Precision measurement of the electron-proton elastic scattering cross-section at High Q<sup>2</sup> (The GMp experiment)

> APS April Meeting April 14, 2018

### Thir Gautam Hampton University





\*This work is supported by National Science foundation grant PHY-1508272 and Jefferson Science Associates.

### **GMp experiment: E12-07-108**

Spokesperson: J. Arrington, E. Christy, S. Gilad, B. Moffit ("retired"), V. Sulkosky, B. Wojtsekhowski (contact)



### **Proton magnetic form factor**

• Form factors encode electric and magnetic structure of the target

→ At low Q<sup>2</sup>, form factors characterize the spatial distribution of electric charge and magnetization current in the nucleon  $\sigma(\text{Structured object})$ [Form Factor]<sup>2</sup> =  $\sigma(\text{Point like object})$  $G(F = F_1 - \tau F_2 = G_M = F_1 + F_2$ 

• In one photon exchange approximation the cross-section in ep scattering when written in terms of  $G_M^p$  and  $G_E^p$  takes the following form:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \sigma_{Mott} \frac{\epsilon (G_E^p)^2 + \tau (G_M^p)^2}{\epsilon (1+\tau)}, \quad \sigma_{Mott} = \frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}{4E^2 \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{E'}{E}$$
Where,  $\tau = \frac{Q^2}{4M^2}, \quad \epsilon = [1+2(1+\tau)\tan^2(\frac{\theta}{2})]^{-1}$ 

3

### **Overview of GMp experiment**

- Precision measurement of the elastic ep cross-section over the wide range of the Q<sup>2</sup> and extraction of proton magnetic form factors
- > To improve the precision of cross section at high  $Q^2$  by a factor of 3 or better
- > To provide insight into scaling behavior of the form factors at high  $Q^2$



Systematic: Point to point: 0.8-1.1% Normalization: 1.0-1.3% Total Error Budget: 1.2-2.6%

Need a good control on:

- Beam charge
- Beam position
- Scattering angle, target density, ...



### Hall A configuration



### Hall A beamline, spectrometer and detectors

- $\rightarrow$  VDC is used for tracking information
- $\rightarrow$  Straw Chamber(SC) is used to reduce systematic on VDC tracking efficiency
- $\rightarrow$  Cherenkov and calorimeter are used to reject  $\pi^{-}$
- $\rightarrow$  s0, s2m are used for trigger and timing



Detector package

6

## **GMp analysis status**

#### **System Calibration:**

- → Beamline component Calibration (done)
- → PID detector calibration (done)
- → Tracking detector(VDC, Straw chamber) calibration (done)
- $\rightarrow$  Timing (s0, s2m) calibration (done)
- $\rightarrow$  Optics calibration first iteration (first pass done)

#### **Data Analysis:**

- → Target boiling analysis (done)
- → HRS acceptance studies (ongoing)
- → Tracking, trigger, PID efficiencies, DAQ livetime (done)
- $\rightarrow$  First pass cross section analysis (done)
- → Second pass analysis with a goal of 3% measurement (done)
- $\rightarrow$  Detailed aperture checks in the simulation model (ongoing)

### **Elastic cross section extraction procedure**

Cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{data}(\theta) = \int dE' \frac{N^{data}(E',\theta) - N_{BG}(E',\theta)}{\mathcal{L}^{data}.\epsilon.LT} \cdot \frac{RC^{data}}{A^{data}(E',\theta)}$$
(1)

- Parameters:
- N<sub>data</sub>: Number of scattered electrons detected
- N<sub>BG</sub> : Events from background processes
- $\mathcal{L}$  : Integrated luminosity
- $\epsilon$  : Correction for efficiencies (raw detector tracking and PID cut)

- LT : Live time correction
- $A(E',\theta)$  : Spectrometer acceptance
- RC : Radiative correction factor
- E : Beam energy
- $\theta$  : Scattering angle

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{n_e n_p}{a} = \frac{Q}{e} \rho l \frac{Z}{A} N_A$$

- a : Target area
- $n_e$ : Number of electron beam
- n<sub>p</sub>: Number of target atoms
- A : Atomic mass of target
- *l* : Length of the target

### **Elastic cross section (Monte carlo ratio method)**

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{mod}(\theta) = \int dE' \frac{N^{MC}(E',\theta)}{\mathcal{L}^{MC}} \cdot \frac{RC^{MC}}{A^{MC}(E',\theta)} \longrightarrow (2)$$

- → Monte Carlo is a COSY transport model use to transport events through the magnetic fields
- → Scattering events are generated at the target and weighted by the physics cross section model
- → Compare MC yield to data yield for same normalized luminosity

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{data}(\theta) / \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{mod}(\theta) = \frac{\int^{E_{max}} (N^{data}(E',\theta) - N_{BG}(E',\theta)) dE'}{\int^{E_{max}} N^{MC} dE'} \cdot \frac{A^{MC}(E',\theta)}{A^{data}(E',\theta)} \cdot \frac{RC^{data}}{RC^{MC}} \longrightarrow (3)$$

Assuming acceptance and radiative contributions are correctly modeled:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{data}(\theta) = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{mod}(\theta) \cdot \frac{Y^{data}}{Y^{MC}} \longrightarrow (4)$$

### **Elastic ep Cross Section Extraction**



- → Model cross sections multiplied by Data/SIMC ratio gives an estimate of the cross section
- → Independent check of this will be done by the method of software collimator at the sieve slit

### Preliminary results (Data/MC method)

• Preliminary cross-section results presented below with 3% systematic uncertainty



JLab E012-07-108, e-p elastic cross section

### **Summary**

- 12 GeV era GMp experiment data taking with high statistics at Q<sup>2</sup> up to 17 GeV<sup>2</sup> completed successfully
- Detector calibrations are completed and significant progress has been made in the analysis of systematic and spectrometer acceptance study
- Preliminary cross section results with a precision of 3% were extracted
- Projected milestones:
  - $\rightarrow$  Final ~2% cross section results expected in summer 2018

## **GMp collaboration**

- Hall A collaboration, physics staff, technical staff, accelerator team and shift takers
- Spokesperson: J. Arrington, E. Christy, S. Gilad, V. Sulkosky, B. Wojtsekhowski (contact)
- Postdoc: Kalyan Allada (MIT)
- Graduate students: Thir Gautam (Hampton U.), Longwu Ou (MIT), Barak Schmookler (MIT), Bashar Aljawrneh (NCA&T Uni.), Yang Wang (W&M)

# Thank you everybody!