# A Dispersive Treatment of $K_{\ell 4}$ Decays

#### Peter Stoffer

stoffer@itp.unibe.ch

#### Work in collaboration with G. Colangelo and E. Passemar

Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics Institute for Theoretical Physics University of Bern

#### 7th August 2012

The 7th International Workshop on Chiral Dynamics Jefferson Lab, Newport News, VA

1



## **2** Dispersion Relation for $K_{\ell 4}$ Decays

### **3** Results





### **2** Dispersion Relation for $K_{\ell 4}$ Decays

### **3** Results

## 4 Outlook

# Importance of $K_{\ell 4}$ decays

Unique information about some low energy constants of ChPT:

- L<sup>r</sup><sub>1</sub>, L<sup>r</sup><sub>2</sub>, L<sup>r</sup><sub>3</sub> multiply operators with four derivatives ⇒
  We need a four-"particle" process
- $K_{\ell 4}$  like a  $2 \rightarrow 2$  scattering
- Happens at low energy, where ChPT is expected to converge better

# Importance of $K_{\ell 4}$ decays

- Provides information on  $\pi\pi$  scattering lengths  $a_0^0$ ,  $a_0^2$
- Very precisely measured  $\Rightarrow$  Test of ChPT
  - $\rightarrow$  Geneva-Saclay, E865, NA48/2
- Kaon physics: High precision at low energy as a key to new physics?

 $\rightarrow NA62$ 

# Advantages of dispersion relations

- Summation of rescattering
- Connects different energy regions
- Based on analyticity and unitarity ⇒ Model independence
- $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$  result available, but only useful if LECs are known

# Motivation

# **2** Dispersion Relation for $K_{\ell 4}$ Decays

Kinematics and Matrix Element Decomposing the Amplitude Integral Equations



### 4 Outlook

# $K_{\ell 4}$ decays

Decay of a kaon in two pions and a lepton pair:

$$K^+(p) \to \pi^+(p_1)\pi^-(p_2)\ell^+(p_\ell)\nu_\ell(p_\nu)$$

 $\ell \in \{e, \mu\}$  is either an electron or a muon.

### SM tree-level



### Hadronic part of $K_{\ell 4}$ as $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering



## Form factors

 Lorentz structure allows four form factors in the hadronic matrix element.

$$\langle \pi^{+}(p_{1})\pi^{-}(p_{2})|V_{\mu}(0)|K^{+}(p)\rangle = -\frac{H}{M_{K}^{3}}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}L^{\nu}P^{\rho}Q^{\sigma} \langle \pi^{+}(p_{1})\pi^{-}(p_{2})|A_{\mu}(0)|K^{+}(p)\rangle = -i\frac{1}{M_{K}}(P_{\mu}F + Q_{\mu}G + L_{\mu}R)$$

In experiments, just K<sub>e4</sub> decays are measured, yet.
 There, mainly one specific linear combination
 F<sub>1</sub>(s, t, u) of the form factors F and G is accessible.

# Analytic properties

- $F_1(s,t,u)$  has a right-hand branch cut in the complex *s*-plane, starting at the  $\pi\pi$ -threshold.
- Left-hand cut present due to crossing.
- Analogous situation in *t* and *u*-channel.

Decomposition has been done first for the  $\pi\pi$  scattering amplitude.

 $\rightarrow$  Stern, Sazdjian, Fuchs (1993)

Define a function that has just the right-hand cut of the partial wave  $f_0$ :

$$M_0(s) := P(s) + \frac{s^4}{\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} \frac{\mathrm{Im}f_0(s')}{(s' - s - i\epsilon)s'^4} ds'$$

Define similar functions that take care of the right-hand cuts of  $f_1$  and the *S*- and *P*-waves in the crossed channels.

All the discontinuities are split up into functions of a single variable.  $\Rightarrow$  Major simplification!

We neglect:

- Imaginary parts of *D* and higher waves,
- High energy tail of dispersion integral from  $\Lambda^2$  to  $\infty$ . Both effects are of  $\mathcal{O}(p^8)$ .

Respecting isospin properties, we end up with the following decomposition:

$$F_1(s,t,u) = M_0(s) + \frac{2}{3}N_0(t) + \frac{1}{3}R_0(t) + R_0(u) + (u-t)M_1(s) - \frac{2}{3}\Big[t(u-s) - \Delta_{K\pi}\Delta_{\ell\pi}\Big]N_1(t) + \mathcal{O}(p^8).$$

## **Dispersion relation**

### Solution of the Omnès problem:

$$M_0(s) = \Omega_0^0(s) \left\{ P(s) + \frac{s^3}{\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} \frac{\hat{M}_0(s') \sin \delta_0^0(s')}{|\Omega_0^0(s')| (s' - s - i\epsilon) {s'}^3} ds' \right\},$$

with the Omnès function

$$\Omega_0^0(s) := \exp\left\{\frac{s}{\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^\infty \frac{\delta_0^0(s')}{s'(s'-s-i\epsilon)} \, ds'\right\}.$$

Similar relations for the other functions.

# Phase inputs

We need the following phase shifts:

- $\delta_0^0$ ,  $\delta_1^1$ :  $\pi\pi$  scattering
- $\delta_0^{1/2}$ ,  $\delta_1^{1/2}$ ,  $\delta_0^{3/2}$ :  $K\pi$  scattering

 $(\delta_l^I: l - \text{angular momentum}, I - \text{isospin})$ 

# Hat functions

- The left-hand cut is contained in  $\hat{M}_0(s)$ .
- $\hat{M}_0(s)$  is given as angular averages of  $N_0, N_1, \ldots$

Intermediate summary

- Problem parametrised by five subtraction constants.
- Elastic scattering phase shifts as inputs.
- Energy dependence fully determined by the dispersion relation.

# Intermediate summary

• Set of coupled integral equations:  $\Rightarrow M_0(s), M_1(s), \ldots$ : DR involving  $\hat{M}_0(s), \hat{M}_1(s), \ldots$ 

 $\Rightarrow \hat{M}_0(s), \hat{M}_1(s), \ldots$ : Angular integrals over  $M_0(s), M_1(s), \ldots$ 

- System solved by iteration
- Problem linear in subtraction constants ⇒ Fit data with a linear combination of five basic solutions

# Motivation

### 2 Dispersion Relation for $K_{\ell 4}$ Decays

### **3** Results

Fit to Data Matching to ChPT Preliminary Values for LECs

### 4 Outlook

### Fit of the S-wave



Fit to Data

### Fit of the S-wave



Fit to Data

### Fit of the S-wave



# Determination of LECs

- Matching the dispersive result to ChPT at s = t - u = 0: Below threshold, where ChPT converges better
- $L_1^r$ ,  $L_2^r$  and  $L_3^r$  can be determined

## Determination of LECs - preliminary!

Results of the matching to  $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$  ChPT ( $\mu = 770$  MeV)

	$10^{3}L_{1}^{r}$	$10^{3}L_{2}^{r}$	$10^{3}L_{3}^{r}$
DR, E865	$0.44\pm0.41$	$0.42\pm0.34$	$-2.22\pm1.41$
DR, NA48/2	$0.60\pm0.29$	$0.63\pm0.28$	$-3.16\pm1.19$
'fit All' [*]	$0.88 \pm 0.09$	$0.61 \pm 0.20$	$-3.04\pm0.43$

[\*] J. Bijnens, I. Jemos, 'fit All':  $\rightarrow$  arXiv:1103.5945 [hep-ph]

## 1 Motivation

### **2** Dispersion Relation for $K_{\ell 4}$ Decays

### **3** Results



## Work in progress

- Isospin corrections
- Matching to  $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$  ChPT

# Summary

- Parametrisation valid up to and including  $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$
- Model independence
- Full summation of rescattering effects
- Very precise data available
- Advantage over pure ChPT: Matching below threshold, where ChPT converges better ⇒ LECs