The spin of the proton

Bo-Qiang Ma (马伯强)
Peking Univ (北京大学)

The 7th Workshop on Hadron Physics in China and Opportunities Worldwide
August 3-7, 2015, Duke Kunshan University

Collaborators: Enzo Barone, Stan Brodsky, Jacques Soffer, Andreas Schafer, Ivan Schmidt, Jian-Jun Yang, Qi-Ren Zhang
and students: Bowen Xiao, Zhun Lu, Bing Zhang, Jun She, Jiacai Zhu, Xinyu Zhang, Tianbo Liu
It has been 30 years of the proton “spin crisis” or “spin puzzle”

- Spin Structure: experimentally

\[ \Sigma = \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s \approx 0.020 \]

\[ \Sigma = \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s \approx 0.3 \]

spin “crisis” or “puzzle”: where is the proton’s missing spin?
The Proton “Spin Crisis”

\[ \Sigma = \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s \approx 0.3 \]

In contradiction with the naïve quark model expectation:

**Naïve Quark Model:**

\[ \Delta u = \frac{1}{3}; \quad \Delta d = -\frac{1}{3}; \quad \Delta s = 0 \]

\[ \Sigma = \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s = 1 \]
Why there is the proton spin puzzle/crisis?

• The quark model is very successful for the classification of baryons and mesons
• The quark model is good to explain the magnetic moments of octet baryons
• The quark model gave the birth of QCD as a theory for strong interaction

So why there is serious problem with spin of the proton in the quark model?
The parton model (Feynman 1969)

- photon scatters incoherently off massless, pointlike, spin-1/2 quarks
- probability that a quark carries fraction $\xi$ of parent proton’s momentum is $q(\xi)$, $(0 < \xi < 1)$

\[ F_2(x) = \sum_{q,q} \int_0^1 d\xi \ e_q^2 \xi q(\xi) \delta(x - \xi) = \sum_{q,q} e_q^2 x q(x) \]
\[ = \frac{4}{9} x u(x) + \frac{1}{9} x d(x) + \frac{1}{9} x s(x) + ... \]

- the functions $u(x), d(x), s(x), ...$ are called parton distribution functions (pdfs) - they encode information about the proton’s deep structure

- Parton model is established under the collinear approximation: The transversal motion of partons is neglected or integrated over.
How to get a clear picture of nucleon?

• PDFs are physically defined in the IMF (infinite-momentum frame) or with space-time on the light-cone.

• Whether the physical picture of a nucleon is the same in different frames?

A physical quantity defined by matrix element is frame-independent, but its physical picture is frame-dependent.
The improvement to the parton model?

• What would be the consequence by taking into account the transversal motions of partons?

• It might be trivial in unpolarized situation. However it brings significant influences to spin dependent quantities (helicity and transversity distributions) and transversal momentum dependent quantities (TMDs or 3dPDFs).
The Notion of Spin

- Related to the space-time symmetry of the Poincaré group
- Generators $P^\mu = (H, \vec{P})$, space-time translator
  
  \[ J^{\mu\nu} \] infinitesimal Lorentz transformation

\[ \vec{J} \quad J^k = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} J^{ij} \] angular momentum

\[ \vec{K} \quad K^k = J^{k0} \] boost generator

Pauli-Lubanski vector $w_\mu = \frac{1}{2} J^{\rho\sigma} P^\nu \varepsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\mu}$

Casimir operators: $P^2 = P^\mu P_\mu = m^2$ mass

\[ w^2 = w^\mu w_\mu = s^2 \] spin
The Wigner Rotation

for a rest particle \((m,0) = p^\mu\)  \((0,s) = w^\mu\)

for a moving particle \(L(p)p = (m,\vec{0})\) \((0,\vec{s}) = L(p)w/m\)

\(L(p) = \) rotationless Lorentz boost

Wigner Rotation

\(\vec{s}, p_\mu \rightarrow \vec{s}', p'_\mu\)

\(\vec{s}' = R_w(\Lambda, p)\vec{s} \quad p' = \Lambda p\)

\(R_w(\Lambda, p) = L(p')\Lambda L^{-1}(p)\) \(\) a pure rotation

E. Wigner,  
Ann. Math. 40(1939)149
Melosh Rotation for Spin-1/2 Particle

The connection between spin states in the rest frame and infinite momentum frame
Or between spin states in the conventional equal time dynamics and the light-front dynamics

\[ \chi^{\uparrow}(T) = w[(q^- + m)\chi^{\uparrow}(F) - q^R\chi^{\downarrow}(F)]; \]

\[ \chi^{\downarrow}(T) = w[(q^- + m)\chi^{\downarrow}(F) + q^L\chi^{\uparrow}(F)]. \]
What is $\Delta q$ measured in DIS

- $\Delta q$ is defined by
  \[ \Delta q \ s_\mu = \langle p, s \left| \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q \right| p, s \rangle \]
  \[ \Delta q = \langle p, s \left| \bar{q} \gamma^+ \gamma_5 q \right| p, s \rangle \]

- Using light-cone Dirac spinors
  \[ \Delta q = \int_0^1 dx \left[ q^\uparrow(x) - q^\downarrow(x) \right] \]

- Using conventional Dirac spinors
  \[ \Delta q = \int d^3 \vec{p} M_q \left[ q^\uparrow(\vec{p}) - q^\downarrow(\vec{p}) \right] \]

\[ M_q = \frac{(p_0 + p_3 + m)^2 - \vec{p}^2}{2(p_0 + p_3)(p_0 + m)} \]

Thus $\Delta q$ is the light-cone quark spin, or quark spin in the infinite momentum frame, not that in the rest frame of the proton.
The proton spin crisis
& the Melosh-Wigner rotation

• It is shown that the proton “spin crisis” or “spin puzzle” can be understood by the relativistic effect of quark transversal motions due to the Melosh-Wigner rotation.

• The quark helicity $\Delta q$ measured in polarized deep inelastic scattering is actually the quark spin in the infinite momentum frame or in the light-cone formalism, and it is different from the quark spin in the nucleon rest frame or in the quark model.


Quark spin sum is not a Lorentz invariant quantity

Thus the quark spin sum equals to the proton in the rest frame does not mean that it equals to the proton spin in the infinite momentum frame

\[ \sum_q \vec{s}_q = \vec{S}_p \quad \text{in the rest frame} \]

does not mean that

\[ \sum_q \vec{s}_q = \vec{S}_p \quad \text{in the infinite momentum frame} \]

Therefore it is not a surprise that the quark spin sum measured in DIS does not equal to the proton spin.
An intuitive picture to understand the spin puzzle

\[ \sum \vec{s} = \vec{S}_p \]

Lorentz Boost

\[ \vec{s}' = R_w(\Lambda, p)\vec{s} \]

Rest Frame

Infinite Momentum Frame

\[ \sum \vec{s}' \neq \vec{S}_p \]
A general consensus

The quark helicity $\Delta q$ defined in the infinite momentum frame is generally not the same as the constituent quark spin component in the proton rest frame, just like that it is not sensible to compare apple with orange.

Other approaches with same conclusion

Contribution from the lower component of Dirac spinors in the rest frame:


The Spin Distributions in Quark Model

The spin distribution probabilities in the quark-diquark model

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_u^\uparrow &= \frac{1}{18}; & u_u^\downarrow &= \frac{2}{18}; & d_u^\uparrow &= \frac{2}{18}; & d_u^\downarrow &= \frac{1}{18}; \\
    u_s^\uparrow &= \frac{1}{2}; & u_s^\downarrow &= 0; & d_s^\uparrow &= 0; & d_s^\downarrow &= 0. \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(7)

Naive Quark Model:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \Delta u &= \frac{1}{2}; & \Delta d &= -\frac{1}{2}; & \Delta s &= 0 \\
    \Sigma &= \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s = 1
\end{align*}
\]
Relativistic Effect due to Melosh-Rotation

\[ \Delta u_v(x) = u_v^\uparrow(x) - u_v^\downarrow(x) = -\frac{1}{18} a_1 \cdot (x) W_1 \cdot (x) + \frac{1}{2} a_S(x) W_S(x); \]

\[ \Delta d_v(x) = d_v^\uparrow(x) - d_v^\downarrow(x) = -\frac{1}{9} a_1 \cdot (x) W_1 \cdot (x). \]

From

\[ a_S(x) = 2u_v(x) - d_v(x); \]

\[ a_1 \cdot (x) = 3d_v(x). \]

We obtain

\[ \Delta u_v(x) = [u_v(x) - \frac{1}{2} d_v(x)] W_S(x) - \frac{1}{6} d_v(x) W_1 \cdot (x); \]

\[ \Delta d_v(x) = -\frac{1}{3} d_v(x) W_1 \cdot (x). \]
Relativistic SU(6) Quark Model
Flavor Symmetric Case

Relativistic Correction: \( M_q = 0.75 \)
\[
\Delta u = \frac{1}{2} M_q = 1; \quad \Delta d = -\frac{1}{3} M_q = -0.25; \quad \Delta s = 0
\]
\[
\Sigma = \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s = 0.75
\]
\[
F_2^p(x)/F_2^p(x) \geq \frac{3}{2} \text{ for all } x
\]
Relativistic SU(6) Quark Model

Flavor Asymmetric Case

Relativistic Correction: \( M_u \approx 0.6; \quad M_d \approx 0.9 \)
\[
\Delta u = \frac{4}{3} M_u = 0.8; \quad \Delta d = -\frac{1}{3} M_d = -0.3; \quad \Delta s = 0
\]
\[
\Sigma = \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s \approx 0.5
\]
\[
F_2^u(x)/F_2^d(x) \to \frac{1}{4} \text{ at large } x
\]

Relativistic SU(6) Quark Model
Flavor Asymmetric Case + Intrinsic Sea

For Intrinsic $d\bar{d}$ Sea ($\sim 15\%$): $\Delta d_{\text{sea}} \approx -0.07$

For Intrinsic $s\bar{s}$ Sea ($\sim 5\%$): $\Delta s_{\text{sea}} \approx -0.03$

Thus: $\Sigma = \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s + \Delta d_{\text{sea}} + \Delta s_{\text{sea}} \approx 0.4$


Understanding the Proton Spin “Puzzle” with a New “Minimal” Quark Model
Three quark valence component could be as large as 70% to account for the data
A relativistic quark–diquark model
A relativistic quark–diquark model

The unpolarized distribution of quark $q$ in hadron $h$ can be written as

$$ q(x) = c^S_q a_S(x) + c^V_q a_V(x), $$

where $a_D(x)$ is

$$ a_D(x) \propto \int [d^2 k_\perp] |\phi(x, k_\perp)|^2 \quad (D = S \text{ or } V), $$

BHL prescription of the light-cone momentum space wave function for quark-diquark

$$ \phi(x, k_\perp) = A_D \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\alpha^2_D} \left[ \frac{m_q^2 + k_\perp^2}{x} + \frac{m_D^2 + k_\perp^2}{1 - x} \right] \right\}, $$
A relativistic quark–diquark model

- Longitudinally polarized quark distribution
  \[
  \Delta q(x) = \tilde{c}_q^S \tilde{a}_S(x) + \tilde{c}_q^V \tilde{a}_V(x)
  \]

  where
  \[
  \tilde{a}_D(x) = \int [d^2 k_\perp] W_D(x, k_\perp) |\phi(x, k_\perp)|^2 \quad (D = S \text{ or } V)
  \]

- Melosh-Winger rotation factor
  \[
  W_D(x, k_\perp) = \frac{(k^+ + m_q)^2 - k_\perp^2}{(k^+ + m_q)^2 + k_\perp^2}
  \]

  where \( k^+ = xM, \quad M^2 = \frac{m_q^2 + k_\perp^2}{x} + \frac{m_D^2 + k_\perp^2}{1-x}. \)
The Melosh–Wigner rotation in pQCD based parametrization of quark helicity distributions

“The helicity distributions measured on the light-cone are related by a Wigner rotation (Melosh transformation) to the ordinary spin $S_i^z$ of the quarks in an equal-time rest-frame wavefunction description. Thus, due to the non-collinearity of the quarks, one cannot expect that the quark helicities will sum simply to the proton spin.”

S.J.Brodsky, M.Burkardt, and I.Schmidt,
pQCD counting rule

\[ q_h^\pm \propto (1 - x)^p \]
\[ p = 2n - 1 + 2 |\Delta s_z| \quad \Delta s_z = s_q - s_N \]

- Based on the minimum connected tree graph of hard gluon exchanges.
- “Helicity retention” is predicted -- The helicity of a valence quark will match that of the parent nucleon.
Parameters in pQCD counting rule analysis

In leading term

\[ q^+_i = \frac{\tilde{A}_{q_i}}{B_3} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - x)^3 \]

\[ q^-_i = \frac{\tilde{C}_{q_i}}{B_5} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - x)^5 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baryon</th>
<th>( q_1 )</th>
<th>( q_2 )</th>
<th>( \tilde{A}_{q_1} )</th>
<th>( \tilde{C}_{q_1} )</th>
<th>( \tilde{A}_{q_2} )</th>
<th>( \tilde{C}_{q_2} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>( u )</td>
<td>( d )</td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.725</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Two different sets of parton distributions

\[ \Delta u_v(x) = [u_v(x) - \frac{1}{2} d_v(x)] W_S(x) - \frac{1}{6} d_v(x) W_V(x), \]
\[ \Delta d_v(x) = -\frac{1}{3} d_v(x) W_V(x). \]

\[ u_v^{pQCD}(x) = u_v(x), \]
\[ d_v^{pQCD}(x) = \frac{d_v^{th}(x)}{u_v^{th}(x)} u_v^{para}(x), \]
\[ \Delta u_v^{pQCD}(x) = \frac{\Delta u_v^{th}(x)}{u_v^{th}(x)} u_v^{para}(x), \]
\[ \Delta d_v^{pQCD}(x) = \frac{\Delta d_v^{th}(x)}{u_v^{th}(x)} u_v^{para}(x), \]

CTEQ5 set 3 as input.
Different predictions in two models

Helicity distribution

- SU(6) quark-diquark model:
  \( \Delta u(x)/u(x) \to 1 \) as \( x \to 1 \).
  \( \Delta d(x)/d(x) \to -\frac{1}{3} \) as \( x \to 1 \).

- pQCD based counting rule analysis:
  \( \Delta u(x)/u(x) \to 1 \) as \( x \to 1 \).
  \( \Delta d(x)/d(x) \to 1 \) as \( x \to 1 \).
The proton spin in a light-cone chiral quark model

Chances: New Research Directions

- New quantities: Transversity, Generalized Parton Distributions, Collins Functions, Silver Functions, Boer-Mulders Functions, Pretzelosity, Wigner Distributions

- Hyperon Physics: The spin structure of Lambda and Sigma hyperons

B.-Q. Ma, J. Soffer, PRL 82 (1999) 2250
The Melosh-Wigner Rotation in Transversity

\[ 2 \delta q = \langle p, \uparrow | \overline{q}_\lambda \gamma^\perp \gamma^+ q_{-\lambda} | p, \downarrow \rangle \]

\[ \delta q(x) = \int \left[ d^2 k_\perp \right] \tilde{M}_q(x, k_\perp) \Delta q_{RF}(x, k_\perp) \]

\[ \tilde{M}_q(x, k_\perp) = \frac{(k^+ + m)^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k^2_\perp} \]


The Melosh-Wigner Rotation in Quark Orbital Angular Moment

\[ \hat{L}_q = -i \left( k_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2} - k_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} \right). \]

\[ L_q(x) = \int \left[ d^2 k_\perp \right] M_L(x,k_\perp) \Delta q_{QM}(x,k_\perp) \]

\[ M_L(x,k_\perp) = \frac{k_\perp^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k_\perp^2} \]

Spin and orbital sum in light-cone formalism

\[ \frac{1}{2} M_q + M_L = \frac{1}{2} \]

\[ M_q(x, k) = \frac{(k^+ + m)^2 - k_{\perp}^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k_{\perp}^2} \quad M_L(x, k) = \frac{k_{\perp}^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k_{\perp}^2} \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} \Delta q(x) + L_q(x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta q_{QM}(x) \]

# Leading-Twist TMD PDFs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleon Polarization</th>
<th>Quark polarization</th>
<th>Unpolarized (U)</th>
<th>Longitudinally Polarized (L)</th>
<th>Transversely Polarized (T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>$f_1$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$g_1$</td>
<td>$h_1^\perp$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>$g_1$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$h_{1L}\perp$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>$f_{1T}^\perp$</td>
<td>$g_{1T}$</td>
<td>$h_{1T}\perp$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- $f_1$: Sivers
- $g_1$: Helicity
- $h_{1L}^\perp$: Long-Transversity
- $h_{1T}^\perp$: Pretzelosity
- $h_1^\perp$: Boer-Mulders
The Melosh-Wigner Rotation in "Pretzelosity"

\[
g_1^q(x, k_\perp) - h_1^q(x, k_\perp) = h_1^{1T}(x, k_\perp).
\]

\[
\frac{(k^+ + m)^2 - k_\perp^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k_\perp^2} - \frac{(k^+ + m)^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k_\perp^2} = -\frac{k_\perp^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k_\perp^2}
\]

\[
\text{Pretzelosity} = \Delta q - \delta q = -L_q
\]

\[
\text{Pretzelosity} = -\int [d^2 k_\perp] \frac{k_\perp^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k_\perp^2} \Delta q_{QM}(x, k_\perp)
\]

New Sum Rule of Physical Observables

\[ g_1^q(x, k_\perp) - h_1^q(x, k_\perp) = h_{1T}^{(1)q}(x, k_\perp) . \]

\[
\frac{(k^+ + m)^2 - k_\perp^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k_\perp^2} - \frac{(k^+ + m)^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k_\perp^2} = -\frac{k_\perp^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k_\perp^2}
\]

Pretzelosity = \( \Delta q - \delta q = -L_q \)

Pretzelosity = \(-\int [d^2k_\perp] \frac{k_\perp^2}{(k^+ + m)^2 + k_\perp^2} \Delta q_{QM}(x, k_\perp)\)

The Melosh-Wigner Rotation in five 3dPDFs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>分布函数</th>
<th>Melosh转动因子 ($W_D(D = V, S)$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$g_{1L}$</td>
<td>$\frac{(\mathcal{M}<em>D + m_q)^2 - p</em>{\perp}^2}{(\mathcal{M}<em>D + m_q)^2 + p</em>{\perp}^2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{1T}$</td>
<td>$2M_N(\mathcal{M}_D + m_q)/[(\mathcal{M}<em>D + m_q)^2 + p</em>{\perp}^2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_1$</td>
<td>$(\mathcal{M}_D + m_q)^2/[(\mathcal{M}<em>D + m_q)^2 + p</em>{\perp}^2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{1L}$</td>
<td>$-2M_N(\mathcal{M}_D + m_q)/[(\mathcal{M}<em>D + m_q)^2 + p</em>{\perp}^2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{1T}$</td>
<td>$-2M_N^2/[(\mathcal{M}<em>D + m_q)^2 + p</em>{\perp}^2]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$M_D^2 = \frac{m_q^2 + p_{\perp}^2}{x} + \frac{m_D^2 + p_{\perp}^2}{1-x}$$ 是旁观双夸克的不变质量。
Names for New (tmd) PDF: $g_{1T}$ and $h_{1L}^{\perp}$

$g_{1T}$  
trans-helicity

$h_{1L}^{\perp}$  
longi-transversity / heli-transversity

Proposal for measuring new transverse momentum dependent parton distributions $g_{1T}$ and $h_{1L}^{\perp}$ through semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering
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The Necessity of Polarized $p\bar{p}$ Collider

The polarized proton antiproton Drell-Yan process is ideal to measure the pretzelosity distributions of the nucleon.
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Probing Pretzelosity in pion $p$ Drell-Yan Process

COMPASS pion $p$ Drell-Yan process can also measure the pretzelosity distributions of the nucleon.

Single spin asymmetry in $\pi p$ Drell–Yan process

Zhun Lu$^{a,b}$, Bo-Qiang Ma$^c$*, Jun She$^c$

$^a$ Department of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, China

$^b$ Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, and Centro Científico-Tecnológico de Valparaíso Castilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile

$^c$ School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
Azimuthal asymmetries in lepton-pair production at a fixed-target experiment using the LHC beams (AFTER)

Tianbo Liu¹, Bo-Qiang Ma¹,²,a

¹School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
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unpolarized and single polarized $pp$ and $pd$ processes. We conclude that it is feasible to measure these azimuthal asymmetries, consequently the three-dimensional or transverse momentum dependent parton distribution functions (3dPDFs or TMDs), at this new AFTER facility.
We investigate the quark Wigner distributions in a light-cone spectator model. The Wigner distribution, as a quasidistribution function, provides the most general one-parton information in a hadron. Combining the polarization configurations, unpolarized, longitudinal polarized, or transversal polarized, of the quark and the proton, we can define 16 independent Wigner distributions at leading twist. We calculate all these Wigner distributions for the $u$ quark and the $d$ quark, respectively. In our calculation, both the scalar and the axial-vector spectators are included, and the Melosh–Wigner rotation effects for both the quark and the axial-vector spectator are taken into account. The results provide us a very rich picture of the quark structure in the proton.
Three QCD spin sums for the proton spin

\[ \tilde{J}_{QCD} = \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \frac{\sum}{2} \psi + \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \cdot \nabla \psi \]
\[ + \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \times (-i \nabla) \psi \]
\[ + \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \times \bar{A} \]
\[ + \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \times \bar{A} \times \nabla A \]
\[ \equiv \tilde{S}_q + \tilde{L}_q + \tilde{S}_g + \tilde{L}_g, \]

\[ \tilde{J}_{QCD} = \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \frac{\sum}{2} \psi + \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \cdot \nabla \psi \]
\[ + \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \times (-i \bar{D}) \psi \]
\[ + \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \times (E \times B) \]
\[ \equiv \tilde{S}_q + \tilde{L}_q + \tilde{J}_g, \]

\[ \tilde{J}_{QCD} = \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \frac{\sum}{2} \psi + \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \cdot \nabla \psi \]
\[ + \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \times (-i \bar{D}_{pure}) \psi \]
\[ + \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \times \bar{A}_{phys} \]
\[ + \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \times \nabla A_{phys} \]
\[ \equiv \tilde{S}_q + \tilde{L}_q + \tilde{S}_g + \tilde{L}_g, \]

Angular momentum of quarks and gluons from generalized form factors

\[ \vec{J}_q = \int d^3 x \psi^\dagger [\vec{\gamma} \gamma_5 + \vec{x} \times (-i \vec{D})] \psi \]

\[ \vec{J}_g = \int d^3 x [\vec{x} \times (\vec{E} \times \vec{B})] \]

\[
\langle P' | T_{q,g}^{\mu \nu} | P \rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left[ A_{q,g}(\Delta^2) \gamma^{(\mu} \overline{P}^{\nu)} + B_{q,g}(\Delta^2) \overline{P}^{(\mu} i \sigma^{\nu)\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha}/2M + C_{q,g}(\Delta^2) (\Delta^\mu \Delta^\nu - g^{\mu \nu} \Delta^2)/M \right. \\
\left. + \overline{C}_{q,g}(\Delta^2) g^{\mu \nu} M \right] U(P),
\]

\[
J_{q,g} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ A_{q,g}(0) + B_{q,g}(0) \right].
\]

X. Ji, PRL78(1997)611
Angular momentum of quarks and gluons on the light-cone

Light-cone representation of the spin and orbital angular momentum of relativistic composite systems☆

Stanley J. Brodsky a,*, Dae Sung Hwang b, Bo-Qiang Ma c,d,e, Ivan Schmidt f
Angular momenta of quarks and gluons on the light-cone

\[ \langle J^i \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \int d^3x \langle T^{0k} x^j - T^{0j} x^k \rangle \]

\[ = A(0) \langle L^i \rangle + [A(0) + B(0)] \bar{u}(P) \frac{1}{2} \sigma^i u(P). \]

\[ \langle J^z \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \sigma^z \right\rangle [A(0) + B(0)]. \]

One can define individual quark and gluon contributions to the total angular momentum from the matrix elements of the energy–momentum tensor [9]. However, this definition is only formal; \( A_{q,g}(0) \) can be interpreted as the light-cone momentum fraction carried by the quarks or gluons \( \langle x_{q,g} \rangle \). The contributions from \( B_{q,g}(0) \) to \( J_z \) cancel in the sum. In fact, we shall show that the contributions to \( B(0) \) vanish when summed over the constituents of each individual Fock state.

Sum rules of quarks and gluons on the light-cone

\[ A_f(0) + A_b(0) = F_1(0) = 1, \]

which corresponds to the momentum sum rule.

\[ B(0) = B_f(0) + B_b(0) = 0, \]

which is another example of the vanishing of the anomalous gravitomagnetic moment.

A and B are called gravitational form factors

We start from a quark model with total angular momentum from quarks, but we don’t have a correct sum of angular momenta from generalized form factors.

The definition of quark angular momentum as from generalized form factors is artificial.
Arbitrary in defining angular momenta: what is $C$?

$$\langle J^z \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \sigma^z \right\rangle [A(0) + B(0)].$$

$$J_{q/g} = \frac{1}{2} [A_{q/g}(0) + B_{q/g}(0)] \pm C$$

As

$$B(0) = B_f(0) + B_b(0) = 0,$$

so

$$\langle J^z \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \sigma^z \right\rangle [A(0) + 0].$$

so

$$J_{q,g} = \frac{1}{2} [A_{q,g}(0) + 0].$$

But $A(0)$ is the momentum fraction, not angular momentum.
A simple QED system as thought experiment
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A simple QED system as thought experiment: an electron

\[ \psi_{\uparrow\uparrow+} = \frac{k^1 - ik^2}{x(1-x)} \phi(x, \vec{k}_\perp), \]

\[ \psi_{\uparrow\uparrow-} = -\frac{k^1 + ik^2}{1-x} \phi(x, \vec{k}_\perp), \]

\[ \psi_{\downarrow\downarrow+} = \frac{1-x}{x} m \phi(x, \vec{k}_\perp), \]

\[ \psi_{\downarrow\downarrow-} = 0, \]

\[ \phi(x, \vec{k}_\perp) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}e}{\sqrt{1-x} k^2_\perp + (1-x)^2 m^2 + x \lambda^2} \frac{x(1-x)}{x(1-x)} \]
A simple QED system as thought experiment: an electron

\[ S_f = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2}, \]
\[ S_b = \frac{3e^2}{16\pi^2\varepsilon} - \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2}, \]
\[ L = -\frac{3e^2}{16\pi^2\varepsilon} + \frac{3e^2}{16\pi^2}. \]

\[ L_f = -\frac{e^2}{12\pi^2\varepsilon} + \frac{e^2}{12\pi^2}, \]
\[ L_b = -\frac{5e^2}{48\pi^2\varepsilon} + \frac{5e^2}{48\pi^2}, \]

\[ S_f + S_b + L_f + L_b = \frac{1}{2}. \]
A simple QED system as thought experiment: an electron

\[ A_f(0) = 1 - \frac{e^2}{6\pi^2 \varepsilon} + \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2}, \quad A_b(0) = \frac{e^2}{6\pi^2 \varepsilon} - \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2}, \]

\[ B_f(0) = \frac{e^2}{12\pi^2}, \quad B_b(0) = -\frac{e^2}{12\pi^2}. \]

\[ A_f(0) + A_b(0) = 1, \]

\[ B_f(0) + B_b(0) = 0, \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} [A(0) + B(0)] = S + L = \frac{1}{2}, \]
A simple QED system as thought experiment: an electron

\[ \frac{1}{2} [A_f(0) + B_f(0)] \neq S_f + L_f, \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} [A_b(0) + B_b(0)] \neq S_b + L_b. \]

Therefore \( J_{q,g} = \frac{1}{2} [A_{q,g}(0) + B_{q,g}(0)] \) is unjustified.

We cannot identify the canonical angular momentums with half the sum of gravitational form factors:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left[ A_q^S(0) + B_q^S(0) \right] = 0.356 \neq j_q^S,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left[ A_q^V(0) + B_q^V(0) \right] = -0.038 \neq j_q^V.
\]
The Melosh-Wigner rotation is not the whole story

- The role of sea is not addressed
- The role of gluon is not addressed

Gluons are hidden in the spectators in our quark-diquark model.

It is important to study the roles played by the sea quarks and gluons. Thus more theoretical and experimental researches can provide us a more completed picture of the nucleon spin structure.
Conclusions

- The relativistic effect of parton transversal motions plays an significant role in spin-dependent quantities: helicity and transversity, five 3dPDFs or TMDs, GPDs, the Wigner distributions.

- It is still challenging to measure the quark orbital angular momentum:
  1. The pretzelosity with quark transversal motions is an important quantity for the spin-orbital correlation of the nucleon
  2. The sum rule between helicity and transversity pdfs can serve as an estimate of quark orbital angular momentum.

- It is necessary to push forward theoretical explorations and experimental measurements of new quantities of the nucleon.