PPU Ris	k Descri	ption Sh	eet	Risk ID: T-P.2-001	L3 WBS: P.2.3 - Cryomodules		
Ris	k Title:	-	Risk Description:				
			If the current CM cost estimates are low, then project CM cost				
CM Co	ost Is High		will increa				
Risk Owner:	P6 N	umber:	1				
Howell	P23	2P36					
			PRE-MIT	IGATION:			
Likelihood S	core:	Justificati	on: It is eas	ier to hit a cost estima	ate for many cryomodules than		
Probable - 50%	to 80%	the small i	number of ]	PPU cryomodules req	uired for this project.		
Cost Impact	Score:	Justificati	on: For the	purchase of 7 cryomo	odules, this would have an		
Major - \$500k	to \$2M	impact on	the project	of over \$1M.			
Schedule Impac	et Score:	Justificati	on: Risk is	related to the cost of f	fabrication.		
Minor - <3 m							
Technical Impa	et Score:	Justificati	on: Risk is	related to the cost of f	fabrication.		
Minor - No KPI	P impact						
Ri	sk Rank Ma	atrix:		Risk Rank:	Risk Trigger:		
L				High			
Pro		X		Ŭ	Start of partner lab		
Pos				Trigger Date:	procurement		
UL			a i	-	I		
Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat	FICATION			
N	litigation P		PUS1-IVII 1	<b>IGATION</b> In Baseline:	Mitigation Cost: (\$k)		
None	Intigation 1	ian.		YES	\$ -		
				Likelihood:	0		
				Cost Impact:	0		
				Schedule Impact:	0		
				Technical Impact:	0		
~	ation Risk	Rank Matrix	x:	Risk Rank:	Risk Handling Strategy:		
L Pro		X		High	Accept		
Pos				Expiration Date:	Expiration Description:		
UL Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat	-	Start assembly of 7th CM		

	Deceri	ation Sh	oot	Risk ID:	L3 WBS:			
PPU Risk	-	20011 511	cei	T-P.2-013	P.2.3 - Cryomodules			
Risk	Title:				scription:			
CM Sh	nipment				nintended performance pairs are required prior to test			
Risk Owner:		umber:	cave testin	ıg				
Saunders	P23	8P36						
				IGATION:				
Likelihood Sc	ore:				aboratory during the original			
Possible - 20% t	o 50%	-	le unlikely		g degradation in more than one			
Cost Impact So	core:	Justificati	on: Repairs	to both cavity perfor	mance through plasma			
Moderate - \$50k t	o \$500k	-	g and repair eeks for ab	e	n leaks would take 4 people			
Schedule Impact	Score:	Justificati	on: Repairs	to the affected cryon	nodule would be conducted in 2			
Minor - <3 mo	Minor - <3 months							
Technical Impact	Score:	Justificati	on: Risk is	related to the cost and	l schedule.			
Minor - No KPP	impact							
Ris	k Rank Ma	atrix:		Risk Rank:	Risk Trigger:			
L Pro				Medium	First CM delivery from ports of			
Pos	Х			Trigger Date:	First CM delivery from partner laboratory			
UL					laboratory			
Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat	-				
			POST-MI	FIGATION				
	itigation P			In Baseline:	Mitigation Cost: (\$k)			
Conduct shipping tes measure g-loading ar				NO	er, 1 truck rental @ 10k, 1 engin			
truck along different	roads to m	ninimize im	pact to	Likelihood:	Unlikely - < 20%			
СМ				Cost Impact:	Moderate - \$50k to \$500k			
				Schedule Impact:	Minor - <3 months			
_				Technical Impact:	Minor - No KPP impact			
Post Mitiga	tıon Risk I	Rank Matriz	X:	Risk Rank:	Risk Handling Strategy:			
L Pro	L Pro X				Mitigate			
Pos				Expiration Date:	Expiration Description:			
UL Minor	X Mod	Maj	Cat	-	Finish of 7th CM testing in RFTF test cave			

	D		4	Risk ID:	L3 WBS:
PPU Risk	Descri	ption Sn	eet	T-P.2-014	P.2.3 - Cryomodules
Risk	Title:				scription:
First 2 CM E	Delivery Is I	Late			from the partner laboratory and scheduled outage, then
Risk Owner:		umber:	installation	n is delayed	
Saunders	P23	8P36			
		-		IGATION:	
Likelihood S	core:			•	e the most likely to encounter
Probable - 50%	to 80%	delays at t	the partner	laboratory resulting ir	n a delay of shipping to SNS.
Cost Impact S	core:	Justificati	on: The bu	rn rate for the product	tion of cryomodules is ~\$250
Moderate - \$50k	to \$500k	k/month. equates to	•	a 50% decrease in cos	st for a delay of one month
Schedule Impac	t Score:	Justificati	on: Next w	indow for cryomodul	e installation is the next
Major - > 6 m	onths	available	SNS mainte	enance down, at least	6 months of schedule delay.
Technical Impac	et Score:	Justificati	on: Risk is	related to the cost and	l schedule.
Minor - No KPP	impact				
Ris	sk Rank Ma	atrix:		Risk Rank:	Risk Trigger:
L Pro		X		High	Start of CM32 & 31 test cave
Pos				Trigger Date:	testing
UL				_	testing
Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat		
			POST-MI	FIGATION	
	litigation P			In Baseline:	Mitigation Cost: (\$k)
Negotiate with SNS maintenance outage	-	5	date actual		\$-
CM delivery dates				Likelihood:	Unlikely - < 20%
				Cost Impact:	Minor - < \$50k
				Schedule Impact:	Minor - <3 months
	/' <b>T</b> \'1 -	1 1 1 2 - 1		Technical Impact:	Minor - No KPP impact
Post Mitiga	ation Risk I	kank Matri	X:	Risk Rank:	Risk Handling Strategy:
L Pro				Low	Mitigate
Pos				Expiration Date:	Expiration Description:
UL X	M 1	M-:	<u>C-t</u>	-	Finish of 2nd CM testing in
Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat		RFTF test cave

PPU Risk	Descrit	ntion Sh	eet	Risk ID:	L3 WBS:
	-			T-P.2-015	P.2.3 - Cryomodules
Risk	Title:		10.1		scription:
Tuner Del	ivery Is Lat	e		r harmonic drive is de	elayed, then cryomodule
Risk Owner:	P6 N	umber:			
Saunders	P232	2P146			
				IGATION:	
Likelihood So	core:			-	has been problematic in recent
Probable - 50%	to 80%	years for t	ooth SNS a	nd FRIB.	
Cost Impact S	core:	Justificati	on: The bu	rn rate for the product	ion of cryomodules is ~\$250
Major - \$500k t		k/month. equates to	-	a 50% decrease in cos	st for a delay of 6 months
Schedule Impac	t Score:	Justificati	on: Recent	procurements have be	een late by approximately 6
Major - > 6 m	onths	months.			
Technical Impac	t Score:	Justificati	on: Risk is	related to the cost and	l schedule.
Minor - No KPP	impact				
Ris	sk Rank Ma	trix:		Risk Rank:	Risk Trigger:
L Pro		X		High	
Pos				Trigger Date:	Assembly of first cold mass
UL				ingger Dute.	
Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat	-	
		5		FIGATION	
M	litigation P	an:		In Baseline:	Mitigation Cost: (\$k)
Utilize 8 existing SN including those curr	-			YES	\$-
and replace when de	•	1		Likelihood:	Unlikely - $< 20\%$
_				Cost Impact:	Minor - < \$50k
				Schedule Impact:	Minor - <3 months
				Technical Impact:	Minor - No KPP impact
Post Mitiga	ation Risk I	Rank Matrix	x:	Risk Rank:	Risk Handling Strategy:
L Pro					Mitigate
Pos				Expiration Date:	Expiration Description:
UL X Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat	-	Finish of 7th cold mass assembly
1VIIII0I	IVIUU	Iviaj	Cui		asseniory

PPU Risk	Descri	otion Sh	eet	Risk ID:	L3 WBS:
	Title:			T-P.2-016 Risk Dee	P.2.3 - Cryomodules scription:
			If the final		ed from the partner laboratory
Last 3 CM De	-		and not rea	ady to be installed du	ring scheduled outage, then
Risk Owner:		umber:	installation	n is delayed	
Saunders	P23	8P96			
				IGATION:	
Likelihood Sc	ore:				ties of the partner laboratories,
Possible - 20% t	o 50%		ely they wo lles are finis	· ·	after the first two production
Cost Impact So	core:	Justificati	on: The bur	n rate for the product	ion of cryomodules is ~\$250
Major - \$500k to		k/month.	On these la	st cryomodules, no o	ther partner laboratory work is delay of 3 months equates to
Schedule Impact	Score:	Justificati	on: Next wi	indow for cryomodul	e installation is the next
Major - > 6 mc	onths	available	SNS mainte	enance down, at least	6 months of schedule delay.
Technical Impact	Score:	Justificati	on: Risk is	related to the cost and	l schedule.
Minor - No KPP	impact				
Ris	k Rank Ma	trix:		Risk Rank:	Risk Trigger:
L Pro				Medium	
Pos		X		Trigger Date:	Start of CM28, 27 & 25 test
UL				66	cave testing
Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat	-	
		]	POST-MIT	TIGATION	
M	itigation Pl	an:		In Baseline:	Mitigation Cost: (\$k)
Negotiate with SNS of maintenance outage	*	0	date actual	NO	\$ -
CM delivery dates				Likelihood:	Unlikely - < 20%
				Cost Impact:	Major - \$500k to \$2M
				Schedule Impact:	Major $- > 6$ months
				Technical Impact:	Minor - No KPP impact
Post Mitiga	tion Risk I	Rank Matri	x:	Risk Rank:	Risk Handling Strategy:
L Pro					Mitigate
Pos				Expiration Date:	Expiration Description:
UL Minor	Mod	<b>X</b> Maj	Cat	-	Finish of 7th CM testing in RFTF test cave

PPU Risk	Docorir	ntion Sh	oot	Risk ID:	L3 WBS:			
				T-P.2-017	P.2.3 - Cryomodules			
Risk	Title:		Risk Description:					
Second 2 CM E	Delivery Is	Late	and not rea	ady to be installed du	yed from the partner laboratory ring scheduled outage, then			
Risk Owner: Saunders		umber: 8P88	installation	n is delayed				
			PRE-MIT	IGATION:				
Likelihood Sco	ore:	Justificati	on: With th	e production capabili	ties of the partner laboratories,			
Possible - 20% to	o 50%		ely they wo les are finis		after the first two production			
Cost Impact Sc	ore:	Justificati	on: The bu	rn rate for the product	ion of cryomodules is ~\$250			
Moderate - \$50k to			Assuming	-	st for a delay of one month			
Schedule Impact	Score:	Justificati	on: Next w	indow for cryomodul	e installation is the next			
^				ailable SNS maintenance down, at least 6 months of schedule delay.				
Technical Impact	Score:	Justificati	ication: Risk is related to the cost and schedule.					
Minor - No KPP i	impact							
Risk	x Rank Ma	trix:		Risk Rank:	Risk Trigger:			
L Pro				Medium				
Pos		X		Trigger Date:	Start of CM30 & 29 test cave			
UL					testing			
Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat	-				
			POST-MIT	FIGATION				
	tigation Pl			In Baseline:	Mitigation Cost: (\$k)			
Negotiate with SNS of maintenance outage s	-	•	date actual	NO	\$ -			
CM delivery dates				Likelihood:	Unlikely - < 20%			
				Cost Impact:	Minor - < \$50k			
				Schedule Impact:	Minor - <3 months			
				Technical Impact:	Minor - No KPP impact			
Post Mitigat	tion Risk F	Rank Matri	x:	Risk Rank:	Risk Handling Strategy:			
L Pro				Low	Mitigate			
Pos				Expiration Date:	Expiration Description:			
	Pos UL X							

PPU Risk Description Sheet					Risk ID:	L3 WBS:		
1.		-			T-P.2-018	P.2.3 - Cryomodules		
	Risk	Title:		Risk Description:				
I	End Can Del	ivery Is L	ate	If the supply or return end cans are delayed, then cryomodule production is delayed				
Risk	Owner:	P6 N	umber:					
Sau	unders	P23	4P81					
			]	PRE-MIT	IGATION:			
Li	kelihood Sc	ore:	Justificatio	on: Experie	enced vendors will be	selected for this procurement		
U	nlikely - < 2	0%	making th	is delay un	likely.			
Со	st Impact Sc	core:	Justificatio	on: The but	rn rate for the product	ion of cryomodules is ~\$250		
	rate - \$50k to		k/month. equates to	-	a 50% decrease in cos	st for a delay of 4 months		
Sche	dule Impact	Score:	Justificatio	on: Delay a	ssumed to be 4 month	ns. Reviewed with multiple		
	Moderate - 3 to 6 months				ical vendor performar			
Techi	nical Impact	Score:	Justificatio	on: Risk is	related to the cost and	l schedule.		
Mino	r - No KPP	impact						
	Risl	k Rank Ma	atrix:		Risk Rank:	Risk Trigger:		
L					Low			
Pro						Receive first end can from		
Pos					Trigger Date:	vendor		
UL		Χ			_	vendor		
	Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat				
				POST-MI	FIGATION			
	Mi	tigation P	lan:		In Baseline:	Mitigation Cost: (\$k)		
None					YES	\$ -		
					Likelihood:	0		
					Cost Impact:	0		
					Schedule Impact:	0		
					Technical Impact:	0		
	Post Mitigat	tion Risk I	Rank Matrix	K:	Risk Rank:	Risk Handling Strategy:		
L Pro					Low	Accept		
Pos					Expiration Date:	Expiration Description:		
UL		X			-	Receive all supply/return cans		
	Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat				

	Deceri	ation Sh	aat	Risk ID:	L3 WBS:		
PPU Risl		<b>JUOH 5</b> 11		T-P.2-019	P.2.3 - Cryomodules		
Ris	k Title:		1	Risk Description:			
Heat Exchange	er Delivery l	ls Late		module heat exchang n is delayed	er is delayed, then cryomodule		
Risk Owner:		umber:					
Saunders	P23	4P96					
				IGATION:			
Likelihood S	core:				irchase these components with		
Unlikely - <	20%		· •	to the schedule, this i	on the length of the s very unlikely to occur.		
Cost Impact S	Score:	Justificati	on: The bu	rn rate for the product	ion of cryomodules is ~\$250		
Moderate - \$50k	to \$500k	k/month. equates to	•	a 50% decrease in cos	st for a delay of 4 months		
Schedule Impac	et Score:	Justificati	on: Delay a	assumed to be 4 month	ns. Reviewed with multiple		
				ical vendor performat			
Moderate - 3 to	6 months						
Technical Impac	et Score:	Justificati	on: Risk is	related to the cost and	l schedule.		
Minor - No KPI	P impact						
Ri	sk Rank Ma	atrix:		Risk Rank:	Risk Trigger:		
L				Low			
Pro					Start of heat exchanger		
Pos				Trigger Date:	procurement		
UL	X			-	L		
Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat				
N	Aitigation P		POST-MI	<b>FIGATION</b> In Baseline:	Mitigation Cost: (\$1)		
None	mugation P	ia11.		in Dascille.	Mitigation Cost: (\$k)		
				YES	\$ -		
				Likelihood:	0		
				Cost Impact:	0		
				Schedule Impact:	0		
				Technical Impact:	0		
Post Mitig	ation Risk I	Rank Matri	x:	Risk Rank:	Risk Handling Strategy:		
L Pro				Low	Accept		
Pos				Expiration Date:	Expiration Description:		
UL	Χ			_	Receive all heat exchangers		
Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat		Receive an neut exchangers		

	<b>D</b> ·	<b></b> C1	4	Risk ID:	L3 WBS:		
PPU Risk	Descrip	ption Sh	eet	T-P.2-020	P.2.3 - Cryomodules		
Risk	Title:			Risk Description:			
Partner Labor	ratory Prior	ities	~	-	esource constraints due to CM delivery is delayed		
Risk Owner:	P6 N	umber:					
Saunders	P23	2P36					
			PRE-MIT	IGATION:			
Likelihood S	core:		-	•	e more than 50% likely to be		
Possible - 20%	to 50%			· •	be chosen. This matches with he potential partner laboratories.		
Cost Impact S	core:	Justificati	on: The bu	rn rate for the product	ion of cryomodules is ~\$250		
Moderate - \$50k	to \$500k	k/month.	Assuming	a 10% charge for 6 m	onths equates to \$150 k.		
Schedule Impac	t Score:	Justificati	on: Based o	on the partner laborate	ories selected for this project		
Moderate - 3 to 6	months		nd their relationship with DOE, it is unlikely any temporary priority shift ould exceed 6 months.				
Technical Impac	t Score:	Justificati	on: Risk is	related to the cost and	l schedule.		
Minor - No KPP	impact						
Ris	sk Rank Ma	trix:		Risk Rank:	Risk Trigger:		
L Pro				Medium	Start of portner leb long load		
Pos	X			Trigger Date:	Start of partner lab long lead procurement		
UL					procurement		
Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat	-			
			POST-MI	FIGATION			
	litigation P			In Baseline:	Mitigation Cost: (\$k)		
Add SNS personnel laboratory to meet p		-	rtner	NO	307 [4 people for 3 months]		
				Likelihood:	Unlikely - < 20%		
				Cost Impact:	Moderate - \$50k to \$500k		
				Schedule Impact:	Moderate - 3 to 6 months		
				Technical Impact:	Minor - No KPP impact		
Post Mitiga	ation Risk I	Rank Matriz	X:	Risk Rank:	Risk Handling Strategy:		
L Pro				Low	Mitigate		
Pos				Expiration Date:	Expiration Description:		
UL Minor	X Mod	Maj	Cat	-	Finish assembly of 7th CM		
10111101	11104	irruj	Cui				

D	PU Risk	Docorir	ntion Sh	oot	Risk ID:	L3 WBS:	
1		-			T-P.2-021	P.2.3 - Cryomodules	
	Risk	Title:		Risk Description: If the vacuum vessel is delayed, then cryomodule production is			
Vac	uum Vessel	-		If the vacu delayed	ium vessel is delayed,	then cryomodule production is	
Risk	Owner:		umber:				
Sau	unders	P23	3P66				
					IGATION:		
Li	kelihood Sc	ore:		-		selected for this procurement	
U	nlikely - < 2	0%	making th	is delay un	likely.		
Co	ost Impact Sc	core:	Justificati	on: The bu	n rate for the product	ion of cryomodules is ~\$250	
	rate - \$50k to			Assuming	-	st for a delay of 4 months	
Sche	dule Impact	Score:	Justificati	on: Delay a	ssumed to be 4 month	ns. Reviewed with multiple	
	1			•	ical vendor performar	-	
Mode	erate - 3 to 6	months			-		
Tech	nical Impact	Score:	Justificati	on: Risk is	related to the cost and	l schedule.	
Minc	or - No KPP	impact					
	Risl	k Rank Ma	atrix:		Risk Rank:	Risk Trigger:	
L					Low		
Pro						First vacuum vessel delivery	
Pos					Trigger Date:	due date	
UL		X		a i	-		
	Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat			
	M	tization Di			TIGATION	Mitigation Cost: (\$1)	
None	111	tigation Pl	iaii.		In Baseline:	Mitigation Cost: (\$k)	
None					YES	\$ -	
					Likelihood:	0	
					Cost Impact:	0	
					Schedule Impact:	0	
					Technical Impact:	0	
	Post Mitigat	tion Risk I	Rank Matrix	X:	Risk Rank:	Risk Handling Strategy:	
L Pro					Low	Accept	
Pos					Expiration Date:	Expiration Description:	
UL		Χ			_	Receive all vacuum vessels	
	Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat	- Receive all vacuum ves		

PPU Risk Description Shee					Risk ID:	L3 WBS:		
11		-			O-P.2-030	P.2.3 - Cryomodules		
	Risk	Title:		Risk Description:				
	CM Cos	t Is Low				s are high, then project CM cost		
D'1	0	D()	1	will decrea	ase			
	Owner:		umber:					
Sau	nders	P23	2P36					
т.:1	celihood Sc		-		IGATION:			
	kennood Sc	ore.			•	ule production experience of tote the PPU project, it is		
Ur	likely - < 2	0%				ryomodule fabrication cost.		
Cos	st Impact Sc	ore.	Instificatio	on: For the	nurchase of 7 cryomo	odules, this would have an		
	n inipaet se				t of over \$1M.	dules, this would have an		
Majo	r - \$500k to	9 \$2M	inip <b>uot</b> on	the project				
Sched	lule Impact	Score:	Justificati	on: Risk is	related to the cost of t	fabrication.		
	<u> </u>							
Miı	nor - <3 mo	nths						
Techn	ical Impact	Score:	Justificati	tion: Risk is related to the cost of fabrication.				
Minor	- No KPP	impact						
	Risl	k Rank Ma	trix:		Risk Rank:	Risk Trigger:		
L					Medium			
Pro					wiedium	Start of partner lab long lead		
Pos					Trigger Date:	procurement		
UL			X		_	procurement		
	Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat				
				POST-MI	FIGATION			
	Mi	tigation Pl	an:		In Baseline:	Mitigation Cost: (\$k)		
None					YES	\$ -		
					Likelihood:	0		
					Cost Impact:	0		
					Schedule Impact:	0		
					Technical Impact:	0		
	Post Mitigat	tion Risk H	Rank Matriz	x:	Risk Rank:	Risk Handling Strategy:		
L Pro					Medium	Accept		
Pos					Expiration Date:	Expiration Description:		
UL			X		*	· ·		
	Minor	Mod	Maj	Cat	-	Start assembly of 7th CM		