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- GPD's and generalized form factors (GFF's).
- Summary of LHPC hadron structure program.
- Some preliminary lattice results.
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## GPD's and Generalized Form Factors (GFF's)

- Experimentalists measure matrix elements of light cone operators

$$
\left\langle P^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{q}|P S\rangle=\left\langle P^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right| \bar{q}\left(-\frac{x^{-}}{2}\right) \Gamma \mathcal{P} \exp \left[-i g \int_{x^{-} / 2}^{-x^{-} / 2} A^{+}(y) d y\right] q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)|P S\rangle
$$

- They can be written in terms of generalized parton distributions (GPD's)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{d x^{-}}{2 \pi} e^{i x^{-} \bar{p}^{+} x}\left\langle P^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{q}|P S\rangle \\
& =\bar{U}\left(P^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)\left[H^{q}\left(x, \xi, \Delta^{2}\right) \Gamma+E^{q}\left(x, \xi, \Delta^{2}\right) \frac{i \sigma \cdot \Delta}{2 M}\right] U(P, S)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Eight GPD's in all: $H, E, \widetilde{H}, \widetilde{E}, H_{T}, E_{T}, \widetilde{H}_{T}, \widetilde{E}_{T}$
- Using OPE, light cone operators replaced by tower of local twist two operators

$$
\left.\left\langle P^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{n}}|P S\rangle=\left\langle P^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right| \bar{q}(x) i D^{\left(\mu_{1}\right.} \cdots i D^{\mu_{n-1}} \Gamma^{\mu_{n}}\right) q(x)|P S\rangle
$$

- They can be parameterized by generalized form factors (GFF's), i. e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle P^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{O}_{q}^{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}}|P S\rangle= \\
& \bar{U}\left(P^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)\left[A_{20}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right) \gamma^{\left(\mu_{1}\right.} \Delta^{\left.\mu_{2}\right)}+B_{20}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right) \frac{i \sigma^{\left(\mu_{1} \alpha\right.} \Delta^{\alpha}}{2 M} \Delta^{\left.\mu_{2}\right)}+C_{2}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right) \frac{\Delta^{\left(\mu_{1}\right.} \Delta^{\left.\mu_{2}\right)}}{2 M}\right] U(P, S)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Nine GFF's in all: $A_{n i}, B_{n i}, C_{n}, \widetilde{A}_{n i}, \widetilde{B}_{n i}, A_{T n i}, B_{T n i}, \widetilde{A}_{T n i}, \widetilde{B}_{T n i}$


## Equivalence of GPD's and GFF's

- GPD's and GFF's are formally equivalent by Mellin transformation e. g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x x^{n-1} H^{q}\left(x, \xi, Q^{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=0, \text { even }}^{n-1} A_{n i}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right)(-2 \xi)^{i}+\delta_{n, \text { even }} C_{n}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right)(-2 \xi)^{n} \\
\int_{-1}^{1} d x x^{n-1} E^{q}\left(x, \xi, Q^{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=0, \text { even }}^{n-1} B_{n i}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right)(-2 \xi)^{i}-\delta_{n, \text { even }} C_{n}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right)(-2 \xi)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Choice of GPD's vs. GFF's depends on physics.

GPD: PDF's and transverse PDF's
GFF: elastic form factors and nucleon spin

- In Euclidean lattice QCD, only GFF's can be computed directly.
- Many GFF's are familiar experimental quantities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -A_{10}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right)=F_{1}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right), \quad B_{10}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right)=F_{2}^{2}\left(Q^{2}\right) \\
& -\widetilde{A}_{10}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right)=G_{A}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right), \quad \widetilde{B}_{10}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right)=G_{P}^{q}\left(Q^{2}\right), \\
& -J^{q}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{20}^{q}(0)+B_{20}^{q}(0)\right), \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma^{q}=\widetilde{A}_{10}^{q}(0) \\
& -L^{q}=J^{q}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma^{q} \\
& -\left\langle x^{n-1}\right\rangle_{q}=A_{n 0}^{q}(0), \quad\left\langle x^{n-1}\right\rangle_{\Delta q}=\widetilde{A}_{n 0}^{q}(0),\left\langle x^{n-1}\right\rangle_{\delta q}=A_{T n 0}^{q}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Long term program to compute all $n \leq 4$ GFF's in dynamical lattice QCD.
- Current pion masses $m_{\pi} \approx 350-750 \mathrm{MeV}$ and lattice spacing $a \approx \frac{1}{8} \mathrm{fm}$.
- Status of the calculation

| Operators | Matrix elements | Operator renorm. | GFF <br> extraction | Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{q} \Gamma_{\mu} q$ | Done! | Done! | Almost done | Starting |
| $\bar{q} \Gamma_{(\mu} D_{\nu)} q$ | Done! | Done! | Almost done | Starting |
| $\bar{q} \Gamma_{(\mu} D_{\nu} D_{\rho)} q$ | Done! | Done! | Almost done | Starting |
| $\bar{q} \Gamma_{(\mu} D_{\nu} D_{\rho} D_{\sigma)} q$ | Not yet | Done! | Not yet | Not yet |

- Only isovector flavor combinations for GFF's in this round.
- Finite perturbative renormalization needed to quote results in $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme.

$$
\left\langle P^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{n}}|P S\rangle_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}=Z\left\langle P^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right| \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{n}}|P S\rangle_{\mathrm{latt}}
$$

- Lighter pion masses $m_{\pi} \approx 250-350 \mathrm{MeV}$ finished by next year.

Tree level: $Z=1$, One loop HYP corrections: $<10 \%$.

| operator | $H(4)$ | NOS | HYP | APE |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right] q$ | $1_{1}^{ \pm}$ | 0.68 | 0.971 | 1.07 |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right] \gamma_{\mu} q$ | $4_{4}^{\mp}$ | 0.765 | 0.964 | 0.99 |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right] \sigma_{\alpha_{\nu}} q$ | $6_{1}^{\mp}$ | 0.821 | 0.987 | 0.989 |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right] \gamma_{\{\mu} D_{v\}} q$ | $6_{3}^{ \pm}$ | 0.986 | 0.968 | 0.929 |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right] \gamma_{\{\mu} D_{v\}} q$ | $3_{1}^{ \pm}$ | 0.972 | 0.962 | 0.925 |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right] \gamma_{\{\mu} D_{v} D_{\alpha\}} q$ | $8_{1}^{\mp}$ | 1.206 | 0.982 | 0.898 |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right] \gamma_{\{\mu} D_{v} D_{\alpha\}} q$ | mixing | $8.78 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.88 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.26 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right] \gamma_{\{\mu} D_{v} D_{\alpha\}} q$ | $4_{2}^{\mp}$ | 1.191 | 0.98 | 0.898 |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right] \gamma_{\{\mu} D_{v} D_{\alpha} D_{\beta\}} q$ | $2_{1}^{ \pm}$ | 1.375 | 0.989 | 0.876 |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right] \sigma_{\mu\{v} D_{\alpha\}} q$ | $8_{1}^{ \pm}$ | 1.018 | 0.991 | 0.945 |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right] \gamma_{[\mu} D_{v]} q$ | $6_{1}^{\mp}$ | 0.967 | 0.973 | 0.983 |
| $\bar{q}\left[\gamma_{5}\right]{ }_{[\mu \mu} D_{\{v]} D_{\alpha\}} q$ | $8_{1}^{ \pm}$ | 0.931 | 0.937 | 0.947 |

Table 11.17: Full $\overline{M S}$ to lattice renormalization coefficients for $M=1.7$ and 1-loop expression for $g$. By chiral symmetry matrix elements are the same (except for parity) with and without $\gamma_{5}$, and this is indicated by the $\left[\gamma_{5}\right]$ notation where the upper parity arises in the absence of $\gamma_{5}$.
B. Bistrovic, Ph. D. Thesis, MIT, 2005

## The Nucleon Electromagnetic Form Factors

- Brodsky-Lepage predicted $F_{1} \sim Q^{-4}$ and $F_{2} \sim Q^{-6}$ as $Q^{2} \rightarrow \infty$.
- Older $L / T$ separation experiments observed predicted behavior.
- New polarization transfer experiments do not see expected scaling.
- One Photon Exchange approximation may not be justified.
- Softer scaling also possible (hep-ph/0212351)

$$
\frac{Q^{2}}{\log ^{2}\left(Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right)} \frac{F_{2}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{F_{1}\left(Q^{2}\right)} \sim \mathrm{const}
$$



## PRELIMINARY

- Only $I=1$ form factors computed so far to avoid disconnected diagrams. $\quad F_{1}^{I=1}=$ $F_{1 p}-F_{1 n}$ but $F_{1 n}, F_{2 n}$ not known accurately for $Q^{2} \gtrsim 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.
- Our normalization is $F_{2}\left(Q^{2}\right) \rightarrow \kappa$ as $Q^{2} \rightarrow 0$.



## Nucleon $F_{2} / F_{1}$ on the Lattice (II)

## PRELIMINARY

- $F_{2}^{I=1} / F_{1}^{I=1} \rightarrow \kappa_{p}-\kappa_{n}$ as $Q^{2} \rightarrow 0$.
- PDG: $\kappa_{p}=1.792847351(28)$
- PDG: $\kappa_{n}=-1.91304273(45)$
- So, comparison of $I=1$ with $p-$ $n$ could be OK with proper chiral extrapolation.



## Nucleon axial charge $g_{A}$ in a finite volume

- $g_{A}$ is strongly suppressed by finite volume when $m_{\pi} L<4$.
- Graph from hep-lat/0409161 (QCDSF). $m_{\pi}=717 \mathrm{MeV}$, curve is LO $\chi$ PT.



## NLO $\chi$ PT (with $\Delta$ ) extrapolation of $g_{A}$

- Fitting formula proposed by Hemmert et al. hep-lat/0303002.
- Two free parameters: $g_{N N}^{A}$ and $g_{\Delta \Delta}^{A}$.
- Other parameters fixed by phenomenology: $f_{\pi}, g_{N \Delta}^{A}, m_{\Delta}-m_{N}, B_{9}-g_{A} B_{20}$.



## Transverse quark distributions



$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n 0}^{q}\left(-\Delta_{\perp}^{2}\right)= & \int d^{2} b_{\perp} e^{i \Delta_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\perp}} \int_{-1}^{1} x^{n-1} q\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{\perp}\right) \\
& \left\langle b_{\perp}^{2}\right\rangle_{(n)}^{q}=-4 \frac{A_{n 0}^{q \prime}(0)}{A_{n 0}^{q}(0)} \\
& \lim _{x \rightarrow 1} q\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{\perp}\right) \propto \delta\left(b_{\perp}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

M. Burkardt hep-ph/0207047

- Higher moments $A_{n 0}$ weight $x \sim 1$.
- Slope of $A_{n 0}^{q}$ decreases as $n$ increases.
- Slope of $A_{10}^{u-d}(0)=-0.93(4)(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$.
- Slope of $A_{30}^{u-d}(0)=-0.13(3)(\mathrm{GeV})^{2}$.
- Will this continue at light pion masses?

D. Renner (LHPC/SESAM)

- Large scale computation of isovector matrix elements $(n \leq 3)$ is done. Data analysis is proceeding rapidly. Expect published results soon.
- Isoscalar and strange matrix elements are $\mathcal{O}(10)-\mathcal{O}(100)$ times harder to compute due to statistical noise. We'll make our first serious attempt this year.
- Perturbative renormalization complete. Bojan Bistrovic (MIT)
- Reaching higher $Q^{2}$ is high priority for nucleon form factors.

