# Transversity in Hard Exclusive Electroproduction of Pions

#### P. Kroll

Fachbereich Physik, Univ. Wuppertal and Univ. Regensburg Jefferson Lab, May 2010

**Outline:** 

- Pion electroproduction to leading-twist accuracy
- Pion pole
- Transverse photon polarization
- Transversity
- Results
- Summary

based on work done in collaboration with S. Goloskokov, arXiv:0906.0460 [hep-ph]

#### The leading $\gamma^* p \to \pi^+ n$ amplitudes

$$\mathcal{M}_{0+,0+}(\pi^{+}) = \sqrt{1-\xi^{2}} \frac{e_{0}}{Q} \left\{ \langle \widetilde{H}^{(3)} \rangle - \frac{\xi^{2}}{1-\xi^{2}} \langle \widetilde{E}^{(3)}_{n.p.} \rangle - \frac{2m\xi Q}{1-\xi^{2}} \frac{\rho_{\pi}}{t-m_{\pi}^{2}} \right\},$$
  
$$\mathcal{M}_{0-,0+}(\pi^{+}) = \frac{e_{0}}{Q} \frac{\sqrt{-t'}}{2m} \left\{ \xi \langle \widetilde{E}^{(3)}_{n.p.} \rangle + 2mQ^{2} \frac{\rho_{\pi}}{t-m_{\pi}^{2}} \right\},$$

$$t' = t - t_0, \quad \xi \simeq x_{Bj}/2, \quad F^{(3)} = F^u - F^d$$

convolution:

convolution:  

$$\langle F \rangle = \sum_{\lambda} \int_{-\xi}^{1} dx \mathcal{H}_{0\lambda,0\lambda}(x,\xi,Q^2,t=0) F(x,\xi,t)$$

GK: subprocess amplitudes worked out in modified pert. approach: LO pQCD + quark trans. momenta and Sudakov suppressions for  $Q^2 \to \infty \Longrightarrow$  lead. twist (collinear approximation) (Sterman et al)

#### **Double distributions**

integral representation (i = u, d valence quarks)

$$\widetilde{H}^{i}(\bar{x},\xi,t) = \int_{-1}^{1} d\beta \int_{-1+|\beta|}^{1-|\beta|} d\alpha \,\delta(\beta + \xi\alpha - \bar{x}) \,\widetilde{f}_{i}(\beta,\alpha,t)$$

 $\tilde{f}_i$  double distributions Mueller *et al* (94), Radyushkin (99) advantage - polynomiality automatically satisfied

useful ansatz with relation to PDFs (reduction formula respected)

$$\tilde{f}_i(\beta, \alpha, t) = \Delta q_i(\beta) \Theta(\beta) \exp\left[\left(\tilde{b}_i + \tilde{\alpha}'_i \ln(1/\beta)\right)t\right] \frac{3}{4} \frac{\left[(1-|\beta|)^2 - \alpha^2\right]}{(1-|\beta|)}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\alpha}_h' &= 0.45 \, {\rm GeV}^{-2} \quad \tilde{b}_h = 0 \quad t\text{-dependence of Regge residue} \\ \text{Regge intercept and residue at } t = 0 \text{ included in PDF} \\ \tilde{E}_{n.p.} \text{ analogously, forward limit parameterized as} \\ \tilde{e}_u &= -\tilde{e}_d = \tilde{N}_e \beta^{-0.48} (1-\beta)^5 \\ \tilde{\alpha}_e' &= 0.25 \, {\rm GeV}^{-2} \quad \tilde{b}_e = 0 \text{ values fitted to data} \end{split}$$

#### The pion pole contribution



transverse amplitudes very small

full pion FF needed and non-pole  $\tilde{E}$ see Goloskokov-K(09),Bechler-Mueller (09) (analysis of  $d\sigma/dt$  and  $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_s)}$ using lead. twist with  $\alpha_s^{\text{eff}} = 0.8$ )

lead. twist accuracy: only 'pert. contr.' to pion FF (with  $\alpha_s^{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.3$  about 1/3 of exp. value measured in same reaction  $F_{\pi} - 2(08)$ ) fails with cross section by order of magnitude Mankiewicz et al (98), Frankfurt et al (99), Belitsky-Mueller (01),...

## **Target asymmetries in electroproduction**

observable	dominant	$\gamma^* p \to MB$	low $t'$
	interf. term	amplitudes	behavior
$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_s)}$	LL	$\mathrm{Im}\big[\mathcal{M}_{0-,0+}^*\mathcal{M}_{0+,0+}\big]$	$\propto \sqrt{-t'}$
$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_s)}$	LT	$\mathrm{Im} ig[ \mathcal{M}^*_{0-,++} \mathcal{M}_{0+,0+} ig]$	const.
$A_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi-\phi_s)}$	LT	$\mathrm{Im} ig[ \mathcal{M}^*_{0\mp,-+} \mathcal{M}_{0\pm,0+} ig]$	$\propto t'$
$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_s)}$	ТТ	$\mathrm{Im}\big[\mathcal{M}^*_{0-,++}\mathcal{M}_{0+,++}\big]$	$\propto \sqrt{-t'}$
$A_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi+\phi_s)}$	ТТ	$\propto \sin  heta_\gamma$	$\propto t'$
$A_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi-\phi_s)}$	ТТ	$\mathrm{Im}\big[\mathcal{M}^*_{0-,-+}\mathcal{M}_{0+,-+}\big]$	$\propto (-t')^{(3/2)}$
$A_{UL}^{\sin(\phi)}$	LT	$\operatorname{Im} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{0-,++}^* \mathcal{M}_{0-,0+}  ight]$	$\propto \sqrt{-t'}$

 $\phi$  azimuthal angle between lepton and hadron plane;  $\phi_s$  orientation of target spin vector;  $\theta_{\gamma}$  rotation from direction of incoming lepton to virtual photon one  $\pi^+$ : all measured; detailed info. on amplitudes

#### **Transverse photon polarization matters**



HERMES(09)  $Q^2 \simeq 2.5 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$ ,  $W = 3.99 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ 

 $\sin \phi_s$  moment very large does not seem to vanish for  $t' \rightarrow 0$  $A_{UT}^{\sin \phi_S} \propto \text{Im} \left| M_{0-,++}^* M_{0+,0+} \right|$ n-f. ampl.  $\mathcal{M}_{0-,++}$  required  $\gamma_T^* \to P$  transitions substantial

JLab  $F_{\pi} - 2$ black:  $\sigma_T$  blue:  $\sigma_L$ 

W=2.22 GeV  $Q^2 = 2.45 \text{ GeV}^2$ 

0.4

0.5

0.6

 $\sigma_T$  large at large -t

#### Can $\mathcal{M}_{0-,++}$ be fed by ordinary GPDs?





lead. twist pion wave fct.  $\propto q'\cdot\gamma\gamma_5$  (perhaps including  ${f k}_\perp$ )

 $\mathcal{M}_{0-,++} \propto t'$ 

twist-3 w.f.

 $\mathcal{M}_{0-,++} \propto \mathsf{const}$ 

helicity flip GPDs  $(H_T, E_T, \widetilde{H}_T, \widetilde{E}_T)$  required Hoodbhoy-Ji (98), Diehl (01)

#### A twist-3 contribution

$$\mathcal{M}_{0-,\mu+}^{\text{twist}-3} = e_0 \sqrt{1-\xi^2} \int_{-\xi}^{1} d\bar{x} \left\{ \mathcal{H}_{0-,\mu+} \left[ H_T^{(3)} - \frac{\xi}{1-\xi^2} (\xi E_T^{(3)} - \tilde{E}_T^{(3)}) \right] + (\mathcal{H}_{0+,\mu-} - \mathcal{H}_{0-,\mu+}) \frac{t'}{4m^2} \tilde{H}_T^{(3)} \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{0+,\mu+}^{\text{twist}-3} = e_0 \frac{\sqrt{-t'}}{2m} \int_{-\xi}^{1} d\bar{x} \left\{ (\mathcal{H}_{0+,\mu-} - \mathcal{H}_{0-,\mu+}) \tilde{H}_T^{(3)} + \left[ (1-\xi) \mathcal{H}_{0+,\mu-} - (1+\xi) \mathcal{H}_{0-,\mu+} \right] E_T^{(3)} / 2 + \left[ (1-\xi) \mathcal{H}_{0+,\mu-} + (1+\xi) \mathcal{H}_{0-,\mu+} \right] \tilde{E}_T^{(3)} / 2 \right\}$$

at small  $\xi$  and small t':  $H_T$  dominant in  $\mathcal{M}_{0-,++}$ in  $\mathcal{M}_{0-,-+}$  suppressed by  $t'/Q^2$ twist-3 in long. amplitudes suppressed by  $\sqrt{-t'}/Q$ subprocess amplitudes to be evaluated with twist-3 pion wave function  $\implies$ 

#### The twist-3 pion distr. amplitude

projector 
$$q\bar{q} \to \pi$$
 (3-part.  $q\bar{q}g$  contr. neglected) Beneke-Feldmann (01)  
 $\sim q' \cdot \gamma \gamma_5 \Phi + \mu_{\pi} \gamma_5 \left[ \Phi_P - \imath \sigma_{\mu\nu} (\dots \Phi'_{\sigma} + \dots \Phi_{\sigma} \partial / \partial \mathbf{k}_{\perp \nu}) \right]$   
definition:  $\langle \pi^+(q') \mid \bar{d}(x) \gamma_5 u(-x) \mid 0 \rangle = f_{\pi} \mu_{\pi} \int d\tau e^{q'x\tau} \Phi_P(\tau)$   
local limit  $x \to 0$  related to divergency of axial vector current  
 $\Longrightarrow \mu_{\pi} = m_{\pi}^2 / (m_u + m_d) \simeq 2 \text{ GeV}$  at scale 2 GeV (conv.  $\int d\tau \Phi_P(\tau) = 1$ )

Eq. of motion:
$$\tau \Phi_P = \Phi_{\sigma}/N_c - \tau \Phi'_{\sigma}/(2N_c)$$
solution: $\Phi_P = 1, \quad \Phi_{\sigma} = \Phi_{AS}$ Braun-Filyanov (90)

$${\cal H}_{0-,++}
eq 0$$
,  $\Phi_P$  dominant,  $\Phi_\sigma$  contr.  $\propto t'/Q^2$ 

in coll. appr.:  $\mathcal{H}_{0-,++}$  infr. sing. and double pole  $1/(x-\xi)^2$  m.p.a. regular

twist-3 mechanism applied to wide-angle photo- and electroproductionno problem in coll. approx.Jakob-Huang-K-Passek (04)

#### Modeling $H_T$

small  $\xi$ :  $H_T$  should dominate; use double distr. ansatz;  $H_T^a(x, 0, 0) = \delta^a(x)$ take transversity PDF from Anselmino et al (07)(08) large errors  $\delta^a = 7.46 N_T^a x (1-x)^5 [q_a(x) + \Delta q_a(x)]$   $N_T^u = 0.5$   $N_T^d = -0.6$ 



combined analysis of transv. PDFs and Collins fcts. HERMES and COMPASS SIDIS and BELLE  $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_1h_2X$  data  $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_s)} \propto \delta \otimes \Delta \hat{\sigma} \otimes \Delta^N D_{\pi/q\uparrow}$ 

fixes scale of  $H_T$ , t-dependence free ( $\alpha'_T = 0.45 \,\text{GeV}^{-2}$ ,  $b_T = 0.9 \,\text{GeV}^{-2}$ ) link between transversity in inclusive and exclusive reactions

### Results on unseparated $\pi^+$ cross section



data from HERMES 07

magenta lines: pion pole contr. (unseparated and transverse cross sections)

#### **Results on target asymetries**



 $Q^2 = 2.5 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2 \,W = 3.99 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ 

data on  $A_{UT}$  HERMES (08);  $A_{UL}$  HERMES(02) blue lines: without twist-3 contr.  $A_{LU}^{\sin\phi} \propto \operatorname{Im}[\mathcal{M}_{0-,++}^* \mathcal{M}_{0-,0+}] \qquad A_{LL}^{\cos\phi} \propto \operatorname{Re}[\mathcal{M}_{0-,++}^* \mathcal{M}_{0-,0+}]$ 

#### **Results continued**



 $Q^2 = 2.5 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2 \,W = 3.99 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ 

data on  $A_{UT}$  HERMES (08) blue: only LL contr. other asymmetries:  $|A_{UT}| < 0.1$  in agreement with exp.

#### **Results on** $\pi^0$ electroproduction



pion exchange absent

important to have data independent check of GPDs implicit check of pion f.f.

Other analysis of  $\pi^0$  prod.: Ahmad et al (08) (subprocess viewed as form factors for  $\gamma - \pi$  transitions under the action of vector and axial-vector currents)

#### Comparison with $F_{\pi} - 2$ data

Can we apply our approach for  $W \leq 4 \,\text{GeV}$ ? (cf.  $\rho^0, \omega, \rho^+$  production - difficulties with val. quarks) our approach is designed for small  $\xi(\leq 0.1)$ large  $x(\geq 0.6)$  behaviour of GPDs not probed with little modifications of large x behavior of  $\widetilde{E}$  and  $H_T$ :



### Implications of the $\pi\gamma$ transition form factor

surprising new data from Babar(09)

#### within m.p.a.:



 $Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma} = \sqrt{2} f_{\pi} C_0 \left[ 1 + \sum_n a_n(\mu_0) C_n / C_0 \right]$ strong supp. of high. Gegenb. terms at low  $Q^2$ and at large  $Q^2$  due to evolution low  $Q^2$ :  $\Phi_{AS}$  suffices (see fit to CLEO data) increasing  $Q^2$ : higher Gegenb. terms become gradually more important



#### Braun-Diehl-K.

Fit to CLEO and Babar data (at scale 1 GeV):  $a_2 = 0.25$  (fixed from lattice Braun(06))  $a_4 = 0.07 \pm 0.10$   $\sigma = 0.42 \pm 0.07 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$  trans. size parameter solid line blue K.-Raulfs  $\Phi_{AS}$ 

## Summary

- analysis of  $\pi^+$  electroproduction within handbag approach full pion form factor taken into account;  $\widetilde{H}$ ,  $\widetilde{E}$  modeled with double distr. ansatz
- clear indication in data for contr. from  $\gamma_T^* \to \pi$  transitions amplitude  $\mathcal{M}_{0-,++}$  particular important
- within handbag approach  $\mathcal{M}_{0-,++}$  is fed by transversity (chiral odd) GPDs accompanied by a twist-3 pion wave fct.
- $H_T$  modeled with double distr., forward limit transversity PDFs taken from analysis of  $A_{UT}$  for SIDIS
- relates transversity in excl. processes to transversity in inclusive data
- fair description of data
- interesting check:  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- n$  Compass? Pire et al