



Triple coincidence A(e, e'pn)

CLAS data mining analysis

Igor Korover NRCN & Tel Aviv University

2nd Workshop on Quantitative Challenges in SRC and EMC Research

March 22, 2019

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

<u>Motivation</u>

Extract missing momentum dependence of the A(e,e'pn)/A(e,e'p) ratio

Compare theory to data

Leading nucleon is a proton

(Good missing momentum resolution)



Neutron Extraction

<u>A(e,e'pn)</u>

TOF counters

<u>Advantage</u>: Large angular acceptance: 8 – 140 deg

<u>Disadvantage:</u> Low detection efficiency (large correction)

Analysis done by Meytal Duer, A(e,e'**n**p)

100 cm

Electromagnetic Calorimeter:

<u>Advantage</u>: Relatively high efficiency

<u>Disadvantage:</u> Can't be used for recoiling neutrons

Selection of neutrons in TOF counters - Veto Algorithm

TOF scintillators response: Charge 🔀 Neutral

Veto algorithm based on the drift chambers that are sensitive to charged particles.



<u>Veto by drift chambers:</u>

All hits with a corresponding track are charged.

<u>Problem</u>: Standard tracking is optimized to remove false positive

Example for a not reconstructed track



Solution: Correlated track even it's not fully reconstructed

Neutron candidates after the Veto algorithm



This neutron can be fake neutron Relatively close to the track

Energy Deposition



Calibration: Deuteron target







Selection of d(e,e'p)n event





Momentum Resolution



Absolute neutron detection efficiency



Selection of A(e,e'p) events

Same cuts as for the A(e,e'pp) analysis

Hen et al., Science 346 (2014)

X_B > 1.2 Leading Proton: 0.96 > q/p > 0.62 and acos(pq) <25 Missing Mass < 1.1 300 MeV/c < Missing Momentum < 1 GeV/c



Selection of A(e, e'pn) events

-10

40 ∳ [deg] 30 1) A(e,e'p) cuts 20 10 0 2) CLAS fiducial region for -10 neutrons -20 -30 -40^L 20 40 60 80 100 3) Time of flight 15 10 5 0 Time Window -5 -10

10 15 20 -5 0 5 TOF/meter [ns/m]

Individual TOF bars seen

*

120

θ [deg]

BG subtraction - Out of time window

Estimate BG using out of time window, assuming Poisson statistics



Take the average as a number of Netto neutrons

GCF comparisons



C(e,e'p) quantities (requiring recoil neutron)





Reconstruction of kinematic variables

-1

-0.9



C(e,e'pn)/C(e,e'p) Result

*Data is corrected to the neutron detection efficiency



Sensitivity

Large energy deposition cut



Missing momentum [GeV/c]



Due to large uncertainties, We can't distinguish between AV18 and N2LO based on (e,e'pn) data.

See Axel talk

Summary

The measured C(e,e'pn)/C(e,e'p) is consistent with the GCF

pn measurement is less sensitive to the SCX correction

pp + pn pairs up to 750 MeV/c are almost 100%

pp/np is going from $\sim 1/20$ to $\sim 1/2$

The total number of neutron events is constant:

Hall A: E05-015, carbon target: Hall A: E07-006, He⁴ target: CLAS6: A(e,e'np), EC detector: ~200 neutrons CLAS6: A(e,e'pn), TOF counters:

~200 neutrons ~200 neutrons ~200 neutrons







Thank you for you attention