#### **Nucleon Form Factors @JLab**

Mark K. Jones, Jefferson Lab

#### **Nucleon Form Factors @JLab**

Mark K. Jones, Jefferson Lab





#### **Nucleon Form Factors @JLab**

#### Mark K. Jones, Jefferson Lab



Nucleon vertex:  

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(p',p) = \underbrace{F_{1}(Q^{2})}_{Dirac} \gamma_{\mu} + \frac{i\kappa_{p}}{2M_{p}} \underbrace{F_{2}(Q^{2})}_{Pauli} \sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}$$

$$G_{E}(Q^{2}) = F_{1}(Q^{2}) \cdot \kappa_{N}\tau F_{2}(Q^{2})$$

$$G_{M}(Q^{2}) = F_{1}(Q^{2}) + \kappa_{N} F_{2}(Q^{2}), \tau = \frac{Q^{2}}{4M_{N}}$$
At  $Q^{2} = 0$   $G_{Mp} = 2.79 G_{Mn} = -1.91$   
 $G_{Ep} = 1 G_{En} = 0$ 
Extract  $G_{E}$  and  $G_{M}$  from:  
Cross-section measurements  $N(e, e')$ 
Beam-target Asymmetries  $\vec{N}(\vec{e}, e')N$ 

Recoil polarization  $N(ec{e},e')ec{N}$ 

Extract  $G_{Mn}$  from inclusive d(e,e') quasielastic scattering cross section data.

 $\sigma \propto R_T + \epsilon R_L$ 

In PWIA :  $R_T \propto (G_M^n)^2 + (G_M^p)^2$  $R_L \propto (G_E^n)^2 + (G_E^p)^2$ 

Extract  $G_{Mn}$  from inclusive d(e,e') quasielastic scattering cross section data.

$$\sigma \propto R_T + \epsilon R_L$$

In PWIA :  $R_T \propto (G_M^n)^2 + (G_M^p)^2$  $R_L \propto (G_E^n)^2 + (G_E^p)^2$ 



Extract  $G_{Mn}$  from inclusive d(e,e') quasielastic scattering cross section data.

$$\sigma \propto R_T + \epsilon R_L$$

In PWIA :  $R_T \propto (G_M^n)^2 + (G_M^p)^2$  $R_L \propto (G_E^n)^2 + (G_E^p)^2$ 



Difficulties:

- Subtraction of large proton contribution
- Sensitive to deuteron model.



- ▶ Measure  $\sigma(e, e'n)$  quasi-elastic. → Reduce proton contribution.
- But still sensitive to deuteron model.
- Need to know absolute neutron detection efficiency.



■ Measure  $\frac{\sigma(e,e'n)}{\sigma(e,e'p)}$  → Sensitivity to <sup>2</sup>H model cancels in ratio.

- Proton and neutron detected in same detector simultaneously.
- Need to know absolute neutron detection efficiency.

→ Bonn used  $p(\gamma, \pi^+)n \ in - situ$ 



■ Measure  $\frac{\sigma(e,e'n)}{\sigma(e,e'p)}$  → Sensitivity to <sup>2</sup>H model cancels in ratio.

- Proton and neutron detected in same detector simultaneously.
- Need to know absolute neutron detection efficiency.
- $\rightarrow$  NIKHEF and Mainz p(n, p)n with tagged neutron beam at PSI.



Solution Extract  $G_{Mn}$  from  ${}^{3}\vec{\mathsf{He}}(\vec{\mathsf{e}},\mathsf{e}')$  transverse asymmetry,  $A_{T}$ 

- At  $Q^2 = 0.1$  and 0.2, use full three-body non-relativistic Fadeev calculation of  $A_T$ .
- **9**  $Q^2 > 0.2$ , use PWIA calculation of  $A_T$ .

### $\mathbf{G}_{Mn}$ measurement in Hall B





- **9**  $G_E$  from elastic *ed* cross sections (Galster (1971), Platchkov (1990))
- $\sigma \propto A(Q^2) + B(Q^2) \tan^2(\frac{\theta}{2})$
- Extract  $G_E^n$  from  $A(Q^2)$  using deuteron model
- But very sensitive to NN potential.



- $T_{20}$  from elastic  $d(e,e'\vec{d})$  (JLab Hall C).
- Combine  $T_{20}(Q^2)$  with world data to determine  $F_{C2}$ .
- Extract  $G_E$  with less theory uncertainty
  - (Schiavilla and Sick, PRC 64, 041002 (2001))



- Determine neutron charge radius from low energy neutron-electron scattering using <sup>208</sup>Pb and <sup>209</sup>Bi
- S. Kopecky *et al.*, PRC 56, 2229 (1997).



**9** G<sub>E</sub> from Quasi-free  ${}^{3}Hec{e}(e,e'n)$ 

• Set 
$$\theta^* = 90^\circ$$
,  $A_\perp \propto P_B P_T G_E / G_M$ 

● Set  $\theta^* = 0^\circ$ ,  $A_{\parallel} \propto P_B P_T \implies$  In PWIA,  $G_E/G_M \propto A_{\perp}/A_{\parallel}$ 



**9** G<sub>E</sub> from beam-target asymmetry with  $\vec{d}(\vec{e}, e'n)$ 

● Set 
$$\theta^* = 90^\circ \implies$$
 In PWIA  $A_{ed}^V = P_B P_T V \frac{aG_E G_M}{G_E^2 + \tau/\epsilon G_M^2}$ 

NIKHEF used electron storage ring with internal  $\vec{d}$  gas target.

JLab used UVa solid  $^{15}$ ND<sub>3</sub> target.



**9**  $G_E$  from recoil polarization  $d(\vec{e}, e' \vec{n})$ 

- At Mainz,  $Q^2 = 0.15$  to 0.80
- At JLab,  $Q^2$  = 0.45, 1.13, 1.45 → Highest  $Q^2$  yet!



Approved experiments at JLab to measure  $G_E$ :

$$Q^2 = 3.5$$
 in Hall A by  ${}^3He(\vec{e}, e'n)$  Run in Spring 2006

$$\checkmark$$
  $Q^2 = 4.3$  in Hall C by d( $\vec{e}$ ,e'  $\vec{n}$ )



 $\rightarrow ep$  elastic cross-section:

$$\sigma \propto \frac{\epsilon}{\tau} \left(\frac{G_E}{G_D}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{G_M}{G_D}\right)^2$$
$$G_D = (1 + Q^2/.71)^{-2}$$



 $\rightarrow ep$  elastic cross-section:

$$\sigma \propto \frac{\epsilon}{\tau} \left(\frac{G_E}{G_D}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{G_M}{G_D}\right)^2$$
$$G_D = (1 + Q^2/.71)^{-2}$$

 $\rightarrow \vec{e}\vec{p}$  elastic asymmetry:

 $A \propto G_E/G_M$ Relative sign of  $G_E/G_M$ 



 $\rightarrow ep$  elastic cross-section:

$$\sigma \propto \frac{\epsilon}{\tau} \left(\frac{G_E}{G_D}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{G_M}{G_D}\right)^2$$
$$G_D = (1 + Q^2/.71)^{-2}$$

 $\rightarrow \vec{e}\vec{p}$  elastic asymmetry:

 $A \propto G_E/G_M$ Relative sign of  $G_E/G_M$ 

Recent global fit PRC 69, 02201R (2004)



► *ep* elastic cross-section:

$$\sigma \propto \frac{\epsilon}{\tau} \left(\frac{G_E}{G_D}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{G_M}{G_D}\right)^2$$
$$G_D = (1 + Q^2/.71)^{-2}$$

 $\rightarrow \vec{e}\vec{p}$  elastic asymmetry:

 $A \propto G_E/G_M$ Relative sign of  $G_E/G_M$ 

Recent global fit PRC 69, 02201R (2004) Recent data in Hall C

M. E. Christy, PRC 70, 015206 (2004)



- Measure recoil
  polarization in  $p(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p})$
- First measurement at MIT-Bates



Measure recoil
polarization in  $p(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p})$ 

• 
$$\frac{G_E}{G_M} = -\frac{P_T}{P_L} \frac{(E_e + E_{e'})}{2M} \tan(\frac{\theta}{2})$$



- Measure recoil polarization in  $p(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p})$
- In Hall A,  $3.5 < Q^2 < 5.6$
- Did measurements to improve systematics
- Reanalyzed the low Q<sup>2</sup> data
  - Added  $Q^2$  = 2.2 point
  - Reduced systematics
  - S. Punjabi et al., PRC 71,

055202 (2005) M. K. Jones at Hall C Summer Workshop 2005 – p.8/13



Measure recoil
polarization in  $p(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p})$ 

- In Hall A,  $0.5 < Q^2 < 5.6$
- Did measurements to improve systematics
- Reanalyzed the low Q<sup>2</sup> data
  - Added  $Q^2$  = 2.2 point
  - Reduced systematics

M. K. Jones at Hall C Summer Workshop 2005 - p.8/13

S. Punjabi *et al.*, PRC 71,

055202 (2005)



- At JLab in Hall A did
   Rosenbluth separation
   with proton detected
  - Advantages:
    - Proton momentum fi xed at each  $\epsilon$
    - Cross section is nearly constant with  $\epsilon$
    - Reduces size of ε-dependent radiative corrections
    - Reduces systematic error from beam energy and scattering angle
    - I. Qattan *et al.* PRL 94, 142301 (2005)



Hall C RSS experiment

$$\begin{split} A_{el} &= \frac{K_1 \cos \theta^\star + K_2 \frac{G_E}{G_M} \sin \theta^\star \cos \phi^\star}{G_E^2/G_M^2 + \tau/\epsilon} \\ \theta^\star, \phi^\star = \text{polar and azimuthal angles} \\ \text{between } \vec{q} \text{ and target spin} \\ K_1, K_2 &= \text{kinematic factors} \end{split}$$

# **Future** $G_{Ep}/G_{Mp}$ measurements



•  $p(\vec{e}, e')\vec{p}$  in Hall C.

• Measure  $\frac{G_E}{G_M}$  to  $Q^2 = 9$ 

#### FPP Status

- Four Chambers have arrived and assembled in their frame.
- Chambers tested with source and now being tested with cosmics.

#### Calorimeter status

- Calorimeter is assembled and tested with cosmics
- Found problem with optical grease.
   Need to reattach PMT.

#### Estimate of $2\gamma$ exchange contribution



$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\mu}(p',p) &= \tilde{G}_{M}\gamma_{\mu} + -\tilde{F}_{2}\frac{P^{u}}{M} + \tilde{F}_{3}\frac{\gamma\cdot KP^{u}}{M^{2}} \\ \tilde{G}_{M} &= G_{M} + \delta\tilde{G}_{M} \text{ , } \tilde{F}_{2} = F_{2} + \delta\tilde{F}_{2} \text{, } \tilde{F}_{3} \text{ purely from } 2\gamma \\ \sigma_{R} &\sim \frac{\tilde{G}_{M}^{2}}{\tau} \{\tau + \epsilon \frac{\tilde{G}_{E}^{2}}{\tilde{G}_{M}^{2}} + 2\epsilon(\tau + \frac{\tilde{G}_{E}}{\tilde{G}_{M}})\mathcal{R}(\frac{\nu\tilde{F}_{3}}{M^{2}\tilde{G}_{M}})\} \\ \frac{P_{T}}{P_{L}} &\sim -\sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon}{\tau(1+\epsilon}} \{\frac{\tilde{G}_{E}}{\tilde{G}_{M}} + (1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}\frac{\tilde{G}_{E}}{\tilde{G}_{M}})\mathcal{R}(\frac{\nu\tilde{F}_{3}}{M^{2}\tilde{G}_{M}})\} \end{split}$$
To explain discrepancy need  $\mathcal{R}(\frac{\nu\tilde{F}_{3}}{M^{2}\tilde{G}_{M}}) \sim 3\%$  with small  $Q^{2}$ 

and  $\epsilon$  dependence. P.A.M. Guichon and M. Vanderhaegen, PRL (2003)

#### **Calculation 2** $\gamma$ exchange contribution

Nucleon elastic intermediate state



P.G. Blunden, W. Melnitchouk, J.A. Tjon, nuclth/0506039

### **Calculation 2** $\gamma$ exchange contribution



#### Measurement of $2\gamma$ contribution

Precision measurement of  $\epsilon$ -dependence of ep elastic cross section in Hall C. (*J. Arrington, E05-017*)

#### Measurement of $2\gamma$ contribution

- Measure  $\epsilon$ -dependence of ratio of  $e^- p/e^+ p$  elastic cross section in Hall B



(A. Afanasev, J. Arrington, W. Brooks, K. Joo, L. Weinstein, E-04-116)

#### Measurement of 2 $\gamma$ contribution

- Measure  $\epsilon$ -dependence of ratio of  $e^-p/e^+p$  elastic cross section in Hall B



(A. Afanasev, J. Arrington, W. Brooks, K. Joo, L. Weinstein, E-04-116)

Measure  $\epsilon$ -dependence of  $\frac{G_E p}{G_M p}$  measured by recoil polarization method in Hall C . (*R. Gilman, L. Pentchev, C. Perdrisat, R. Suleiman E04-019*)



## **Summary of form factors**

