaller than 0.5 and keep the systematic $O\left(m a^{2}\right)$ and $O\left(m^{2} a^{2}\right)$ errors to less than $3 \%$ to $4 \%$ (S.J. Dong, K. F. Liu arXiv:07103038(hep-lat) )


## The Lattice Detail

- $16^{3} \times 72$ lattice with Wilson gauge action (S. Tamhankar, A. Alexandru, Y. Chen, S. J. Dong, T. Draper, I. Horváth, F. X. Lee, K. F. Liu, N. Mathur, J. B. Zhang; hep-lat/0409128 )
- $\beta=6.3345, a=0.0560 \mathrm{fm}$ with $r_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{fm}$ scale.
- Multi-mass inverter with 26 quark masses ( $m a=0.020-0.85$ ), the bare mass correspond to 70 MeV to 3.0 GeV .
- From Charmonium spectrum the charm mass in lattice units is $m_{c} a=0.431(1)$ with $r_{0}$ scale which is less than 0.5 .
- From $\phi\left(1^{-}\right)=1020 \mathrm{MeV}$ the strange mass in lattice units is $m_{s} a=0.0205(32)$ with $r_{0}$ scale.



## The charmed strange meson calculation

- On the same lattice with the same overlape fermion action for charm and strange quarks.
- For meson correlators, we use standard local interpolating fields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0^{-} & \Longrightarrow \chi(x)=\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_{5} \psi(x) \\
0^{+} & \Longrightarrow \chi(x)=\bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x) \\
1^{-} & \Longrightarrow \chi(x)=\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_{j} \psi(x) \quad j=1,2,3 \\
1^{+} & \Longrightarrow \chi(x)=\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_{5} \gamma_{j} \psi(x) \quad j=1,2,3 \\
\text { and } & \\
1^{+} & \Longrightarrow \chi_{b}(x)=\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \psi(x) \quad\{i j\}=\{12\},\{23\},\{31\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 100 configurations with $m_{s} a=0.025, m_{c} a=0.450$
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Table 1: charmed strange meson masses

| Particle | $\operatorname{Mass}(\times a)$ | Lattice (MeV) | Exp. (MeV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{s}\left(0^{-}\right)$ | $0.5608(31)$ | $1976(11)$ | $1968.49(34)$ |
| $D_{s}^{*}\left(1^{-}\right)$ | $0.6049(36)$ | $2131(13)$ | $2112.3(5)$ |
| $D_{s 0}^{*}\left(0^{+}\right)$ | $0.638(22)$ | $2248(78)$ | $2317.8(6)$ |
| $D_{s 1}\left(1^{+}\right)$ | $0.684(18)$ | $2410(63)$ | $2459.6(6)$ |
| $D_{s 1}\left(1_{b}^{+}\right)$ | $0.703(26)$ | $2476(92)$ | $2535.35(84)$ |
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## The Summary and Outlook

- The overlap fermion quenched approximation results with $\bar{\psi} \psi$ interpolating fields are consistent with experimental results withing error.
- Comparison with static limit lattice results shows that the $0^{+}$mass is lower that NRQCD and static limit predicted. However is heavier than RHQ action predicted (CP-PACS hep-lat/0611033v3).
- Four quark interpolating field for $D_{s 0}^{*}(2317)$ ? $D_{s} K$ molecule for $D_{s 0}^{*}(2317) ?$
- The $1^{-}$meson mass is a good match to $D_{S}^{*}(2112)$.
- This work uses lattice $L a \approx 0.9 \mathrm{fm}$, the volumn maybe small. Larger lattice are needed to check our results.


