



**Jefferson Lab** 

## THE ROLE OF BARYON RESONANCES IN HOT HADRONIC MATTER

Jose L. Goity



## Hampton University and Jefferson Lab







## Outline

- Missing hyperons
- The hot Hadron Resonance Gas
- HRG and LQCD
- HRG and heavy ion collisions
- Hyperon effects in the HRG
- Remarks and conclusions

## Talks related to this one

hot matter:

Rene Bellwied Benjamin Doenigus Claudia Ratti Pasi Huovinen Jacquelyn Noronha Enrique Ruiz Arriola Paolo Alba resonances:

Fred Myhrer Simon Capstick

LQCD:

Robert Edwards

## Missing states in PDG

$$SU(3) PDG$$
 All listed  

$$#\Sigma = #\Xi = #N + #\Delta 26; 12; 49$$

$$#\Omega = #\Delta 4; 22$$

$$#\Lambda = #N + #singlets 18; 29$$

SU(3): # Y= 3(# N+ #  $\Delta$ )+singlets

#### Important aspects of excited hyperon physics

- Test the existence of complete SU(3) multiplets
- Study SU(3) breaking effects in excited baryons
- Test the indications that excited baryons form  $SU(6) \times O(3)$  multiplets
- Important source of information to test the I/Nc expansion of QCD in baryons
- Possible role of hyperons in high energy heavy ion collisions

# Present status of hyperons from PDG







\*\*\*\*

[MeV]



I/Nc baryon mass formulas

for 56-plets  $\ell=0,2:24$  excited hyperons for 70-plet  $\ell=1:23$  excited hyperons



## Hot hadronic matter: Ideal Hadron Resonance Gas -- IHRG

dof: meson-, baryon- and antibaryon- stable states and resonances only light quarks here

EoS

$$p_n(T,\mu) = \frac{(-1)^{1+B(n)}d(n)}{(2\pi)^3}T\int d^3p \log\left(1+(-1)^{1+B(n)}\exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{p^2+m_n^2}-\mu}{T}\right)\right)$$
$$B(n) = \text{Baryon number,} \quad d(n) = (2J_n+1)(2I_n+1)$$

In chemical equilibrium:  $\mu_s, \ \mu_B$ 

#### for all hadrons use the relativistic EoS

Meson	Ι	S	$\mathbf{L}$	J	Ρ	0.001  Mass[GeV]	nπDecay
<b>π[140</b> ]	1	0	0	0	- 1	0.14	1
f0[500]	0	0	0	0	1	0.5	2
$\eta$ [ 548 ]	0	0	0	0	- 1	0.548	1
ω[782]	0	1	0	1	- 1	0.782	3
ρ[ <b>770</b> ]	1	1	0	1	- 1	0.77	2
η <b>p[958</b> ]	0	0	0	0	- 1	0.958	1
f0[980]	0	0	0	0	1	0.98	2
a0[980]	1	0	0	0	1	0.98	2
φ[ <b>1020</b> ]	0	1	0	1	- 1	1.02	2
h1[1170]	0	1	1	1	1	1.17	3
b1[1235]	1	0	1	1	1	1.235	4
a1[1260]	1	1	1	1	1	1,26	3
a2[1320]	1	1	1	2	1	1.32	3.
f2[1270]	0	1	1	2	1	1.27	2
f1[1285]	0	1	1	1	1	1 285	4
n[ <b>1205</b> ]	0	0	۰ ۱	0	_ 1	1 205	3
$\pi$ [1300]	1	0	0	0	_ 1	1 3	3
a2[1320]	1	1	1	2	1	1.32	3
az[1320]	1	1	1	2	1	1.32	1
LU[1370]	0	1	1	1	1	1.37	4
m1[1300]	1	1	T T	1	1	1.30	J. 2
/1[1400]	T	T	0	T	-1	1.4	2
$\eta$ [1405]	0	0	0	0	-1	1.405	2
II[1420]	0	1	T	1	1	1.42	3
$\omega$ [1420]	0	1	0	T	-1	1.42	3
£2[1430]	0	2	1	2	1	1.43	2.
a0[1450]	1	1	T	0	1	1.45	2
ρ[1450]	1	1	0	1	-1	1.465	2
η[ <b>1475</b> ]	0	0	0	0	-1	1.475	3
£0[1500]	0	0	1	0	1	1.5	3
f2p[1525]	0	1	1	2	1	1.525	2
f1[1510]	0	0	1	1	1	1.51	3.
f2[1565]	0	1	1	2	1	1.565	2
ρ[ <b>1570</b> ]	1	1	0	1	-1	1.57	3
h1[1595]	0	0	1	1	1	1.595	3.
<b>π1[1600</b> ]	1	1	0	1	-1	1.6	3
f2[1640]	0	1	1	2	1	1.64	2
η <b>2</b> [ <b>1645</b> ]	0	1	0	2	-1	1.645	3.
$\omega$ [1650]	0	1	2	1	-1	1.65	3
ω3[1670]	0	1	2	3	-1	1.67	3
<b>π2[1670</b> ]	1	0	2	2	-1	1.67	3.
$\phi$ [1680]	0	1	0	1	-1	1.68	3
ρ <b>3[1690</b> ]	1	1	2	3	-1	1.69	4
ρ[ <b>1700</b> ]	1	1	2	1	-1	1.7	4
a2[1700]	1	1	1	2	1	1.7	3
f0[1710]	0	1	1	0	1	1.71	2
η[ <b>1760</b> ]	0	0	0	0	-1	1.76	3
<b>π[1800</b> ]	1	0	0	0	-1	1.8	3
f2[1810]	0	1	1	2	1	1.81	2
a1[1420]	1	0	1	1	1	1.42	3
$\phi$ 3[1850]	0	1	2	3	- 1	1.85	2
$\eta 2 [ 1870 ]$	0	0	2	2	- 1	1.87	3.
$\pi 2 [1880]$	1	0	2	2	- 1	1.88	3.
$\rho$ [1900]	1	1	0	1	- 1	1.9	2
f2[1910]	0	1	1	2	1	1.91	3
a0[1950]	1	1	1	0	1	1.95	2
f2[1950]	0	1	1	2	1	1.95	2
ρ <b>3[1990</b> ]	1	1	2	3	- 1	1.99	2
f2[2010]	0	1	1	2	1	2.01	2
f0[2020]	0	1	1	0	1	2.02	3

## Mesons



Meson	I	s	$\mathbf{L}$	J	Ρ	0.001  Mass[GeV]	nπdecay
К	1 2	0	0	0	-1	0.495	1
K[800]	1 2	1	1	0	1	0.8	2
K[892]	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1	-1	0.892	2
K1[1270]	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	1	1	1.27	3
K1[1400]	1 2	0	1	1	1	1.4	3
K[1410]	1 2	1	1	1	1	1.41	3
K0[1430]	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	0	1	1.41	2
K2[1430]	<u>1</u> 2	1	1	2	1	1.43	2
K[1460]	1 2	0	0	0	-1	1.46	3
K2[1580]	<u>1</u> 2	0	2	2	-1	1.58	3
K[1650]	1 2	0	1	1	1	1.65	3
K[1680]	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1	- 1	1.68	2
K2[1770]	<u>1</u> 2	0	2	2	-1	1.77	3
K3[1780]	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	2	3	- 1	1.78	3
K2[1820]	<u>1</u> 2	1	2	2	-1	1.82	3
K[1830]	1 2	0	0	0	-1	1.83	3
K0[1950]	<u>1</u> 2	1	1	0	1	1.95	2
K2[1980]	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	2	1	1.98	2
K4[2045]	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	3	4	1	2.045	2

Number of dof: 469

## Baryons: states up to ~2.7 GeV

$$SU(6) \times O(3)$$
 Multiplets  
[56,  $\ell = 0$ ] ground state  
[56,  $\ell = 0, 2, 4$ ]  
[70,  $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ ]

Number of dof: 1946

many missing states: in SU(3) multiplets and also spin-flavor multiplets (QM & LQCD)

use a simple mass formulas fitted to known states to provide masses for the missing states

#### mass formulas: neglect spin-orbit splittings

$$\begin{split} M_{56,GS}(S = 1/2, \mathcal{S}) &= m_0 - \frac{1}{2}c_{HF} - c_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} \\ M_{56,GS}(S = 3/2, \mathcal{S}) &= m_0 + \frac{1}{2}c_{HF} - c_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} \\ M_{56}(S = 1/2, \mathcal{S}) &= m_0 - \frac{1}{6}c_{HF} - c_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} \\ M_{56}(S = 1/2, \mathcal{S}) &= m_0 + \frac{1}{6}c_{HF} - c_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} \\ M_{70}(S = I, \mathcal{S}) &= m_0 + \frac{1}{3}c_{HF} \left(\frac{5}{3}S(S+1) - \frac{7}{4}\right) - c_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} \\ M_{70}(S = I - 1, \mathcal{S}) &= m_0 + \frac{1}{3}c_{HF} \left(S(S+2) - \frac{3}{4}\right) - c_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} \\ M_{70}(S = I + 1, \mathcal{S}) &= m_0 + \frac{1}{3}c_{HF} \left(S^2 - \frac{7}{4}\right) - c_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{S} \\ \Lambda_{70}^1 &= m_0 - \frac{1}{2}c_{HF} + c_{\mathcal{S}} \end{split}$$

JLG & N.Matagne

#### ... or use QM





## IHRG and LQCD



 $\mu_S = \mu_B = 0$ 

Early Universe at  $T < T_c$ chemically equilibrated HRG

meson dominated HRG

talks by Ratti, Ruiz Arriola



## Hot hadronic matter in heavy ion collisions

off chemical equilibrium

$$au_{\rm ch} \sim rac{1}{\sigma_{\rm ann} \, \rho \, v_{\rm th}}$$

Inelastic collision rates are low and hadron gas is off chemical equilibrium

 $au_{
m ch}$  can be very large  $> 10's~{
m fm}$ 

Bebie et al; Shuryak; JLG; Koch et al;...

 $NN 
ightarrow n\pi$  is not that slow and should be taken into account Rapp & Shuryak

stable hadrons develop effective chemical potentials resonances have chemical potentials given by:

$$\mu_{R^*} = \sum_h d^h_{R^*} \mu_h$$

## Chemical potentials

for IHRG off chemical equilibrium one assigns chemical potentials to all hadrons

$$\left.\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{detailed \ balance} \\ \pi\pi\leftrightarrow\pi\pi\\ \pi K\leftrightarrow\pi K\\ \pi\pi\leftrightarrow K\bar{K}\\ \pi\pi\leftrightarrow\rho\\ \operatorname{etc}\end{array}\right\} \qquad \mu_{\pi}=\mu_{K}=\mu_{\eta}=\frac{\mu_{\rho}}{2}=\frac{\mu_{\omega}}{3}=\cdots=\mu_{M}\\ \\ \mu_{\pi}=\mu_{K}=\mu_{\eta}=\frac{\mu_{\rho}}{2}=\frac{\mu_{\omega}}{3}=\cdots=\mu_{M}\\ \\ \operatorname{approximation \ of \ SU(3) \ symmetry} \\ \mu_{B}\leftrightarrow\mu^{\prime} \qquad \mu_{N}=\mu_{\Sigma}=\mu_{\Xi}=\cdots=\mu_{B}\\ \\ \mu_{B}\leftrightarrow\mu^{\prime} \qquad \mu_{B}^{\ast}=\mu_{B}+\mu_{M} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{assume \ dominance \ of \ 2-body}\\ \operatorname{resonance \ decay \ as \ approximation} \\ \\ \operatorname{baryon \ annihilation}\\ \\ B\bar{B}\leftrightarrow nM \qquad \mu_{B}=\frac{\bar{n}}{2}\,\mu_{M} \end{array}\right.$$

### Effects of chemical potentials





decreasing number density of  $Y^{\ast}$  wrt non-strange baryons as T drops

# Simple model of fireball expansion for assessing the possible role of hyperon resonances

- adiabatic expansion
   Bebie,Gerber,JLG & Leutwyler
- several scenarios:

1) 
$$B\bar{B} \leftrightarrow nM$$
 in equilibrium  
 $\frac{\bar{n} n_B + n_M}{s} = \text{const}$   
2)  $B\bar{B} \leftrightarrow nM$  off equilibrium  
 $\frac{n_B}{s} = \text{const}, \text{ and } \frac{n_M}{s} = \text{const}$   
3) 2) +  $K\bar{K} \leftrightarrow \pi\pi$  off equilibrium  
 $\frac{n_K}{s} = \text{const}, \frac{n_\pi}{s} = \text{const}, \text{ etc}$ 

I discuss simplest case I) (real life is more like 3))

### Freeze out

at freeze out all resonances decay and change the chemical potentials of the stable hadrons

effective chemical potentials at freeze out



# pion and nucleon effective chemical potential at freeze out

 $\mu_B = 2.5 \ \mu_M$ 



 $T_{\rm FO} = \{90, 100, 110, 120\} \text{ MeV}$ 

presence of  $Y^*$ 's tend to reduce the effective FO chemical potentials

## particle yield ratios



## comparing with data

 $T_{\rm FO} = \{90, 100, 110, 120\} \text{ MeV}$ 

ALICE Pb-Pb 2.76 TeV



one more indication of early freeze out of strangeness important contribution from the  $\Delta$  decays for the ratio  $\frac{p}{\pi^+}$ 

## Remarks

- Effects of excited Y\*'s in HRG are not easy to pin down: they make small changes to thermodynamic ratios
- Small chance to determine the effects of Y\*s from LQCD calculations of thermodynamic observables: effects are small in that case, although effects of all resonances together are very important.
- HRG off chemical equilibrium may be necessary, but effects of Y\*s may be smaller than inherent theoretical uncertainties of the models used to describe the evolution and FO of the hadronic fireball. e.g.,: different scenarios of evolution to freeze out; Van der Waals volume corrections to IHRG, which are likely to be significant; hydrodynamics; etc.
- Early freeze out of strangeness, with expected depletion of Y\*s in the HRG through their decay may affect strange particle yields at FO. Ratios of yields seem rather insensitive in the simple model presented.
- What is (are) the best observable(s) to search for effects due to Y\*'s ?