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Hall B Forward Tagger was surveyed multiple times in January 2024. The Beam Following coordinates are the offset amount from the designed (ideal) location, where positive X is the beam left, positive Y is above and positive $Z$ is downstream from the ideal position. The delta angles are the difference from the design shown in degrees, in a right-hand rule. D Yaw is a positive counter-clockwise about the Y axis; d Pitch is counterclockwise about the X axis; d Roll is positive about the Z axis

The following table is the as-found position reported in data transmittal B1887 from 2018.

|  | CEBAF Coord. Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COMPONENT | X[m] | $\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathrm{Z}[\mathrm{m}]$ |
| FWDTAG | -80.60000 | 103.35526 | -400.81933 |


| Beam Following Movements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Epoch | $\mathrm{dx}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{dy}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{dz}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | d Yaw | d Pitch | d Roll |  |
| July 18.2018 | 0.12 | 0.374 | -4.36 | 0.2272 | 0.0046 | -0.3495 |  |

Below are the results of the post-run survey measured on $1 / 4 / 2024$. The shield configuration is "FT_OFF".

|  | CEBAF Coord. |  |  | Beam Following Coord. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPONENT | X[m] | Y[m] | Z[m] | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Dx} \\ & {[\mathrm{~mm}]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Dy} \\ & {[\mathrm{~mm}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{dz} \\ & {[\mathrm{~mm}]} \end{aligned}$ | dYaw [deg.] | dPitch [deg.] | dRoll [deg.] |
| FWDTAG | -80.60023 | 103.35422 | -400.81452 | 0.23 | -1.04 | -4.81 | 0.1974 | 0.0808 | 0.4976 |

Measurements of the shielding were made post-run. Two measurements of the FT_OFF configuration were made. The first measurement is with the springs installed incorrectly, and the second was made after the spring correction. In the beam following system, the $\underline{Z}$ values are relative to the $\underline{\boldsymbol{A} \text {-Set position }}$ of the Cryo target.

|  | CEBAF Coord. Measured | Beam Following Coord. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| COMPONENT | Z[m] | $d x[m m]$ | $d y[m m]$ | $d z[\mathrm{~mm}]$ |
| FT_OFF_US Face /springs incorrectly installed | -399.27342 | 0.20 | -7.6 | 481.52 |
| FT_OFF_US Face/springs correctly installed | -399.27355 | 0.19 | -6.74 | 481.65 |
| FT_ON_US Face | -399.58176 | 0.79 | -3.22 | 789.86 |
| Forward tagger hub_US Face | -400.45994 | 0.53 | -1.21 | 1668.04 |

Based on the as-found results of the two configurations, it was decided to pitch the upstream end of FT_ON $\sim 1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ up in an effort to equally distribute the error between FT_ON and FT_OFF. The following results reflect the as-build locations.

|  | CEBAF Coord. Measured |  |  | Beam Following Coord. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPONENT | X[m] | $\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{m}]$ | Z[m] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Dx} \\ & \text { [mm] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dy } \\ & {[\mathrm{mm}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Dz} \\ & {[\mathrm{~mm}]} \end{aligned}$ | dYaw <br> [deg.] | dPitch [deg.] | dRoll [deg.] |
| FWDTAG | -80.60000 | 103.35466 | -400.81466 | 0.00 | -0.60 | -4.67 | 0.2077 | -0.0748 | 0.3690 |


|  | CEBAF Coord. Measured |  | Beam Following Coord. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| COMPONENT | Z[m] | $d x[\mathrm{~mm}]$ | $d y[m m]$ | $d z[\mathrm{~mm}]$ Relative to CryoTgt. As-Set |  |
| FT_ON_US Face | -399.58185 | 0.07 | 1.35 | 789.95 |  |

Once the shield corrections were made, the final as-found survey of the forward tagger and FT _OFF shielding was carried out.

|  | CEBAF Coord. Measured |  |  | Beam Following Coord. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPONENT | X[m] | $\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{m}]$ | Z[m] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Dx} \\ & {[\mathrm{~mm}]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Dy [mm] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Dz} \\ & {[\mathrm{~mm}]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | dYaw <br> [deg.] | dPitch <br> [deg.] | dRoll [deg.] |
| FWDTAG | -80.59993 | 103.35442 | -400.81466 | -0.07 | -0.84 | -4.67 | 0.2341 | -0.0602 | 0.3570 |


|  | CEBAF Coord. Measured | Beam Following Coord. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| COMPONENT | Z[m] | $\mathrm{dx}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{dy}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{dz}[\mathrm{mm}]$ Relative to Cryo Tgt. As-Set |
| FT_OFF_US Face | -399.27351 | -0.97 | -1.11 |  |

Below are the Forward Tagger fiducials provided by the Hall B design team. The table reflects the fit of those points as well as the weighting. The first table shows all of the fiducials fixed and the standard deviation. The second table shows the weighting method used from the 2018 B1887 report along with the standard deviation.

The pillar names have been assigned such that the number corresponds to the sector and the letter reflects the position either Upstream or Downstream. Each of the pillar ideals have a 25.4 mm radial offset to account for the retroreflector offset.

|  | Measured |  |  |  | Ideal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| name | $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{Z}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{Z}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{DX}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{DY}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{DZ}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | X | Y |
| U1 | 249.03 | 1.76 | -92.73 | 247.50 | 0.00 | -93.20 | 1.53 | 1.76 | 0.47 | FIX | FIX |
| U4 | -246.06 | -1.64 | -92.58 | -247.50 | 0.00 | -93.20 | 1.44 | -1.64 | 0.62 | FIX | FIX |
| U5 | -102.59 | -223.20 | -93.39 | -104.60 | -224.31 | -93.20 | 2.01 | 1.11 | -0.19 | FIX | FIX |
| U6 | 98.85 | -225.93 | -93.32 | 104.61 | -224.30 | -93.20 | -5.76 | -1.63 | -0.12 | FIX | FIX |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D1 | 259.49 | -52.76 | 92.31 | 259.64 | -50.47 | 92.10 | -0.15 | -2.29 | 0.21 | FIX | FIX |
| D2 | 175.94 | 199.42 | 91.73 | 176.99 | 196.56 | 92.10 | -1.05 | 2.86 | -0.37 | FIX | FIX |
| D3 FIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D4 | -176.42 | 198.62 | 92.35 | -176.99 | 196.56 | 92.10 | 0.57 | 2.06 | 0.25 | FIX | FIX |
|  | FIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Std. dev $=2.10$

Below is the weighting scheme used on DT B1887.

|  | Measured |  |  | Ideal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| name | X[mm] | y[mm] | Z[mm] | X[mm] | Y[mm] | Z[mm] | DX[mm] | DY[mm] | DZ[mm] | X | Y | Z |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U1 | 248.06 | -0.71 | -92.99 | 247.50 | 0.00 | -93.20 | 0.56 | -0.71 | 0.21 | FIX | FIX | FIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U4 | -247.03 | -2.10 | -92.32 | -247.50 | 0.00 | -93.20 | 0.47 | -2.10 | 0.88 | FIX | UNK | FIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U5 | -104.47 | -224.24 | -93.33 | -104.60 | -224.31 | -93.20 | 0.13 | 0.07 | -0.13 | FIX | FIX | FIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U6 | 96.96 | -227.79 | -93.47 | 104.61 | -224.30 | -93.20 | -7.65 | -3.49 | -0.27 | UNK | UNK | FIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D1 | 258.49 | -55.32 | 92.03 | 259.64 | -50.47 | 92.10 | -1.15 | -4.85 | -0.07 | FIX | UNK | FIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D2 | 175.97 | 197.20 | 91.59 | 176.99 | 196.56 | 92.10 | -1.02 | 0.64 | -0.51 | FIX | FIX | FIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D3 | -176.39 | 197.83 | 92.58 | -176.99 | 196.56 | 92.10 | 0.60 | 1.27 | 0.48 | FIX | UNK | FIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D4 | -259.23 | -53.16 | 91.50 | -259.64 | -50.47 | 92.10 | 0.41 | -2.69 | -0.60 | FIX | UNK | FIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Below are the results of the Cryo Target survey and scattering chamber inspection carried out on the $11^{\text {th }}$ of January, 2024. The end of the scattering chamber was measured under vacuum as well as at atmosphere.

|  | CEBAF Coord. Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COMPONENT | $X[\mathrm{~m}]$ | Y[m] | $Z[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| CryoTarget | -80.60000 | 103.35526 | -398.82153 |


|  | CEBAF Coord. Measured |  |  | Beam Following Coord. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPONENT | X[m] | Y[m] | Z[m] | dx <br> [mm] | dy [mm] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{dz} \\ & {[\mathrm{~mm}]} \end{aligned}$ | dyaw <br> [deg.] | dPitch [deg.] | Droll [deg.] |
| Cryo Target | -80.59983 | 103.35543 | -398.79190 | -0.17 | 0.17 | -29.60 | 0.0059 | -0.0042 | -0.0058 |

The scattering chamber results are relative to the "CELL CENTER" shown in the following drawing.

|  | Beam Following Coord. |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| COMPONENT | $\mathrm{dx[mm}]$ | $\mathrm{dy}[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $\mathrm{dz}[\mathrm{mm}]$ |
| GR-CELL_BASE | 0.08 | -0.29 | -86.05 |
| GR-HEAT_SHIELD | -0.70 | -0.40 | -204.60 |
| GR-MOUNT_MID_FLG | 1.07 | -0.04 | -1315.36 |
| GR-MOUNT_MID_IN | 1.08 | -0.04 | -1322.35 |
| Scatter_Chamber_atm |  |  | 272.05 |
| Scatter_Chamber_Vac |  |  | 271.89 |



