# Why we need to search for Dark Photons

## Rouven Essig

Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics

\* Stony Brook University

HPS Collaboration Meeting, JLab 10/26/2015

#### What is Dark Matter?



## Suggestive of a *dark sector*, neutral under all Standard Model forces

#### Portals to a dark sector?

only a few important interactions exist that are allowed by Standard Model symmetries



HPS built to probe the dark photon portal

#### Dark Photons



$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} F^{Y,\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu}$$

"Kinetic Mixing" Holdom Galison, Manohar

a special portal: not suppressed by a mass scale!

#### Dark Photons



$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} F^{Y,\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu} \qquad \text{``Kinetic Mixing'}_{Galiso}$$

simplest Dark Sector consists of just an A', but dark sector could be much richer Holdom

Galison, Manohar

#### A' couples to quarks & charged leptons



## Examples of A' Production



A' Decays



 $(A' \rightarrow \text{other states also possible})$ 







A' Status Today

from Curtin, RE, Gori, Shelton



A' Status Today





not shown: e.g. SeaQuest

#### Comment on SeaQuest



plot from Gardner, Holt, Tadepalli (1509.00050)

Some old plots shown by SeaQuest are "optimistic"... so please keep thinking about how to probe high mass region

(TBC)

#### Why search for Dark Photons?

 Simple and ubiquitous in Beyond SM scenarios; dark photon portal could easily be most accessible portal — theoretically, ε could be O(1)!

#### Why search for Dark Photons?

 Simple and ubiquitous in Beyond SM scenarios; dark photon portal could easily be most accessible portal — theoretically, ε could be O(1)!

Some scenarios give preferred values of  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ 

GUT:  $\epsilon$  from one-loop versus two loop

if U(I)<sub>Y</sub> embedded in a Grand Unified Theory (GUT), generate ε below GUT scale

Ymm )mm/

$$\label{eq:expansion} \begin{split} \epsilon &\sim \frac{g_Y g_D}{16\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{M}{M'}\right) \\ &\sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-1} \end{split}$$

GUT:  $\epsilon$  from one-loop versus two loop

if  $U(I)_Y$  embedded in a Grand Unified Theory (GUT), generate  $\epsilon$  below GUT scale

 $\gamma m( ) mA' \gamma m(\xi_X) mA'$ 

 $\epsilon \sim \frac{g_Y g_D}{16\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{M}{M'}\right)$  $\sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-1}$ 

 $\epsilon\sim 10^{-5}-10^{-3}$ 

#### HPS, APEX etc can probe GUT & values



#### Why search for Dark Photons?

- Simple and ubiquitous in Beyond SM scenarios; dark photon portal could easily be most accessible portal — theoretically, ε could be O(1)!
- muon g-2

#### A' can explain muon g-2

Standard Model  $(g_s - 2)_{\mu}$  versus Data

 $\sim 3.6 \sigma$  discrepancy



Boehm, Fayet Pospelov

#### independent of A' decay modes!

HPS, APEX... can probe g-2 for  $Br(A' \rightarrow SM) \ll 100\%$ 



## Why search for Dark Photons?

- Simple and ubiquitous in Beyond SM scenarios; dark photon portal could easily be most accessible portal — theoretically, ε could be O(1)!
- muon g-2
- A' could couple to dark matter, leading to an amazing variety of possible signatures:
  - data "anomalies" can guide specific scenarios

## Dark Matter & Dark Photons



#### "Old" hints

- cosmic-rays e<sup>+</sup> & e<sup>-</sup> (PAMELA, ...)
- direct detection (DAMA, ...)

#### (now unlikely to be DM)

#### "Old" hint from cosmic-rays

Arkani-Hamed et.al.; Cholis et.al.; Pospelov & Ritz





#### Strong constraints from CMB, Fermi, ...



#### "Old" hint from direction detection





hard for SM mediators, easier for light mediators

#### Severe constraints from other experiments



## "Newer" hints, e.g.

- ~GeV gamma-ray excess near Galactic Center
- 3.5 keV "line"
- "small-scale crisis" of cold, collisionless DM

#### Galactic Center Gamma-ray Excess



 $m_{DM} = 10 \text{ GeV}$  $m_{A'} = 100 \text{ MeV}$ 



Hooper, Weiner, Xue

3.5 keV Y-ray line

observed in e.g. galaxy clusters by X-ray satellites



3.5 keV Y-ray line

observed in e.g. galaxy clusters by X-ray satellites





• "Cusp-core problem":

some galaxies have less DM in the center than predicted by simulations

• "Cusp-core problem":

some galaxies have less DM in the center than predicted by simulations

• "Missing satellites problem" & "Too big too fail problem": simulations predict too many low-mass subhalos & dozens of "dark" satellites more massive than the dwarf spheroidals

> e.g. Klypin et al. 1999; Moore et.al. 1999 Boylan-Kolchin et.al. 2011

• "Cusp-core problem":

some galaxies have less DM in the center than predicted by simulations

• "Missing satellites problem" & "Too big too fail problem": simulations predict too many low-mass subhalos & dozens of "dark" satellites more massive than the dwarf spheroidals

e.g. Klypin et al. 1999; Moore et.al. 1999

Boylan-Kolchin et.al. 2011

- Resolution? baryonic physics?
  - warm dark matter? (e.g. ~keV sterile neutrino?)
  - self-interacting dark matter?

Spergel, Steinhardt 1999

• ...

#### DM self-interactions through A'?



could resolve some of the "small-scale crises"



$$\frac{v_{\chi} t_{\text{Galaxy}}}{\ell_{\text{scatter}}} \gtrsim 1 \implies \frac{\sigma}{m_{\chi}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\rho_{\chi} v_{\chi} t_{\text{Galaxy}}}$$
$$\frac{\sigma}{m_{\chi}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{(10 \times 10^9 \text{ yrs})(0.5 \frac{\text{GeV}}{\text{cm}^3})(500 \frac{\text{km}}{\text{s}})}$$
$$\sim 0.1 \frac{\text{barn}}{\text{GeV}}$$

$$\frac{v_{\chi} t_{\text{Galaxy}}}{\ell_{\text{scatter}}} \gtrsim 1 \implies \frac{\sigma}{m_{\chi}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\rho_{\chi} v_{\chi} t_{\text{Galaxy}}}$$
$$\frac{\sigma}{m_{\chi}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{(10 \times 10^9 \text{ yrs})(0.5 \frac{\text{GeV}}{\text{cm}^3})(500 \frac{\text{km}}{\text{s}})}$$
$$\sim 0.1 \frac{\text{barn}}{\text{GeV}} \qquad \sim \text{QCD scale!}$$

#### Large O/m is easy w/ light mediators



$$\frac{\sigma}{m_{\chi}} \sim 0.1 \, \frac{\mathrm{barn}}{\mathrm{GeV}} \left(\frac{\alpha_D}{0.005}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{1 \, \mathrm{GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{10 \, \mathrm{MeV}}{m_{A'}}\right)^4 \qquad \mathrm{Born}_{\mathrm{approximation}}$$

#### Large O/m is easy w/ light mediators



#### Obtaining cores in dwarfs, galaxies, & clusters



Kaplinghat, Tulin, Yu

## Why search for Dark Photons?

- Simple and ubiquitous in Beyond SM scenarios; dark photon portal could easily be most accessible portal — theoretically, ε could be O(1)!
- muon g-2
- A' could couple to dark matter, leading to an amazing variety of possible signatures:
  - data "anomalies" can guide specific scenarios
  - simple, well-motivated DM models (e.g. sub-GeV DM) motivate new searches/interpretations

## Why search for Dark Photons?

- Simple and ubiquitous in Beyond SM scenarios; dark photon portal could easily be most accessible portal — theoretically, ε could be O(1)!
- muon g-2
- A' could couple to dark matter, leading to an amazing variety of possible signatures:
  - data "anomalies" can guide specific scenarios
  - simple, well-motivated DM models (e.g. sub-GeV DM) motivate new searches/interpretations

(only mention 3 examples)

## Assume $A' \rightarrow Dark$ Matter is possible



Then many constraints here weaken/disappear!

But & value to explain muon g-2 is unchanged!



now fix  $\epsilon$  to explain g-2...

## Constraint on g-2 region



Batell, RE, Surujon

Izaguirre, Krnjaic, Schuster, Toro

#### New proton/electron beam dumps for sub-GeV DM

#### MiniBooNE, BDX, missing momentum, ...



e.g. Batell, Pospelov, Ritz Deniverville, Pospelov, Ritz Deniverville, McKeen, Ritz Aguilar-Arevalo et.al. Izaguirre, Krnjaic, Schuster, Toro (several) Diamond, Schuster Batell, RE, Surujon BDX Collaboration

BDX proposal (Battaglieri et.al.)

#### New Direct Detection Experiments for sub-GeV DM



RE, Mardon, Volansky

RE, Fernandez-Serra, Mardon, Soto, Volansky, Yu



#### Conclusions

- Dark photon portal is special: simple, ubiquitous, easily dominant over other portals
- HPS (and others) can probe e.g.:
  - muon g-2 for  $Br(A' \rightarrow SM) \ll 1$
  - ε expected from GUT symmetry
  - mediator of DM interactions, motivated by e.g.:
    - small-scale crisis of cold, collisionless DM
    - 3.5 keV line
    - GC excess
    - simple sub-GeV DM models