## Quark PDFs from non-LHC experiments

#### Alberto Accardi Hampton U. and Jefferson Lab

HU Nuclear Physics Group Meeting 18 February 2014

(With a few ideas and slides from P. Nadolski)

#### **Non-LHC experiments** - a non exhaustive list...

#### Fixed target experiments

- JLab 6 / 12 GeV
- Compass, HERMES
- E906 / E866

#### Lower energy colliders

- RHIC
- EIC / LHeC

### **Quark PDFs**



### Why? [high-energy]

Accardi, Mod.Phys.Lett. A28(2013)35

#### Reduce uncertainties

- Increase potential for LHC discoveries
- Precision measurements of particle properties

#### **Control** *Q*<sup>2</sup> evolution





http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-26250716 Energy frontier @ Snowmass, arXiv:1310.5189



### Why? [hadronic physics]

#### Non-perturbative structure of the proton

Effects of confinement on valence quarks

(b)

- Intrinsic sea generation
- q qbar asymmetries

 $J_{\nu} = a^{\nu+cs}$ 

(a)

- Isospin symmetry violation
- Comparison to lattice QCD





 $J_{\mu} q^{ds} \bar{q}^{ds} J_{\mu}$ 

(c)

Valence quarks

### Large-x d/u quark ratio: state-of-the-art

#### CJ12: CTEQ-JLab global parton PDF fits

Owens, Accardi, Melnitchouk, PRD87 (2013) 094012

#### Use large-x, low-Q<sup>2</sup> data (SLAC, JLab 6)

- $3 \text{ GeV}^2 < W^2 < 14 \text{ GeV}^2$
- PDF errors reduced by 50% at x>0.5

#### Theoretical improvements

- Target mass & higher-twist corrections
- Generalized d-quark functional form to allow  $d/u \rightarrow$  finite as  $x \rightarrow 1$

$$d'(x) = d(x) + \alpha x^{\beta} u(x)$$

- Nuclear corrections for deuteron targets (Fermi motn., binding, offshellness)

$$F_{2d}(x_B, Q^2) = \int_{x_B}^{A} dy \, \mathcal{S}_A(y, \gamma) F_2^{TMC+HT}(x_B/y, Q^2) \left(1 + \frac{\delta^{off} F_2(x)}{F_2(x)}\right)$$



accardi@jlab.org

### Large-x d/u quark ratio: state-of-the-art

PRELIMINARY; Q=10 GeV CT10 NNLO (blue), CT1X NNLO (red); CJ12 (green)



### Example:

Brady, Accardi, Melnitchouk, Owens, JHEP 1206 (2012) 019



#### Large mass / forward physics

- Kaluza-Klein, M > 1.5 TeV,  $M_n = n M_1$
- Excited quarks, M > 3.5 TeV
- Contact interactions, M > 8 TeV
- Z+jets at large y [Mangano]
- LHCb, ...



$$x = \frac{M}{\sqrt{s}}e^y$$

#### Constraining the nuclear uncertainty

#### DIS data minimally sensitive to nuclear corrections

- DIS with slow spectator proton (BONUS)
  - Quasi-free neutrons
- <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>3</sup>H ratios (Marathon)

#### Data on free (anti)protons, sensitive to d

- *e+p*: parity-violating DIS
  HERA (e<sup>+</sup> vs. e<sup>-</sup>), EIC, LHeC
- $-v+p, \overline{v}+p$  (no experiment in sight)
- *p+p, p+p* at large positive rapidity
  - W charge asymmetry, Z rapidity distribution

#### Cross-check data

- *p+d* at large <u>negative</u> rapidity dileptons; *W, Z*
  - Sensitive to nuclear corrections, cross-checks *e*+*d* **AFTER@LHC**

Jlab

Tevatron: CDF, D0(?)

LHCb(?) RHIC

AFTER@LHC

RHIC??

### Use protons to study nuclei (!)

Brady, Accardi, Melnitchouk, Owens, JHEP 1206 (2012) 019

#### **Directly reconstructed W:** highest sensitivity to large x ← CDF Data 0.8 Tevatron CJ11 nuclear uncertainty 0.6 $A_W(y) = \frac{\sigma_(W^+) - \sigma_(W^-)}{\sigma_(W^+) + \sigma_(W^-)}$ 0.40.2 $\approx \frac{d/u(x_2) - d/u(x_1)}{d/u(x_2) + d/u(x_1)}$ 0 2 3 0 1 $y_W$ sensitive to Can constrain See also: MMSTWW d at high x **Deuteron models!** EPJ C73 (2013)

### Use protons to study nuclei (!)

Brady, Accardi, Melnitchouk, Owens, JHEP 1206 (2012) 019

- Present data
  - Favor minimum medium nuclear corrections

[CJ12, PRD87 (2013) 094012; MMSTWW, EPJ C73 (2013)]

- Needs to corroborate, consider PDF errors, extend method:
  - New W data from DØ
    - 10x statistics
    - Tension with CDF
  - W, Z at RHIC
  - Z (and W?) at LHC,
  - PVDIS at JLab 12
  - CC @ EIC / LHeC





### Large-x: how to move forward?

#### Experimental data:

- Few existing, planned experiments probe large-x quarks on proton targets before EIC / LHeC in year 2025++
- LHC will not be able to "measure its own PDFs" in this region (last chance was at 7 TeV, otherwise needs too large rapidity)
- Plentiful existing & near future data on deuterium (but need nuclear corrections)

#### Proposal: mixed strategy

- Use proton data to constrain nuclear corrections (!!)
- Fully utilize the deuteron target statistics

#### Past 2025

- EIC / LHeC will allow full flavor separation (NC & CC), high statistics
- Others: LHCx, AFTER@LHC, ... ??

# Sea quarks

### Charge symmetry breaking

$$\bar{d}(x) \neq \bar{u}(x) , \ \bar{q}(x) \neq q(x)$$

#### May be caused by

- DGLAP evolution
- Fermi motion
- Electromagnetic effects
- Nonperturbative meson fluctuations
- Chiral symmetry breaking
- Instantons





### Charge symmetry breaking

#### **E866** lepton pairs:

$$\bar{d}(x) - \bar{u}(x) \neq 0$$
 at  $x > 0.1$ 

Maybe even negative (a theory challenge...)

#### 🖵 E906 / SeaQuest

- Will focus on large x
- LHC W/Z production:
  - Access to x ~ 0.01 range



#### Theory corrections...

$$igcap$$
 But  $\frac{ar{d}}{ar{u}} pprox rac{\sigma_{pp}}{\sigma_{pd}} - 1$  only assuming

- Charge symmetry of protons and neutrons  $(u_p = d_n, d_p = u_n)$
- Negligible Fermi motion, binding, shadowing corrections
- Off-shell effects non-negligible, suppress the cross section
  - Increase dbar/ubar ratio comapred to naïve analyss

#### Theory corrections...



At a few-percent accuracy, charge symmetry violation and nuclear corrections must be explicitly estimated if the data on deuterium is used

### ...through global fits

**Example for constraining CSV:** 

 contrast CDF/D0 reconstructed W data to BONUS spectator tagged neutron DIS

**Nuclear corrections** are at few percent level at moderate *x Accardi et al. PRD81 (2010), Ball et al. ArXiv:1303.1189 (2013)* 

- Constrain nuclear corrections in DIS by comparing e+d to p+p data
- Use the same nuclear model in p+d  $\rightarrow$  Drell-yan



### Strangeness and strangeness asymmetry

$$s^{\pm}(x) = s(x) \pm \bar{s}(x)$$
  $[s^{\pm}] = \int_0^1 dx \, x \, s^{\pm}(x)$ 

In PDF fits, constrained (so far) mostly by v+A data

- CCFR inclusive DIS
- NuTeV muon pair production

Nuclear corrections again...

- <u>Initial state nuclear wave-function modifications</u>
  - Partly under phenomenological control using nPDF
  - But: double counting!!
- Final state propagation of the charm quark / D meson
  - Out of theoretical / phenomenological control (cf. heavy quark "puzzle" in A+A at RHIC, LHC)

### Strangeness and strangeness asymmetry

#### In my opinion: Don't use v+A data in proton PDF analysis!!

- Use neutrino data only for nPDFs, anchor these to proton PDFs
- For example, CJ + nCTEQ ==> robust nuclear corrections

#### Strangeness is important, though!

- Large [s] could explain alone the NuTeV anomaly!
- NNPDF 2009: [s<sup>-</sup>] = 0 +- 0.009
  - But does not include the mentioned nuclear uncertainty

### Strangeness and strangeness asymmetry

Need to find alternative observables sensitive to strangeness

- LHC can provide these at lower x
  - e.g. ATLAS *W* disfavors strangeness suppression (but Tevatron *W* and Drell-Yan favor it ...)
  - "W+c is competitive with v data" [Berryhill, CTEQ workshop 2013]
- What about moderate x at "non-LHC" experiments?
  - kaon SIDIS (but fragmentation uncertainty, higher twists, ...)
  - W lepton asymmetry at RHIC
  - e+A vs. e+p SIDIS at JLab/HERMES/EIC
    - ==> measure final state interactions



### Intrinsic and extrinsic sea quarks

- **Extrinsic sea:** radiatively generated
  - Asymmetries from EM corrections
  - Maps onto disconnected lattice diagrams



Intrinsic sea: non-perturbative

- Excited fock states symmetric
- − p  $\rightarrow$  π+N, K+ $\Lambda$  , D+ $\Lambda$ c − asymmetric
- Connected lattice diagrams





CTEQ workshop – 15 Nov 2013



### Intrinsic and extrinsic sea quarks

Smooth parametrizations can hide existence of two components





Intrinsic charm (IC) can carry up to 1% of the proton momentum

 And if asymmetric, would pull NuTeV anomaly in the wrong direction again...



### Intrinsic and extrinsic sea quarks





Brodsky et al. PRD73(06), NPB907(09)

## Conclusions

### PDFs for the 14 TeV era

#### From a combination of big, medium, and small (energy) experiments, old and new

Complementarity in kinematic ranges, systematics



#### New lattice QCD technique: PDFs in x-space



x

#### New lattice QCD technique: PDFs in x-space



x

CJ12 error bands courtesy of J. Guerrero

### Needs the marriage of HEP and NUCL

A global approach across subfields... and DOE/NSF categories, too!



## Appendix: old and new experiments - examples -

#### JLab 12 - proton, deuteron structure functions







#### JLab 12 GeV

- More than double  $Q^2$  range
- Similar precision as JLab 6 GeV (largely improve cf. SLAC)

### JLab 12: Quasi-free neutrons

Nuclear corrections largely cancel:

CJ11

- Spectator tagging
- <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>3</sup>H cross sec. ratio

PDF uncertainty

JLab (MARATHON) projected





1

0.8

#### At the EIC

#### **Neutral current DIS**

- MEIC  $\sqrt{s} = 31 \text{ GeV}$  (ca. 2010)
- Pseudo data using "CTEQ6X" fits, L=230 (35) fb<sup>-1</sup>



#### At the EIC

#### Charged current DIS

- plot for polarized scattering, similar for unpolarized
- Not optimized at large-x: likely to add a bin around x = 0.85

[Aschenauer et al, 2013]



### W charge asymmetry at Tevatron

Brady, Accardi, Melnitchouk, Owens, JHEP 1206 (2012) 019



Too little large-x sensitivity in lepton asymmetry:

#### – need reconstructed W

accardi@jlab.org

### W charge asymmetry at LHC

Brady, Accardi, Melnitchouk, Owens, JHEP 1206 (2012) 019



Would be nice to reconstruct W at LHCb

- Definitely needs more statistics
- Is it at all possible?? (too many holes in detector?)
- Systematics in W reconstruction?
- What about RHIC, AFTER@LHC?

### Z rapidity distribution





Direct Z reconstruction is unambiguous in principle, but:

- Needs better than 5-10% precision at large rapidity
- Experimentally achievable?
  - At LHCb? RHIC? AFTER@LHC?
  - Was full data set used at Tevatron?

#### **Constraints from the LHC: Electroweak Boson Production**



### W lepton asymmetry at LHC



Sensitive both to d/u at x > 0.1 and  $\bar{u}/\bar{d}$  at  $x \sim 0.01$  (not constrained well by other experiments)

### Constraints on strangeness: W,Z, W+c



#### Constraints on strangeness: LO K<sup>±</sup> at HERMES



## Difficulty: NNLO QCD corrections are large; dependence on FFs; higher twists?

#### Constraints on strangeness: K<sup>±</sup> at the EIC



Figure 1.10. SIDIS cross section for  $K^+$  production at NLO accuracy using NNPDF2.0 PDFs [47]. The dashed lines denote the PDF uncertainties. Also shown (points) are the results from a PYTHIA simulation (see text).

Aschenauer, Stratmann, in 1108.1713

### Intrinsic charm at the EIC

The ultimate test of the intrinsic charm mechanism is possible in charm SIDIS at the EIC with modest luminosities



Figure 1.20. Charm contribution to the reduced NC  $e^-p$  DIS cross section at  $\sqrt{s} = 45$  and 105 GeV. For each IC model, curves for charm momentum fractions of 1% and 3.5% are shown. For comparison we display the number of events  $dN_e/dx$  for 10 fb<sup>-1</sup>, assuming perfect charm tagging efficiency.

Guzzi, Nadolsky, Olness, Sec. 1.9 in 1108.1713

CTEQ workshop – 15 Nov 2013