



WASHINGTON, DC

Helicity Asymmetry E for $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 p$ from JLAB CLAS g9a/FROST dataset with application of Machine Learning

Chan Kim

Igor Strakovsky, William Briscoe, Stuart Fegan

The George Washington University

APS Division of Nuclear Physics October 15, 2019

Overview

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Event Selection
- **3** ML: Target Classification
- 4 ML: Hydrogen Contamination on Carbon
- 5 Helicity Asymmetry E
- 6 Next Steps

Baryon Spectroscopy

• Baryon Spectroscopy is the study of excited nucleon states.



• Different quark models have different degrees of freedom, causing different predictions of resonance states & parameters of resonances (mass, width, etc).



JLab Continuous e⁻ Beam Accelerator (6 Gev, before upgrade to 12 GeV)



Electron Beam Energy (GeV)	Photon Beam Polarization	# of Events (M)	Observable
1.645	Circular	~ 1000	E
2.478	Circular	~ 2000	E
2.751	Linear	${\sim}1000$	G
3.538	Linear	~ 2000	G
4.599	Linear	\sim 3000	G

Hall B g9a/FROST run from 12/2007 \sim 2/2008

CLAS g9a/FROST Experiment



- Bremsstrahlung radiation (gold foil or thin diamond) → real polarized photon
 Dynamic Nulcear Polarization → polarized targets
- \circ g9a/FROST Circularly polarized photons with $E_{\gamma} \approx 0.4 2.4$ GeV and longitudinally polarized proton target
- \circ 8 observables at fixed $(E_{\gamma}, \theta) \rightarrow$ 4 helicity amplitudes \rightarrow Resonances (PWA)

	UP_T and UP_R	UP_T and P_R	P_T and UP_R	P_T and P_R
UP_B	$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$	Р	Т	$T_{x'}, T_{z'}, L_{x'}, L_{z'}$
LPB	-Σ	$O_{x'}, (-T), O_{z'}$	H, (-P), -G	
CPB		$-C_{x'}, -C_{z'}$	F, - <u>E</u>	

UP, P, LP, CP, B, T, R denote unpolarized, polarized, linearly polarized, circularly polarized, beam, target, and recoil, respectively.

Helicity Asymmetry E

• Double polarization observable E is the helicity asymmetry of the cross section:

$$E=rac{\sigma_{3/2}-\sigma_{1/2}}{\sigma_{3/2}+\sigma_{1/2}}$$
 for $rac{3}{2}$ & $rac{1}{2}$ are total helicty states

• $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$ of polarized beam & polarized target for E (theo. & exp.):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \\ \frac{1}{2,\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} (1 \mp (P_z P_\lambda)_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2}} E) \qquad \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{N_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2}}}{A \cdot F \cdot \rho \cdot \Delta x_i}$$

• E is measured via:

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{D_f} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{P_z P_\lambda} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{N_3 - N_1}{2} \\ \frac{N_3}{2} + N_1 \\ \frac{N_3}{2} + N_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} D_f &= \text{dilution factor} \\ P_z &= \text{Polarization of target in } \hat{z} \\ P_\lambda &= \text{Polarization of beam} \\ N_{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}} &= \# \text{ of events} \end{split}$$

Butanol & Carbon Targets



- Butanol target (C₄H₉OH) consists of polarized hydrogen (free-nucleons) & unpolarized carbon and oxygen (bound-nucleons)
- $\,\circ\,$ Fermi motion of bound-nucleons ightarrow negative missing mass M_{π^0}
- Carbon target consists of unpolarized bound-nucleon
- Scale carbon target events & subtract from butanol target events

ML Objectives: Target Selection & Ice on Carbon

- Target Selection
 - Events with z-vertex \in [2, 5]cm, uncertain whether γ hit Butanol or Carbon

- Ice on Carbon
 - Carbon events (bound-nucleon) expected to have broader $m_{\pi_0}^2$ peak due to Fermi motion.
 - Sharp peak (free-nucleon) observed in the Carbon target region.



Event Selections



ML: Target Classification

Neural Network Training Flowchart



Training Data Selection



o Randomly select events with z-vertex position in close proximity of each targets

- Butanol \in [-3.3, 3.3]cm
- Carbon ∈ [5.5, 7.0]cm
- Polythene \in [15.5, 17.0]cm

Result on Target Selection



- \circ Classified Carbon events from Butanol in z-vertex \in [2.5, 4.5]cm
- o Some Carbon events in Polythene regions & Polythene events in Butanol region.

ML: Hydrogen Contamination on Carbon

Training Data for Hydrogen Contamination



- Tight cut on the $m_{\pi_0}^2$ peak on g9a-Carbon data (or MC sim) as ice
 - Bound-nucleon (fermi p)
 - \rightarrow broader m^2 distribution
 - Sharper peaks from free-nucleon (ice) & Broad background from bound-nucleon (carbon)



- Randomly select events within three criterion:
 - Classified as carbon events in previous target classification distribution
 - Missing mass squared $\notin [-\sigma, \sigma]$
 - Z-vertex position \in [5.5, 6.5]

ML: Hydrogen Contamination on Carbon

Final Result of ML: ICE vs CARBON





[Result from USC for $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ n$]

- Classified ice events from Carbon target in z-vertex \in [6.0, 7.5]cm
- It is likely that ice was formed in 20 K heat shield in between Carbon and Polythene targets.

Helicity Asymmetry E

Scale Factor $\left(\frac{N_{C_4H_9OH}}{N_C}\right)$ & Dilution Factor



- Sector dependence only evident in low Energy: $E_{\gamma} \sim [0, 0.45] GeV$
- As $E_{\gamma} \uparrow$, more interactions in butanol target than carbon

$$\begin{array}{l} \circ \quad D_f \big|_{\text{low lim}} = \frac{\text{free H in butanol}}{\text{total nucleon in butanol}} = \frac{10}{74} \cong 0.135 \\ \circ \quad D_f (E_{\gamma}, \theta_{cm}) = \frac{N_{B,f}}{N_{B,tot}} \cong 1 - \frac{s(E_{\gamma}) \times N_C(E_{\gamma}, \theta_{cm})}{N_{B,tot}(E_{\gamma}, \theta_{cm})} \end{array}$$



Preliminary: Helicity Asymmetry E



- $E = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{D_f} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{P_{\gamma}P_T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{N_3 N_1}{2} \\ \frac{N_3 + N_1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$
- $\circ~$ Result of \sim 30% of JLab CLAS g9a experiment data
- o Measured E comparison to SAID Partial Wave Analysis predictions

Next Steps

- Process all g9a data for full statistics
- Quantify uncertainties in neural network training
 - Bayesian Neural Network probability distribution to weights and biases while training
 - Compute purity of the training data used for uncertainty
- Energy loss correction
- Systematic Error studies
- $\circ~$ Measured E into world database \rightarrow more constrains on reaction amplitude

Acknowledgements

This work was performed with support from US DOE DE-SC001658, The George Washington University.

Backup Slides

Backup: Constituent Quark Models & LQCD Predictions of Non-Strange Baryon Resonances



- Constituent Quark Models predicted states: 64 N^* & 22 Δ^*
- Experimentally confirmed state: 26 N^* & 22 Δ^*

Backup: Hall B Photon Tagger

- Bremsstrahlung radiation due to slowing of electrons by EM field of radiator (gold foil or thinyo diamond)
- Determine incoming photon energy of $\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} o \pi^0 p$ by $E_\gamma = E_0 E_e$
- g9a/FROST circularly polarized photons with $E_\gamma pprox$ 0.4 \sim 2.4 GeV
- Tagger was built by the GWU, CUA, & ASU nuclear physics group



Backup: Circularly Polarized Photon Beam



Polarization transfer:

$$P(\gamma) = P(e)\frac{4x - x^2}{4 - 4x + 3x^2}$$

$$x = \frac{k}{E_0} = \frac{\text{photon energy}}{\text{incident electron energy}}$$

H. Olsen and L.C. Maximon, Phys. Rev. 114, 887 (1959)



Backup: Frozen Spin Target



C. Keith et al. Nucl Instrum Meth A 684, 27 (2012)

Backup: CLAS g9a/FROST Data



π^0 photoproduction



• From T Matrix to Helicity Amplitudes of $\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 p$:

$$\langle \mathbf{q} \ m_{s'} | \ T \ | \mathbf{k} \ m_s \ \lambda \rangle = \boxed{\langle m_{s'} | \ \mathbf{J} \ | m_s \rangle} \cdot \epsilon_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) \qquad \blacksquare \qquad H_i(\theta) \equiv \langle \lambda_2 | \ \mathbf{J} \ | \lambda_1 \rangle$$

• 4 Complex Helicity Amplitudes:

$$H_{1}(\theta) = \left\langle +\frac{3}{2} \middle| \mathbf{J} \middle| +\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle$$
$$H_{3}(\theta) = \left\langle +\frac{3}{2} \middle| \mathbf{J} \middle| -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_2(heta) &= \left\langle +rac{1}{2} \middle| \, \mathbf{J} \left| +rac{1}{2}
ight
angle \ \mathcal{H}_4(heta) &= \left\langle +rac{1}{2} \middle| \, \mathbf{J} \left| -rac{1}{2}
ight
angle \end{aligned}$$

24 / 43

Backup: Complete Experiment - 8 Polarization Observables

- Polarizable: incoming photons, target & recoiling nucleons
- 8 well chosen observables at fixed E_γ & angle ightarrow 4 helicity amplitudes

	UP_T and UP_R	UP_T and P_R	P_T and UP_R	P_T and P_R	
UP _B	$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$	Р	Т	$T_{x'}, T_{z'}, L_{x'}, L_{z'}$	
LPB	$-\Sigma$	$O_{x'}, (-T), O_{z'}$	H, (-P), -G		
CPB		$-C_{x'}, -C_{z'}$	<i>F</i> , – <i>E</i>		
UP. P. LP. CP. B. T. R denote unpolarized, polarized, linearly polarized, circularly polarized, beam, target, and recoil, respectively.					

• Helicity asymmetry E related to other observables via Fierz identities:

$$E^{2} + F^{2} + G^{2} + H^{2} = 1 + P^{2} - \Sigma^{2} - T^{2}$$

FG - EH = P - \Sigma T

Overtraining Limits

• Overtraining:

Excess training with only specific training data Classification succeeds on training data, but fails on actual data

Must determine adequate classifying variables & size of training data
Rule of thumb for Decision Tree algorithm:

$$L_D(h) \leq L_S(h) + \sqrt{\frac{(n+1)\log_2(d+3) + \log(2/\delta)}{2m}}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} L_D(h) = {\rm Error \ of \ classification \ on \ a \ training \ data \ set} \\ h = {\rm Error \ of \ classification \ on \ a \ training \ data \ set} \\ \delta = {\rm Confidence \ level \ of \ randomly \ selected \ training \ data \ points} \\ n = {\rm Number \ of \ nodes} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} L_S(h) = {\rm Error \ of \ classification \ on \ a \ training \ data \ set} \\ d = {\rm Number \ of \ variables} \\ m = {\rm Size \ of \ training \ data \ sets} \\ {\rm on \ \& \ d \ inversely \ proportional \ to \ } \\ L_S(h) = {\rm Error \ of \ classification \ on \ a \ training \ data \ set} \\ \end{array}$

Proton Selection: $\Delta\beta$ Selection



• $\Delta\beta = \beta_{\text{measured}} - \beta_p = \beta_{\text{measured}} - \frac{p}{\sqrt{m_p^2 + p^2}}$

- Select events with only 1 positive outgoing particle (for $\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 p$)
- Measure p (via curvature) and β (via SC & TOF) of positive particles
- Select events with $\Delta\betapprox 0$

Result on Hydrogen Contamination of Carbon Target



- Classified ice events from Carbon target in z-vertex \in [6.0, 7.5]cm
- It is likely that ice was formed in 20 K heat shield in between Carbon and Polythene targets.

Final Result Target Classification



g9a/FROST Target setup



Side view of FROST target with beam entering from the right. (A) Primary head exchanger, (B) 1 K heat shield, (C) Holding coil, (D) 20 K heat shield, (E) Outer vacuum can, $\overline{(F)}$ Polyethylene target, (G) Carbon target, (H) Butanol target J arget insert, (K) Mixing chamber, (L) Microwave waveguide, and (M) Kapton cold seal.





JLab Hall B Photon Tagger

- Bremsstrahlung radiation due to slowing of electrons by EM field of radiator (gold foil or thinyo diamond)
- Determine incoming photon energy of $\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} o \pi^0 p$ by $E_\gamma = E_0 E_e$
- g9a/FROST circularly polarized photons with $E_\gamma pprox$ 0.4 \sim 2.4 GeV
- Tagger was built by the GWU, CUA, & ASU nuclear physics group



CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer





Evidence of Hydrogen Contamination on Carbon



target with z-vertex larger 5.0 cm and smaller than 7.5 cm. The blue histogram is scaled by 5.26. The FROST distribution from the 12C target region show a **narrow peak** at the mass of then neutron.



- $\circ\,$ Sharp peak at downstream end of Carbon foil \rightarrow ice built up while cooling the target
- \circ Ice formed on the right side of Carbon target: Z-vertex \in [6, 7]cm
- Plots from [Steffen Strauch]'s Analysis page of FROST Wikipage

Proton Selection: GPID bank



•
$$\Delta \beta = \beta_{\text{measured}} - \beta_p = \beta_{\text{measured}} - \frac{p}{\sqrt{m_p^2 + p^2}}$$

- Select events with only 1 positive outgoing particle (for $\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 p$)
- Measure p (via curvature) and β (via SC & TOF) of positive particles
- Select events with $\Delta eta pprox 0$

Photon Beam Selection



- Readings from SC, DC & TOF system to determine t_{pv} & $t_{\gamma v}$
- JLab e⁻ beam sent in bunches separated by 2 ns
- Neglect events caused by photons emitted from different e^- bunches
- Select out events with $\Delta t pprox 0$

Radial Vertex Selection - Target Cup





- \circ Removed events outside of target cup (d = 1.5cm)
- He-Bath outer region

Inefficient Time-Of-Flight system paddles



- · Events from inefficient scintillator paddles removed
- o Sector2 25, Sector3 23, 35, Sector4 23 and etc

Fiducial Selection - Inactive CLAS regions



• Inactive regions of detector - coil of torus magnet, beamline holes, etc • $\theta < 7$, $-180 < \phi < -175$, $-125 < \phi < -115$, $-65 < \phi < -55$ $-5 < \phi < 5$, $55 < \phi < 65$, $115 < \phi < 125$, $175 < \phi < 180$

Neural Network Model Setup

Two fully-connected (dense) neural layers

- 1 Dense layer with 15 nodes 15 parameters:
 - E, β , β_{diff} , $\beta_m E_\gamma$, m, $m_{\pi_0}^2$, pid, |p|, p_x , p_y , p_z , x, y, and z.
 - Too many parameters + insufficient train data \rightarrow Too specific training \rightarrow Overfitting (fail)
- 2 Dense layer with 3 nodes one for each target
 - For each event, this layer returns an array of 3 probability scores (butanol, carbon, or polythene) that sum to 1

o Optimizer used: AdamOptimizer

• Loss function used - Sparse categorical cross entropy:

-
$$H_{y'}(y) = -\sum_i y'_i \log(y_i)$$
 ,where y_i is the predicted target
and y'_i is the true target

• Python and Tensorflow

Choosing Classifying Parameters



- $^{\circ\circ}\,$ O Choose 10 \sim 15 adequately correlated parameters to avoid overfitting and underfitting
 - \circ Higher correlation \rightarrow lesser contribution to classification
- $^{\circ}$ Lower correlation \rightarrow biased training \rightarrow overfitting

Training Data for Carbon from g9b experiment



- \circ g9b-carbon $m^2_{\pi_0}$ peak broader than g9a/Carbon ightarrow No ice on g9b
- o During g9b, Carbon target was moved further in downstream.
- Shifted Z-vertex of g9b-Carbon events to use as training events for g9a [F. Klein].
- \circ Failed (under investigation) \rightarrow Different training data for carbon used

Neural Network Training Flowchart: ICE vs CARBON



Dilution Factor



•
$$D_f(E_{\gamma}, \theta_{cm}) = \frac{N_{B,f}}{N_{B,tot}} = \frac{N_{B,tot} - N_{B,b}}{N_{B,tot}} \cong 1 - \frac{s(E_{\gamma}) \times N_C(E_{\gamma}, \theta_{cm})}{N_{B,tot}(E_{\gamma}, \theta_{cm})}$$

• $D_f|_{\text{low lim}} = \frac{\text{free H in butanol}}{\text{total nucleon in butanol}} = \frac{10}{74} \cong 0.135$