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FEL DRIVE LASER
DRIVE LASER SYSTEM & TRANSPORT

Most of the hardware is in a Class 10000 clean room. Essential for long term stability. Contains drive laser, diagnostics, beam conditioning and pulse selection. Transport ~ 20 m in evacuable beam pipe to injector light box.
DRIVE LASER DIAGNOSTICS

- We have refined our laser diagnostics over the last decade of operation.
  - We continuously monitor:
    - Overall health of the laser (*e.g.* water temp, water conductivity)
    - IR & visible power (1 Hz sampling rate)
    - Pulsewidth (using autocorrelation)
    - Contrast ratio of macropulses and micropulses (*‘scope display*)
    - Phase noise wrt MO
    - PLL parameters
  - Monitor beam profile (*transverse mode quality*) in a nonintercepting way:
    - In the drive laser clean room.
    - At a distance corresponding to the cathode position.
FEL DRIVE LASER PERFORMANCE

- Normally produces 4 W of 527 nm light at 74.85 MHz, ~ 50 ps FWHM.
  - Produces over 7 W with new (less than 5 khrs) laser rod.
  - SHG scaleable to ~ 12 W (longer crystal, external cavity).
  - Uptime > 99.5% over 9 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRF (MHz)</td>
<td>74.85 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR output wavelength</td>
<td>1053 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR output Power</td>
<td>~ 14 W (max ~ 25 W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHG output wavelength</td>
<td>526.5 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHG output power</td>
<td>≥ 4 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHG amplitude stability</td>
<td>~2 % p-p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing stability</td>
<td>≤ 0.5 ps (10 – 10 kHz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam quality</td>
<td>Better than 2x diffraction-limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing stability</td>
<td>&lt; 20 μrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam profile</td>
<td>Elliptical (~25% ellipticity) Flat-top @ cathode</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OUR DRIVE LASER EXPERIENCE

- Over time, we have created a drive laser system that is rarely the cause of downtime.
- Arc lamp pumping causes more amplitude jitter than diode pumping.
- Power delivered to photocathode only 25% of that launched.
- Use of an aperture to set transverse profile greatly increases amplitude jitter.
  - Due to laser beam wander (pointing stability).
- Optical transport needs periodic (~ once a day) check of alignment to minimize halo and amplitude jitter.
Both high current (> 100 mA) ERLs and high average power FELs (≥100 kW) need higher output drive lasers.

Since we are funded to plan for the next generation of FELs, we concentrate on the latter system.

Simulations indicate this requires ~80 pC charge/bunch, or 60 mA average current.

To achieve this current, we need a laser with these specs:

- Power: ~30 W, 748.5 MHz, 532 nm
  - Assumes NEA GaAs with 1% QE @ 532 nm
- Pulsewidth: ~30 ps FWHM
- Amplitude jitter < 0.5% p-p
- Timing jitter < 1 ps rms wrt RF master oscillator
HOW TO ACHIEVE THESE SPECS?

- Given that the SHG conversion efficiency lies somewhere between 35-55%
  - THG or FHG is of order 15-25%
- Therefore, IR power must be in the range of 60-90 W.
- The PRF should be high (≥ 500 MHz) commensurate with the linac RF frequency.

- To achieve the power goal
  - Active ions are limited to Nd$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$
  - Lasing media could be slabs, thin disks, or fibers
  - System architectures could be oscillators or MOPAs (Master Oscillator Power Amplifier)
OSCILLATOR OPTIONS

. High average power, mode-locked oscillators must have high beam quality (for efficient conversion from the IR)
  . Architecture is evolving from zig-zag slabs to thin disk geometry
  . Power of order 100 W with excellent beam quality achieved in lab
    . Time-Bandwidth Products produces Fortis (50 W @ 1030 nm, 60 MHz)
      . Based on Yb:YAG, passively mode-locked
      . Won’t operate at very high PRF when passively mode-locked.
        . Energy/pulse too low to saturate the absorber.
    . Passive mode-locking eliminates low gain laser materials like Nd:YLF or Yb:YAG for use at high PRFs.
      . Harmonic mode-locking may work (must manage irradiance to avoid damage).
MOPA OPTION #1 - DIODE-PUMPED FIBER LASERS

- The recent discovery (~2000 that one can trivially produce single-mode output from a multimode, double-clad fiber has led to impressive cw powers with very good beam quality:
  - J. Nillson et al (Southampton Photonics 2003):
    - 270 W @ 1080 nm (Yb$^{3+}$-doped fiber)
    - 100 W @ 1565 nm (Er$^{3+}$, Yb$^{3+}$-doped fiber)
  - Y. Jeong et al (Univ. of Southampton Dec 2004)
    - 1.36 kW @ 1100 nm (12 m long Yb$^{3+}$-doped fiber)

Y. Jeong et al, Optics Express 12, 6088 (2004)

- Aculight recently (July 2004) produced 60 W of SHG (540 nm) in ns pulses @ 10MHz
  - Used diode pumped fiber preamp.
  - Pulses shape when propagating ps pulses? Opportunities to do shaping?
- This is definitely an exciting area, but needs development.
In the last 4 years, several companies introduced mode-locked lasers of this type (e.g., Spectra-Physics Vanguard, Time-Bandwidth Cougar, etc).

- Passively mode-locked oscillator
- Multipass amplifiers with YVO₄:Nd³⁺ gain media
- This is the architecture we chose, as it minimized risk
  - Oscillator operates at either 74.85 MHz or 748.5 MHz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IR output wavelength</td>
<td>1064 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR output Power</td>
<td>~ 70 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHG output wavelength</td>
<td>532 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHG output power</td>
<td>≥ 25 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHG amplitude stability</td>
<td>≤ +/- 0.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing stability</td>
<td>≤ 1 ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam quality</td>
<td>Better than 3x diffraction-limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing stability</td>
<td>&lt; 20 µrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam profile</td>
<td>Circular (up to 25% ellipticity permitted)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEW DRIVE LASER PERFORMANCE - NOV 2004


- Performance degraded by high loss (~ 5%/pass) in amplifier host material.
  - Some slabs had problems with poor coatings, producing parasitic oscillation.
  - Vendor had provided excellent material the past 7 years.
- Since last November, using material that meets spec, preamp delivers 15 W, output/amp is ~15 W.
  - SHG conversion efficiency with 30 ps pulses exceeds 50% (@74.85 MHz PRF)
  - One preamp and three amplifiers should give us > 25 W at 532 nm.
  - One can continue adding amplifiers to achieve higher powers.
# ADVANCED DRIVE LASER OSCILLATOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Spec</th>
<th>Achieved @ 74.85 MHz</th>
<th>Achieved @ 748.5 MHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>≥ 0.5 W</td>
<td>1 W</td>
<td>1.05 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulsed length</td>
<td>30-50 ps</td>
<td>29 ps</td>
<td>27 ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampl. Jitter</td>
<td>&lt; 1 % p-p</td>
<td>0.8 % p-p</td>
<td>0.8 % p-p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing Jitter</td>
<td>&lt; 1 ps</td>
<td>0.4 ps</td>
<td>0.2 ps*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam Quality</td>
<td>2 x D. L.</td>
<td>&lt; 2 x D.L</td>
<td>&lt; 2 x D.L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing Stability</td>
<td>20 μrad</td>
<td>5 μrad</td>
<td>5 μrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellipticity</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.9**</td>
<td>1.6**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* At lower limit of measurement  
** Circularizing optics remove ellipticity
THE Q-Peak LASER AMPLIFIER IS COMPACT

Collimated 40 W Laser Diode Bars

Nd:YVO4 Slab

Operated by the Southeastern Universities Research Association for the U.S. Dept. Of Energy
IMPROVED DRIVE LASER TRANSPORT

- One of our largest sources of loss is the pulse selection.
  - Two EO modulators, each with ~ 90% transmission.
- We will replace this with one EO cell, located before the SHG crystal

- The hard aperture transmits 63% of the input
- We will replace this with an aspheric beam shaper of our own design.

- Uncoated wedge too lossy, will replace with optimized version.
WHAT IS THE STATE-OF-THE-ART?

- For systems operating in the 10s of ps, a fiber laser solution appears ideal:
  - *If the dispersive effects in the fiber amplifier are tractable.*
  - Power in the infrared looks fine.
  - Use of a laser diode as the seed means the PRF can be whatever you wish it to be.
  - No EO modulators
  - Laser system alignment is maintained.
    - Simple optical transport system, laser can sit very close to the gun.
    - Doubling Er$^{3+}$-doped fiber gives you plenty of power for a polarized electron source.
- For fs systems, the choice is far less clear.
  - Dispersive effects must be carefully managed, no matter what system you chose.
CONCLUSIONS

- Drive laser systems can be a reliable component of an accelerator.
  - Economics (telecom & material processing) are driving the state-of-the-art in the right direction to provide sources for 100 mA ERLs
    - We are taking receipt of a system that will do this.
    - Will likely need to stay in the ps time regime.
- These systems will probably never be catalog items.
  - PRFs not really interesting to major laser manufactures.
    - However, “boutique” laser vendors probably can provide what’s needed.
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AS A GENERAL RULE

“A laser working for one shift/day is just paying for itself; if working for two shifts/day it is distinctly profitable, if it is working three shifts/day you will probably find the owner in the Bahamas or some such place.”