Electroproduction of the Λ hyperon on the proton

Workshop on Perspectives of high resolution hypernuclear spectroscopy at JLab Petr Bydžovský, Nuclear Physics Institute, Řež, Czech Republic

electroproduction

е	+	р	\longrightarrow	e'	+	K^+	+	٨	$I_{K\Lambda} = \frac{1}{2}$
е	+	р	\longrightarrow	e^{\prime}	+	K^+	+	Σ ⁰	$V_{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2}$
е	+	р	\longrightarrow	e'	+	K^{0}	+	Σ^+	·KZ 2,2
е	+	n	\longrightarrow	e'	+	K^0	+	٨	$I_{K\Lambda} = \frac{1}{2}$
е	+	n	\longrightarrow	e'	+	K ⁰	+	Σ ⁰	ı 13
e	+	n	\longrightarrow	e^{\prime}	+	K^+	+	Σ-	$I_{K\Sigma} = \overline{2}, \overline{2}$

(virtual)photoproduction – a simpler process

$$\gamma_{(\nu)} + \mathsf{N} \longrightarrow \mathsf{K} + \mathsf{Y}$$

1

Description of electroproduction

- the electromagnetic part of the process is well known
- the coupling constant is small $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{2\pi} \doteq \frac{1}{137} \ll 1$ \rightarrow the one-photon approximation:



- no Coulomb distortion of e and e'
 - important for electroproduction on heavy nuclei
- leptonic and hadronic parts can be separated
- $\bullet\,$ photoproduction is not a limit case of electroproduction $(q^2 \rightarrow 0)$

kinematics

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{e}}, \ \ \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{e}}', \ \ \theta_{\mathsf{e}}, \quad \theta_{\mathsf{K}}, \ \ \Phi_{\mathsf{K}} \\ & \mathsf{Q}^2 = -\mathsf{q}^2, \ \ \epsilon = \left(1 + \frac{2\, \breve{\mathsf{q}}^2}{-\mathsf{q}^2} \, \tan^2 \frac{\theta_{\mathsf{e}}}{2}\right)^{-1}\!\!\!\!, \ \mathsf{s} = (\mathsf{q} + \mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{p}})^2, \ \mathsf{t} = (\mathsf{q} - \mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{K}})^2, \ \ \Phi_{\mathsf{K}} \\ & \sqrt{\mathsf{s}} = \mathsf{W} \in (\mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{thr}}, \, 2.6\,) \ \mathsf{GeV}, \quad \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{thr}} = 1.609 \ \ \mathsf{for} \ \ \mathsf{K}\Lambda \end{split}$$

• the unpolarized cross section

$$\frac{d^{5}\sigma}{d\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{e}}'\,d\Omega_{\mathsf{e}}'\,d\Omega_{\mathsf{K}}} = \mathsf{\Gamma}\left[\frac{d\sigma_{\mathsf{T}}}{d\Omega_{\mathsf{K}}} + \epsilon \frac{d\sigma_{\mathsf{L}}}{d\Omega_{\mathsf{K}}} + \epsilon \frac{d\sigma_{\mathsf{TT}}}{d\Omega_{\mathsf{K}}}\cos 2\Phi_{\mathsf{K}} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathsf{TL}}}{d\Omega_{\mathsf{K}}}\cos\Phi_{\mathsf{K}}\right]$$

• in Laboratory frame:



Reaction (Hadronic) Plane

3

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Motivation

- Which degrees of freedom are relevant? $partons \leftrightarrow hadrons$
- non perturbative regime of QCD: quark models \leftrightarrow isobar models
- many opened channels → channel couplings, unitarity, final-state interaction multi-channel ↔ single-channel analysis
- description of the background part: $f = f_{bgr} + f_{res}$ isobar \leftrightarrow Regge approach
- effective Lagrangian approach
 - properties of baryon resonances in the 3rd resonance region (E_{\gamma}^{\rm lab} \geq 1 \ {\rm GeV})
 - ightarrow parameters, structure, existence (missing states)
 - SU(3)_f symmetry: $g_{\pi NN} \leftrightarrow g_{K\Lambda N}$
 - dynamics of the process important resonances N^* , Y^* , K^*
 - a satisfactory description of the process at small angles

 \rightarrow an input in hypernucleus calculations

- plenty of new experimental data
- studying all channels is important neutron target, production of K⁰

K⁺ production in the small-angle region

 the elementary amplitude is an input in DWIA ⇒ a good description of the elementary process is important for reliable predictions of the hypernucleus-production cross sections

DWIA (frozen-nucleon approx.):

$$\langle \Psi_H | \sum_i \chi_\gamma \chi_K^* \mathcal{J}^\mu(i) | \Psi_A \rangle$$

 \mathcal{J}^{μ} – elementary hadron current in lab frame

– the main contribution of \mathcal{J}^{μ} is from a small θ_{K} region



• vice versa: the hypernucleus-production cross sections can be used to test the elementary models in the small-angle region

Angular dependence of the hypernucleus cross section

- electroproduction of ${}^{16}N_{\Lambda}$ ($E_{\gamma}^{lab} = 2.21 \text{ GeV}$, $\theta_{e}^{lab} = 6^{\circ}$) \rightarrow magnitudes differ by factor of 10
- generally a steeply decreasing dependence, the slope depends on the spin (J)
- the angular behaviour depends on the spin structure of the elementary amplitude at small $\theta_K \rightarrow$ more detailed information about the amplitude



() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

Methods of description

- single-channel analysis
 - Isobar model
 - effective hadronic Lagrangian description
 - Adelseck-Saghai, Williams-Ji-Cotanch, Saclay-Lyon, Kaon-MAID, Gent isobar, Maxwell
 - Regge-plus-resonance model
 - hybrid isobar-Regge description
 - Gent group: RPR-2007, RPR-2011
 - multipole analysis Mart and Sulaksono
- multi-channel analysis
 - unitary isobar approach (coupled channels)
 Giessen, Bonn-Gatchina, Dubna-Mainz-Taipei, Julia-Diaz et al, Usov et al, Shyam et al
 - partial-wave analysis SAID
 - chiral unitary framework
 - chiral Lagrangian, coupled channels, threshold region
 - Borasoy et al
- Quark model resonances included; Close, Zhenping Li et al

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Isobar model

- meson-baryon FSI is neglected (channel couplings, re-scattering)
 - \rightarrow one-channel approximation: T = V + V G t
 - violation of unitarity
 - effective coupling constants include a part of the FSI effects
- driving term V:
 - effective hadron Lagrangian (fields, couplings, resonances, form factors)
 - ► tree-level perturbation expansion ⇒ s-, t-, and u-channel exchanges; contact term (gauge invariance)
 - a set of relevant resonances in the intermediate states has to be selected (3rd resonance region: heavy, high-spin, or missing resonances)
 - free parameters are fitted to data (\approx 10 20 parameters)
 - ▶ many sets of resonances with a good χ^2 can be chosen ⇒ large number of possible models ⇒ constraints or better data analysis
- constraints on the models:
 - ► SU(3) symmetry: $-4.4 \le g_{KN\Lambda}/\sqrt{4\pi} \le -3.0$ $0.8 \le g_{KN\Sigma}/\sqrt{4\pi} \le 1.3$
 - crossing symmetry: description of $\Gamma(K^- p \longrightarrow \gamma \Lambda)$

Isobar model

- large contributions to the background part of the amplitude from the Born diagrams are reduced:
 - \blacktriangleright hadronic form factors gauge invariance \rightarrow a contact term
 - inclusion of a hadron structure
 - dipole, Gauss, multidipole-Gauss (high spin) form
 - which cut-off parameter: hard \times soft?
 - hyperon resonances in u channel which are relevant?
 - hadronic form factors + hyperon exchanges
 - this problem is avoided in the Regge-plus-resonance model
- the resonant part: s-channel exchanges of the nucleon resonances

(resonance width in the Feynman propagator ightarrow partial restoration of unitarity)

- electroproduction (Q² dependence)
 - electromagnetic form factors (gauge invariance)
 - EVDM (electron scattering data) for $\gamma \rm NN$ and QM for the $\gamma \rm NN^*$ transitions
 - couplings of baryon fields to the photon transversal modes
 - couplings to the longitudinal mode of the virtual photon $\overline{\psi}_{N^*}\Gamma\gamma^{\nu}\psi_N \partial^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu}$ (spin 1/2) $\overline{\psi}_{N^*}^{\nu}\Gamma\psi_N \partial^{\mu}F_{\mu\nu}$ (spin 3/2) \Rightarrow the coupling constants have to be determined in electroproduction

Regge-plus-resonance model

Invariant amplitude: $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{bgr}(Regge) + \mathcal{M}_{res}(isobar)$

 \bullet the background part - exchanges of degenerate K and K* trajectories

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{bgr}(s,t) &= \mathcal{P}_{Regge}^{K}(s,t) \times \beta_{K}(s,t) + \mathcal{P}_{Regge}^{K*}(s,t) \times \beta_{K^{*}}(s,t) \\ &+ \mathcal{M}_{Feyn}^{p,elec} \times \mathcal{P}_{Regge}^{K}(s,t) \times (t - m_{K}^{2}) \end{split}$$

the Regge propagator

$$\mathcal{P}_{Regge}^{x}(s,t) = rac{(s/s_0)^{lpha_x(t)}}{\sin \pi \, lpha_x(t)} \, rac{\pi \, lpha_x'}{\Gamma(1+lpha_x(t))} \left\{ egin{array}{c} 1 \\ \mathrm{e}^{-i\pi lpha_x(t)} \end{array}
ight\}$$

▶ the Regge trajectories: $\alpha_x(t) = \alpha'_x(t - m^2)$, $x = K, K^*$

the residue of the lowest pole

for
$$t \to m_K^2$$
 $\mathcal{P}_{Regge}^K(s,t) \times \beta_K(s,t) \longrightarrow \frac{\beta_K(s,t)}{t - m_K^2}$

• only 3 parameters fixed by high-energy data (W>2.6 GeV)

P. Bydžovský (NPI Řež)

Electroproduction of hypernuclei



data: JLab Hall A exp. E94-107 $E_{\gamma}^{lab} = 2.21 \text{ GeV}$ $\theta_e^{lab} = \theta_K^{lab} = 6^{\circ}$ $Q^2 = 0.018 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$

calculations with the Saclay-Lyon model

 in DWIA the elementary hadron current significantly contributes only for small θ_K and experiments are done at very small Q²
 ⇒ predictions of isobar models have to be reliable for small θ_K and Q²

•
$$\sigma_{TT} \sim \sin^2 \theta_K$$
, $\sigma_{TL} \sim \sqrt{Q^2} \sin \theta_K$, and $\sigma_L \sim Q^2$
 $\Rightarrow \sigma_T$ (\Leftrightarrow photoproduction cross section) should dominate (unpolarized case)
 \Rightarrow the models could be well determined by the photoproduction data

World data for small θ_K and Q^2 kinematics



JLab, May 27–29, 2014

Predictions of isobar and RPR models differ at very small θ_K and Q^2

• photoproduction (σ_T) dominates the electroproduction cross section

$$\sigma = \sigma_T + \epsilon \sigma_L + \epsilon \sigma_{TT} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\sigma_{TL}, \quad \Phi_K = 0$$

SL: 0.41 = 0.35 + 0.004 - 0.001 + 0.06 (\epsilon = 0.7, \theta_K = 6^\circ, Q^2 = 0.07 (GeV/c)^2)



Electroproduction data:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Brown72 [PRL28(1972)1086]} \\ \sigma = \sigma_T + \epsilon \sigma_L \\ W = 1.93 \div 2.17 \ {\rm GeV} \\ Q^2 = 0.18 \ {\rm and} \ 0.29 \ ({\rm GeV/c})^2 \\ \theta_{\rm K}^{\rm c.m.} = 5.9^\circ \ {\rm and} \ 6^\circ \end{array}$

```
\begin{array}{l} \mbox{E94-107 (JLab, Hall A)} \\ \mbox{W} = 2.2 \mbox{ GeV} \\ \mbox{Q}^2 = 0.07 \mbox{ (GeV/c)}^2 \\ \mbox{$\theta_{\rm K}^{\rm c.m.}$} = 6^\circ \end{array}
```

- Isobar model H2: $N^* S_{11}(1650)$, $P_{11}(1710)$, $P_{13}(1720)$, $D_{13}(1895)$; $Y^* - S_{01}(1670)$, $S_{01}(1800)$; hadronic form factors; fit to CLAS and LEPS data
- Regge-plus-resonance model RPR-1: $N^* S_{11}(1535) S_{11}(1650)$, $P_{11}(1710)$, $P_{13}(1720)$, $D_{13}(1895)$; multidipole-Gauss hadronic f.f.; fit to CLAS and LEPS data.

Supression of $\sigma(\theta)$ at small $\theta_{\mathcal{K}}$ due to the hadronic form factors

• The proton exchange:

- contributes to the background part of the amplitude
- is important contribution at small θ_K
- ▶ is suppressed by hadronic form factors, e.g. in KM and H2 models
- is not suppressed in SL and RPR models



14 / 19

The angular dependence by isobar and RPR models

- H2 and RPR-1 fitted to CLAS and LEPS data \Rightarrow a good agreement for $30^\circ < heta_K < 150^\circ$
- for $\theta_K < 30^\circ$ H2 suppressed by the hadronic form factor
- no suppression of the background part for the RPR-1 and SLA models
- SLA preffered by the hypernucleus data: DWIA calculations of ${}^{12}_{\Lambda}B$, ${}^{16}_{\Lambda}N$, and ${}^{9}_{\Lambda}Li$



< 67 ▶

A B F A B F

The angular dependence by isobar and RPR models



- note inconsistency of CLAS and SAPHIR data at $\theta \approx 20^\circ$
- H2 fits very well the CLAS data but it fails for θ_K < 30°
 ⇒ the CLAS data alone cannot fully determine the angular dependence of models
- Gent A, B, and C differ in the Λ^{bgr}_{cut}: 0.413, 1.538, and 1.856, respectively
- the hypernucleus electroproduction cross sections suggest that SL gives right predictions of the elementary cross section → a forward-peaked cross section?

The very forward angular dependence above the resonance region



JLab, May 27–29, 2014

The angular dependence near threshold by isobar and Giessen models

- data inconsistency for $\theta_K < 50^\circ$
- the Giessen model is consistent rather with SL than with H2, M2 or KM for $\theta_K < 30^\circ$



P. Bydžovský (NPI Řež)

JLab, May 27–29, 2014

18 / 19

Summary

- the calculations of hypernucleus electroproduction cross sections in DWIA require a proper understanding of the elementary process in the small θ_K and Q² kinematical region;
- predictions of the isobar and Regge-plus-resonance models for the cross sections still significantly differ in this kinematical region due to lack of data;
- the ample world data (CLAS, SAPHIR, LEPS,..) on the process cover mainly the region $\theta_K > 20^\circ$ which is not enough to fully determine the angular dependence of the elementary cross section;
- new good quality data at very small θ_K and Q² are needed to test the models which then will be able to provide more reliable predictions of the cross sections for electroproduction of hypernuclei;
- the small-angle data can contribute to revealing dynamics of the models, e.g. the background description, a role of the hyperon resonances, hadronic form factors (Λ_{cut}).

19 / 19