Quartz Cerenkov: Test Results and Design Update

D.J. Mack, TJNAF 12 GeV Meeting September 17, 2004



Test Results:
 JLab prototype tests
 Summary of Simulation versus Tests

JLab Prototype Tests



Spectrosil 2000 fused silica
Dimensions 100cm x 2.5cm x 12.5 cm – or 20% RL
XP4572B 5" pmt's, lime glass windows, 300 nm cutoff

Photocathode Coverage

The quartz bar was glued directly to the PMT.
About 15% of the bar area is not covered by photocathode.



Conditions of Beam Test in SOS Detector Hut

Inserted between S2X and S2Y hodoscopes
Tracking by WC's
1 mm Carbon target
1 and 1.5 GeV/c P0
pi- and e- beam
80 cm of 100cm length illuminated by beam
Two tilt angles: normal and 5 degrees



Single PE Calibration



Couldn't do calibration reliably with beam on:

 single PE resolution of XP4572B is poor
 mean PE number was too high (~15)
 Used beam off, random trigger, and tube noise

Bar Rotation Angle: a problem that doesn't exist





The naïve 2-D picture above suggested that rays tilted more than a few degrees would produce no light in the unfavored PMT.

- However, 3-D LaTech simulations clearly show ALL hits are doubleended. These simulations reproduce our prototype data.
- Bar will probably be oriented perpendicular to local electron beam.

Detector Performance



 Figure: Photoelectron number versus angle.
 Alignment to a few degrees is needed to reproduce linear dependence from simulations.

Detector Performance: Pions versus Electrons

As expected at these momenta, pi- and e- give similar response.
 Closer examination reveals the electron response is somewhat larger and broader due to showering.



Total PE Number

Yield is ~29 pe's (pi-) Mismatch between bar and photocathode may have cost us 20% of our pe's Corrected value for comparison to esimulations ~38



Summary: Simulation vs Tests

Table 1: Photoelectron yields based on LaTech simulations compared to actual prototype measurements. The last column extrapolates to an S20 photocathode assuming 2/3 the integrated quantum efficiency of bi-alkali. We expect about 80 pe's for the final Qweak configuration.

Bevel Type	Lime Glass/bialkali (JLab config.)	UV Glass/bialkali (LANL config.)	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Lime Glass/S20} \\ \text{(Qweak Config.)} \end{array}$
no bevel	70	133	89
polished 1 mm	57	108	72
unpolished 1.5mm	40 (vs JLab 38)	76 (vs LANL 87)	51

Assuming either no bevel or < 0.5 mm and polished, we can expect about 150 pe's with Quartz windows and this 1" thickness.</p>

Summary

Prototype tests:

- Good agreement between LaTech simulations and JLab and LANL tests.
- We have plenty of pe's and can simulate the final detector geometry with confidence.