□ Wednesday

Cryo maintenance induced faults in solenoid + torus but no CHL crash

□ Thursday

➢ Planned 8hrs of beam studies. During this time, dc repairs and a camac crate was changed to solve the IC discriminators noise problem.

Friday

> More DC repairs. Situation now is much nicer than before.

Saturday

Electrical maintenance on site induced problems with computer center. Nothing could be written to silo for a few hours. However, there was enough RAID disk to cope with it. Most of the time, we could not take data anyway.

Solenoid liquid level drop again. Engineer on-call was able to recover the magnet.

Injector laser (Hall A) laser lost lock. Injector group proposed to switch to Hall C laser, which they did. Beam was back for little time before accelerator had RF problems, followed by more laser issues. It turns out Gun 3 cannot accommodate C laser. Injector group changed to Gun 2 with B laser.

□ Saturday (cont.)

Situation now is stable with Gun 2 and B laser. However, Matt Poelker could not assure us the right pockels cell was used. Therefore, helicity may not be flipped. Since we could not do a Moller run or a Mott measurement (those should not happen on weekends), the answer will have to wait for the next Moller run (either today or Tuesday right before beam studies).

Reasonable beam and stable data taking started again at 11pm that day.

Sunday

 \succ A few issues with RF separator cost us some time.

 \geq Pi0 runs taken: 47117 and 47118. It has been a while so it might be worth analyzing them as soon as possible.

Smooth running otherwise.

□ Monday-Tuesday

Moller run is clearly needed to evaluate the situation. This can happen either today or tomorrow before beam studies.

Laser runs during beam studies.

> The obvious question which will come: do we require to switch back to Gun 3 once they have investigated and hopefully solved the problem with the laser.

 \succ As much as possible production data.

Analysis of one long DVCS production run #46646

Back-of-the-envelope estimation of the width in this missing mass is about 70 MeV (dominated by resolution in electron momentum $\sim 1.5\%$).

The following cuts were performed in order to identify the electron in CLAS and the photon in IC :

Electron : First track, id=11, time-based tracking (stat >0), 0.2 < ec_tot/p < 0.35, ec_in > 60MeV, nphe in CC > 2.5, cut on vertex, p > 1 GeV/c^2

Photon : only one cluster in IC above 500 MeV within the fiducial cuts. This cluster is later constrained to be above 1 GeV (so there is really no other cluster above 500 MeV, but the selected photon is above 1 GeV.)

Analysis of ep \rightarrow ep γ (cont.)



Analysis of ep \rightarrow ep γ (cont.)



Analysis of ep \rightarrow ep γ (cont.)





Another hint of reconstruction issue (for the proton most likely)