## the excited spectrum of QCD

let's begin with a **convenient fiction** :

imagine that QCD were such that there was a spectrum of **stable** excited hadrons

e.g. suppose we set up QCD with just two degenerate flavours of quark with mass roughly that of the charm quark  $m_c=m_k\sim 1.5\,{
m GeV}$ 



except perhaps if glueballs are important ?

might expect something like the non-relativistic quark model



we'd like to map out the spectrum of states in each  $J^{\mbox{\scriptsize PC}}$ 

need interpolating fields that transform like the desired  $J^{\mbox{\scriptsize PC}}$ 

e.g. local fermion bilinears

$$\overline{\psi}\gamma_5\psi \sim 0^{-+} \\
\overline{\psi}\psi \sim 0^{++} \\
\overline{\psi}\gamma_i\psi \sim 1^{--} \\
\overline{\psi}\gamma_5\gamma_i\psi \sim 1^{++} \\
\epsilon_{ijk}\overline{\psi}\gamma_j\gamma_k\psi \sim 1^{+-}$$

... very limited in J<sup>PC</sup> coverage

one possible extension: include gauge-covariant derivatives

$$\overrightarrow{D}_{i} = \overleftarrow{D}_{i} - \overrightarrow{D}_{i} = \overleftarrow{\partial}_{i} - \overrightarrow{\partial}_{i} - 2igA_{i}$$
e.g.  $\overline{\psi} \overleftarrow{D}_{i} \psi \sim 1^{--}$ 
 $\overline{\psi} \gamma_{i} \overleftarrow{D}_{j} \psi \sim ?$ 

$$i = 1 \dots 3 \atop j = 1 \dots 3$$
9 elements

operator is reducible

$\bar{\psi}\gamma_i\overleftrightarrow{D}_j\psi\sim?$	$i = 1 \dots 3$	9 elements	operator is
	$j = 1 \dots 3$		reducible

very easy to build a scheme where the operators are irreducible:

$$\begin{split} \gamma_m &\equiv \sum_i \epsilon_i(m) \gamma_i & \vec{\epsilon}(m=\pm) = \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1, \pm i, 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \vec{D}_m &\equiv \sum_i \epsilon_i(m) \overleftarrow{D}_i & \vec{\epsilon}(m=0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{spin-1} \\ \text{circular basis} \end{split}$$

$$\implies \langle 1m_1; 1m_2 | JM \rangle \, \bar{\psi} \gamma_{m_1} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{m_2} \psi \sim J^{++} \qquad \text{with J=0,1,2}$$

Hadron Spectrum Collaboration has used up to three derivatives:

$$\begin{array}{l} \left\langle 1m_1; j_{234}m_{234} \middle| JM \right\rangle \\ \left\langle 1m_3; j_{24}m_{24} \middle| j_{234}m_{234} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle 1m_2; 1m_4 \middle| j_{24}m_{24} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \bar{\psi}\gamma_{m_1} \overleftarrow{D}_{m_2} \overleftarrow{D}_{m_3} \overleftarrow{D}_{m_4} \psi \right\rangle \end{array}$$

can build a big basis this way covering all  $J \leq 4$ 

PRL103 262001 (2009) PRD82 034508 (2010)

JLab Advanced Study Institute



we want more than this ...

we need to be able to extract **excited** states

$$C(t) = \sum_{\mathfrak{n}} A_{\mathfrak{n}} e^{-E_{\mathfrak{n}}t}$$

```
a weighted sum of exponentials
- just do a fit to the time-dependence ?
```

```
(fit variables : A<sub>0</sub>, A<sub>1</sub> ... , E<sub>0</sub>, E<sub>1</sub> ...)
```

this is a very bad way to approach this problem

→ suppose two states are (nearly) degenerate

- fit won't be able to tell if there are two states or one !

→ how do we determine how many states to include in the fit
- if we decrease t<sub>min</sub> to use more of the data, need more states ?

fortunately there is a very powerful method available ....

# variational approach

suppose we have multiple operators for a given J<sup>PC</sup>

$$\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_3 \dots$$

e.g. 
$$\mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{PC}} = \mathbf{1}^{--}$$
  
 $\begin{array}{c} \bar{\psi}\gamma_{m}\psi\\ \bar{\psi}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{m}\psi\\ \langle 1m_{1}; 1m_{2}|1m\rangle\bar{\psi}\gamma_{5}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{m_{1}}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{m_{2}}\psi\\ \langle 1m_{1}; 2m_{D}|1m\rangle\langle 1m_{2}; 1m_{3}|2m_{D}\rangle\bar{\psi}\gamma_{m_{1}}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{m_{2}}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{m_{3}}\psi\end{array}$ 

#### compute a matrix of correlation functions

$$C_{ij}(t) = \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_i(t) \mathcal{O}_j(0) | 0 \rangle$$
  
=  $\sum_{\mathfrak{n}} Z_i^{(\mathfrak{n})} Z_j^{(\mathfrak{n})} e^{-E_{\mathfrak{n}} t}$   $Z_i^{(\mathfrak{n})} = \langle \mathfrak{n} | \mathcal{O}_i(0) | 0 \rangle$ 

solve the 'generalised eigenvalue problem' :  $C(t)v^{(\mathfrak{n})} = \lambda_{\mathfrak{n}}(t)C(t_0)v^{(\mathfrak{n})}$ 

eigenvalues, 'principal correlators'  $\lambda_{\mathfrak{n}}(t) \sim e^{-E_{\mathfrak{n}}(t-t_0)}$ 

eigenvectors are 'orthogonal'  $v^{(\mathfrak{m})\dagger}C(t_0)v^{(\mathfrak{n})}=\delta_{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}$ 

# variational approach

the interpretation is relatively simple

the eigenvectors indicate the optimal linear combination of  $\mathcal{O}_i$  to interpolate  $|\mathfrak{n}\rangle$  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{n}} = \sum_i v_i^{(\mathfrak{n})} \mathcal{O}_i \qquad \langle \mathfrak{m} | \Omega_{\mathfrak{n}} | 0 \rangle \approx \delta_{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}$ 

degenerate states are easy to deal with - they might have  $E_{\mathfrak{m}}=E_{\mathfrak{n}}$ - but they have orthogonal  $v^{(\mathfrak{m})}, v^{(\mathfrak{n})}$ 

## variational approach

principal correlators  $t_0 = 15$ 



# a real example - $T_1^{--}$ in charmonium









## back to the operators ...

e.g. J<sup>PC</sup>=1<sup>--</sup> consider a model-interpretation

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma_m \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_0)\psi$$

spin-structure:

$$\psi \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{E+m} \end{bmatrix} \chi$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_0)\psi \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \chi$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_0)\psi \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \chi$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_0)\psi = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \chi$$

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma_m \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_0)\psi \sim \phi^{\dagger}\sigma_m \chi$$



### back to the operators ...

e.g. J<sup>PC</sup>=1<sup>--</sup> consider a model-interpretation

$$\langle 1m_1; 2m_2 | 1m \rangle \ \bar{\psi} \gamma_{m_1} D_{J=2,m_2}^{[2]} \frac{1}{2} (1-\gamma_0) \psi$$

$$D_{J,m}^{[2]} \equiv \langle 1m_1; 1m_2 | Jm \rangle \overleftrightarrow{D}_{m_1} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{m_2}$$

without gauge-fields: 
$$D_{J=2,m}^{[2]} \to Y_2^m(\overleftrightarrow{\partial})$$

$$\sim \langle 1m_1; 2m_2 | 1m \rangle \cdot \phi^{\dagger} \sigma_{m_1} \chi \cdot Y_2^{m_2} (\vec{q}) \qquad \qquad 3D_1 \\ q\bar{q} \text{ relative momentum}$$

### back to the operators ...

e.g.  $J^{PC}=1^{--}$ 

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma_5 D_{J=1,m}^{[2]} \frac{1}{2} (1-\gamma_0)\psi$$
$$D_{J=1,m}^{[2]} \equiv \langle 1m_1; 1m_2 | 1m \rangle \overleftrightarrow{D}_{m_1} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{m_2}$$

antisymmetric CGC

without gauge-fields: 
$$D_{J=1,m}^{\left[2\right]} \to 0$$

with gauge-fields  $D_{J=1,m}^{[2]} \propto [D_i, D_j] \propto F_{ij}$  chromomagnetic part of field-strength tensor

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma_5 t^a \psi B_m^a$$

$$q\bar{q}_8({}^1S_0)$$



## operator overlaps







can isolate dominant hybrid character across the spectrum



# hybrid mesons

a phenomenology of hybrid mesons based upon QCD calculations

a chromomagnetic field configuration is lowest excitation

$$q\bar{q}_{\mathbf{8}}({}^{1}S_{0})B_{\mathbf{8}} \sim 0^{-+} \otimes 1^{+-} = 1^{--}$$
$$q\bar{q}_{\mathbf{8}}({}^{3}S_{1})B_{\mathbf{8}} \sim 1^{--} \otimes 1^{+-} = (0, 1, 2)^{-+}$$



## lighter quarks - isovector mesons



## lighter quarks - isovector mesons



## lighter quarks - isovector mesons

three flavours of quark

- degenerate up/down quarks
- correct strange quark mass



m(π) ~ 400 MeV

difference w.r.t. isovector mesons is addition of 'disconnected' diagrams



challenging using 'traditional' methods

## isoscalar mesons

hidden 'light' and hidden 'strange' can mix

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} \right) \qquad s\bar{s}$$









#### Hadron Spectrum Collaboration PRD83 111502 (2011)



## baryons

