Computing for Experimental Nuclear Physics at JLab EXTREEMS-QED Program Visit

Mark M. Ito

Jefferson Lab

June 25, 2014

Mark Ito (JLab)

Computing for Experimental Nuclear Physics

June 25, 2014 1 / 15

Overall Drivers of Computing Need

- data rate/data volume
- need for simulated data
- computing load per event

Computational Problem Domain

- events: beam-target collisions recorded by detector
 - beam mostly misses target
 - simulated events as well
- quantum mechanics \Rightarrow probability and statistics
- events are statistically independent \Rightarrow embarrassingly parallel

GlueX: Study Gluon Excitation

- High luminosity linearly <u>polarized</u> photon beam: 8.4~9 GeV
- Liquid Hydrogen target

Mark Ito (JLab)

Mass coverage up to <u>3 GeV</u>

- Hermetic detector system for multiple • particle final states
- Partial wave analysis



4 / 15

June 25, 2014

Tracking







Central Drift Chamber (CDC) – CMU

- Straw tube design single end readout
- 28 layers (16 stereo layers ± 6^o), 3522 tubes
- Forward Drift Chamber (FDC) JLab
 - Traditional drift chamber, readout from both cathode strips and anode wires
 - 4 packages, 24 readout planes 3 orientations, 12672 channels



PID Development for GlueX and EIC

6/3/2014 16

Computing for Experimental Nuclear Physics

Computational Tasks

Will only describe two:

- event simulation
- Ocharged particle tracking

Event Simulation

- purpose: study how detector distorts events
- gaps, resolution, confusions
- two types of confusion
 - within an event
 - particles messing up detection of other particles
 - ★ event particles vs. other event particles
 - non-event particles vs. event particles
 - ★ event particles vs. themselves
 - event mis-classification
 - ★ wrong particle roster
 - "background"

Simulated Physical Processes

- initial data: list of particles and their momenta
- propagate through detector
- simulate interactions with detector material:
 - Charged Particles
 - multiple Coulomb scattering
 - ★ energy loss
 - ★ hadronic interactions
 - Photons and Electrons: showers (expensive)





Mark Ito (JLab)

June 25, 2014 9 / 15

Charged Particle Tracking

Part of reconstruction of events, real or simulated

- assigning "hits" to particles: track finding
- extracting physical properties from hit patterns: track fitting
 - drift chambers measure time of arrival of electrons from ionization of gas by particles
 - time \Rightarrow distance-from-wire measurement
 - find best track parameters: minimize chi-squared





Mark Ito (JLab

Computing for Experimental Nuclear Physics

June 25, 2014 12 / 15

Complications

- real problem is in 3-D
- particles bend in magnetic field
- if field non-uniform, no analytic representation of trajectory
 - solve partial differential equation numerically
 - trace trajectory in small steps, of order cm at a time (expensive)
 - iterate process to minimize chi-squared

Some Rough Numbers

- raw data rate: 20 k events/s or 300 MB/s
- computing time per core per event: 0.13 s
- computing time to create simulated event: 0.07 s
- planning 10 k cores for Hall D
- expect to write 8 PB/y to magnetic tape

Amplitude Analysis

- detected: set of final state particles
- same set can be reached by more than one set of intermediate states/particles
- each scenario represented by a complex amplitude
- probabilities obtained by the modulus squared of the sum of amplitudes
- fit for parameters of amplitudes to reproduce observed probabilities
- in principle:
 - If for each event, calculate probability density (expensive)
 - 2 multiply probabilities for all events
 - adjust amplitude parameters
 - iterate