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MOTIVATION
© How much do we know about multi-strangeness baryons?

- Baryons with $\mathrm{S}=-2$
- quark content ( qss ) with q being light u / d quark
- $\mathrm{B}=1, \mathrm{I}=1 / 2, \mathrm{Q}=0$ or -1

O name: $\Xi$
o first discovery of the $\Xi$ : about 60 years ago

- Baryons with $\mathrm{S}=-3$
- quark content (sss)
- $\mathrm{B}=1, \mathrm{I}=0, \mathrm{Q}=-1$
- name: $\Omega$
- first discovery of the $\Omega$ : about 50 years ago


## BARYONS

## Ground state Baryons



## THE DISCOVERY OF $\Omega^{-}$

 spin-3/2 $\Omega^{-}$ crucial prediction of the QM
## OBSERVATION OF A HYPERON WITH STRANGENESS MINUS THREE*
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R. B. Palmer, A. G. Prodell, D. Radojičić, D. C. Rahm, C. R. Richardson, N. P. Samios,
J. R. Sanford, R. P. Shutt, J. R. Smith, D. L. Stonehill, R. C. Strand, A. M. Thorndike,
M. S. Webster, W. J. Willis, and S. S. Yamamoto

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York
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It has been pointed out ${ }^{1}$ that among the multitude of resonances which have been discovered recently, the $N_{3 / 2} *(1238), Y_{1} *(1385)$, and $\Xi_{1 / 2} *(1532)$ can be arranged as a decuplet with one member still missing. Figure 1 illustrates the position
length of $\sim 10^{6}$ feet. These pictures have been partially analyzed to search for the more characteristic decay modes of the $\Omega^{-}$.
The event in question is shown in Fig. 2, and the pertinent measured guantities are given in


In view of the properties of cha strangeness $(S=-3)$, and mass $(M=1686 \pm 12$ $\mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ ) established for particle 3, we IECI justified in identifying it with the sought-for $\Omega^{-}$. Of course, it is expected that the $\Omega^{-}$will have other observable decay modes, and we are continuing to search for them. We defer a detailed discussion of the mass of the $\Omega^{-}$until we have analyzed further examples and have a better understanding of the systematic errors.

## 1964: the discovery of $\Omega-$

 1969: Nobel prize to Gell-Mann "for his contributions and discoveries concerning the classification of elementary particles and their interactions"
## QUANTUM NUMBERS OF HYPERONS

$$
\text { 三0 } \quad I\left(J^{P}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}^{+}\right) \text {Status: } * * * *
$$

The parity has not actually been measured, but + is of course expected.

## 2014

Citation: K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C38, 090001 (2014) (URL: http://pdg.|bl.gov)
1952 The discovery of

> E (cosmic ray)
1959 The discovery of
三 (LBNL)
The parity of $\overline{\text { ? }}$

## Hyperons: another way to

 understand strong interactions
## BARYON SPECTRUM

orbital excitations, radial excitations

$$
J=S+L
$$

Excitation Spectrum of the nucleon


## PDG (2012)

PDG List for $\Xi$ baryons

$20 N^{*}$ and $20 \Delta^{*}$
-_ spin-parity known

$$
N\left(\Xi^{*}\right)=N\left(N^{*}\right)+N\left(\Delta^{*}\right) ?
$$

## CURRENT STATUS

- Only $\Xi(1318)$ and $\Xi(1530)$ are four-star rated.
- Only three states with known spin-parity: those of other states should be explored.

K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 38, 090001 (2014) and 2015 update $\Xi$ RESONANCES

## PDG 2014

The accompanying table gives our evaluation of the present status of the $\Xi$ resonances. Not much is known about $\Xi$ resonances. This is because (1) they can only be produced as a part of a final state, and so the analysis is more complicated than if direct formation were possible, (2) the production cross sections are small (typically a few $\mu \mathrm{b}$ ), and (3) the final states are topologically complicated and difficult to study with electronic techniques. Thus early information about $\Xi$ resonances came entirely from bubble chamber experiments, where the numbers of events are small, and only in the 1980's did electronic experiments make any significant contributions. However, nothing of significance on $\Xi$ resonances has been added since our 1988 edition.

## Questions

Status as seen in -

|  |  |  | Status as seen in — |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Particle | $J^{P}$ | Overall <br> status | $\Xi \pi$ | $\Lambda K$ | $\Sigma K$ | $\Xi(1530) \pi$ | Other channels |
| $\Xi(1318)$ | $1 / 2+$ | $* * * *$ |  |  |  |  | Decays weakly |
| $\Xi(1530)$ | $3 / 2+$ | $* * * *$ | $* * * *$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\Xi(1620)$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Xi(1690)$ | $* * *$ |  | $* * *$ | $* *$ |  |  |  |
| $\Xi(1820)$ | $3 / 2-$ | $* * *$ | $* *$ | $* * *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |
| $\Xi(1950)$ |  | $* * *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  | $*$ |  |
| $\Xi(2030)$ | $* * *$ |  | $* *$ | $* * *$ |  |  |  |
| $\Xi(2120)$ | $*$ |  | $*$ |  |  | 3-body decays |  |
| $\Xi(2250)$ | $* *$ |  |  |  |  | 3-body decays |  |
| $\Xi(2370)$ | $* *$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |  | 3-body decays |  |
| $\Xi(2500)$ | $*$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |  |

## The 3rd lowest state

1. Does $\Xi(1620)$ really exist?

Most recent report on $\Xi(1620)$ : NPB 189 (1981)
2. The 3rd lowest state: $\Xi(1620)$ vs. $\Xi(1690)$
3. What are their spin-parity quantum numbers? comparison with theoretical predictions

BaBar Collab.: JP of $\Xi(1690)$ is $1 / 2-$ PRD 78 (2008)
-Where are the other resonances?

- only 2 resonances are four-star rated
- Their quantum numbers?
-The spin-parity quantum numbers are assigned only to 3 states



## $\Xi$ (1620) vs $\Xi$ (1690)

Citation: J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), PR D86, 010001 (2012) (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

## 三(1620) <br> $I\left(J^{P}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(?^{?}\right) \quad$ Status: $\quad *$ <br> $J, P$ need confirmation.

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE
What little evidence there is consists of weak signals in the $\equiv \pi$ channel. A number of other experiments (e.g., BORENSTEIN 72 and HASSALL 81) have looked for but not seen any effect.

Citation: J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), PR D86, 010001 (2012) (URL: http://pdg.|bl.gov)

## E(1690) <br> $I\left(J^{P}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(?^{?}\right) \quad$ Status: $* * *$

AUBERT 08AK, in a study of $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Xi^{-} \pi^{+} K^{+}$, finds some evi-
dence that the $\equiv(1690)$ has $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-}$

## 三(1620) MASS


vert hidden-variable emergence rates into quantum mechanical counting rates.
${ }^{9}$ It has been shown by D. Bohm and Y. Aharonov, Phys. Rev. 108, 1070 (1957), that the WS experiment is a decisive refutation of a hypothesis studied by W. H.

Furry, Phys. Rev. 49, 393, 476 (1936).
${ }^{10}$ For details see M. A. Horne, thesis, Boston University, 1969 (unpublished).
${ }^{11}$ The distribution is given in H. S. Snyder, S. Pasternack, and J. Hornbostel, Phys. Rev. 73, 440 (1948).

## $\Xi$ RESONANCES IN $K^{-} p \rightarrow \Xi \pi K$ AT $2.87 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{*}$

S. Apsell, N. Barash-Schmidt, L. Kirsch, and P. Schmidt Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02154
and
C. Y. Chang, R. J. Hemingway, B. V. Khoury, A. R. Stottlemyer, H. Whiteside, $\dagger$ anı

Evidence is presented for four $\Xi$ resonances in the reaction $K^{-} p \rightarrow \Xi^{-} \pi^{+} K^{0}$ 。In tion to the well known $\Xi(1530)$, significant structures are observed in the $\Xi \pi$ syst masses of 1630,1800 , and 1960 MeV , although the latter two are not statistically guishable from a single broad structure at 1950 MeV . No significant enhancemen these masses are observed in the $\Xi^{-} \pi^{0} K^{+}$final state.

## The first report on $\Xi(1620)$

## Search for $\Xi^{*}$ production in $K^{-} p$ interactions at $2.87 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{\dagger}$

E. Briefel,${ }^{\ddagger}$ S. A. Gourevitch, ${ }^{\S}$ L. Kirsch, and P. Schmidt

Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02154
C. Y. Chang, R. J. Hemingway, " B. V. Khoury, A. R. Stottlemyer, ${ }^{\natural}$ and G. B. Yodh University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742
R. C. Fernow, ${ }^{* *}$ S. L. Glickman, ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ M. Goldberg, S. M. Jacobs, ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ B. T. Meadows, ${ }^{\ddagger \ddagger}$ G. C. Moneti, and D. P. Weygand

Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York 13210
J. Tompkins, J. Canter, E. Katsoufis, ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ W. A. Mann, J. Schneps, and G. Wolsky ${ }^{\text {Tf }}$


# PRODUCTION OF $S=-2$ AND -3 BARYON STATES IN $6.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c} \mathrm{K}^{-} \mathrm{p}$ INTERACTIONS 

J.K. HASSALL, R.E. ANSORGE, J.R. CARTER, W.W. NEALE, J.G. RUSHBROOKE and D.R. WARD,<br>Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge, UK

B.Y. OH, M. PRATAP ${ }^{1}$, G.A. SMITH and J. WHITMORE Michigan State University, East Lansing 48824, USA**

### 5.1. TWO-BODY MASS COMBINATIONS

The $\Xi^{-} \pi^{+}$and $\Xi^{-} \pi^{0}$ effective mass distributions are shown in figs. 6 and 8. There is no evidence for the production of any resonance except the well known $\Xi(1530)$, and in particular we find no evidence for the production of a $\Xi(1630)$ which several previous experiments have claimed, decaying into $\Xi \pi^{\star}$ [24].

$$
I\left(J^{P}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(?^{?}\right) \quad \text { Status: } \quad * * *
$$

AUBERT 08AK, in a study of $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{E}^{-} \pi^{+} K^{+}$, finds some evidence that the $\equiv(1690)$ has $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-}$.

DIONISI 78 sees a threshold enhancement in both the neutral and negatively charged $\Sigma \bar{K}$ mass spectra in $K^{-} p \rightarrow(\Sigma \bar{K}) K \pi$ at 4.2 $\mathrm{GeV} / c$. The data from the $\Sigma \bar{K}$ channels alone cannot distinguish between a resonance and a large scattering length. Weaker evidence at the same mass is seen in the corresponding $\Lambda \bar{K}$ channels, and a coupled-channel analysis yields results consistent with a new $\overline{\text { E. }}$

BIAGI 81 sees an enhancement at 1700 MeV in the diffractively produced $\Lambda K^{-}$system. A peak is also observed in the $\Lambda \bar{K}^{0}$ mass spectrum at 1660 MeV that is consistent with a 1720 MeV resonance decaying to $\Sigma^{0} \bar{K}^{0}$, with the $\gamma$ from the $\Sigma^{0}$ decay not detected.

BIAGI 87 provides further confirmation of this state in diffractive dissociation of $\Xi^{-}$into $\wedge K^{-}$. The significance claimed is 6.7 standard deviations.

ADAMOVICH 98 sees a peak of $1400 \pm 300$ events in the $\Xi^{-} \pi^{+}$ spectrum produced by $345 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c} \Sigma^{-}$-nucleus interactions.

## 三(1690) MASSES

## MIXED CHARGES

VALUE (MeV)
$1690 \pm 10$ OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.


Fig. 1. Invariant mass destribution of the $\Xi^{-} \pi^{+}$combinations. a the $\Xi^{0}(1530)$ and $\Xi^{0}(1690)$ mass region; $\mathbf{b}$ the $\Xi^{0}(1690)$ mass region only; cthe $\Xi^{0}(1690)$ mass region after background subtraction

## Measurement of the spin of the $\boldsymbol{\Xi}(\mathbf{1 5 3 0})$ resonance

B. Aubert, ${ }^{1}$ M. Bona, ${ }^{1}$ Y. Karyotakis, ${ }^{1}$ J. P. Lees, ${ }^{1}$ V. Poireau, ${ }^{1}$ X. Prudent, ${ }^{1}$ V. Tisserand, ${ }^{1}$ A. Zghiche, ${ }^{1}$ J. Garra Tico, ${ }^{2}$ E. Grauges, ${ }^{2}$ L. Lopez, ${ }^{3}$ A. Palano, ${ }^{3}$ M. Pappagallo, ${ }^{3}$ G. Eigen, ${ }^{4}$ B. Stugu, ${ }^{4}$ L. Sun, ${ }^{4}$ G. S. Abrams, ${ }^{5}$ M. Battaglia, ${ }^{5}$ D. N. Brown, ${ }^{5}$ J. Button-Shafer, ${ }^{5}$ R. N. Cahn, ${ }^{5}$ R. G. Jacobsen, ${ }^{5}$ J. A. Kadyk, ${ }^{5}$ L. T. Kerth, ${ }^{5}$ Yu. G. Kolomensky, ${ }^{5}$
G. Kukartsev, ${ }^{5}$ G. Lynch, ${ }^{5}$ I. L. Osipenkov, ${ }^{5}$ M. T. Ronan, ${ }^{5, *}$ K. Tackmann, ${ }^{5}$ T. Tanabe, ${ }^{5}$ W. A. Wenzel, ${ }^{5}$ C. M. Hawkes, ${ }^{6}$
(BABAR Collaboration)
Legendre polynomial moment indicates the presence of a significant $S$-wave amplitude for $\Xi^{-} \pi^{+}$mass values above $1.6 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, and a dip in the mass distribution at approximately $1.7 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ is interpreted as due to the coherent addition of a $\Xi(1690)^{0}$ contribution to this amplitude. This would imply $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-}$ for the $\Xi(1690)$. Attempts at fitting the $\Xi(1530)^{0}$ line shape yield unsatisfactory results, and this failure is attributed to interference effects associated with the amplitudes describing the $K^{+} \pi^{+}$and/or $\Xi^{-} K^{+}$ systems.


## CLAS@JLab




PRC 71 (2005)


PRC 76 (2007)

## MODELS

## Direct extension of the classification in the quark model

- Classify the states as members of octet or decuplet
- Use spin-parity (if known) and Gell-Mann-Okubo mass relation
- Works before 1975: reviewed by

Samlos, Goldberg, Meadows RMP 46 (1974)

- Recent work along this line

Guzey \& Polyakov, hep-ph/0512355 (2005)

- No dynamics


## Hadron models for $\Xi$ baryons

- Most parameters of models are fixed by the $S=0$ and $S=-1$ sector $\rightarrow$ in principle, no free parameter for the $S=-2,-3$
- Most models give (almost) correct masses for $E(1318)$ and $E(1530)$
$\checkmark$ Requirement to survive
$\checkmark \mathrm{SU}(3)$ group structure
- But they give very different spectrum for the excited $\bar{E}$ states!


## Non-relativistic quark model

## Chao, Isgur, Karl PRD 23 (1981)



- $\Xi(1690)^{* * *}$ has $J^{P}=1 / 2^{+}$?
- The first negative parity state appears at $\sim 1800 \mathrm{MeV}$
- Decay widths are not fully calculated because of the limited final states
(but indicates narrow widths)


## Relativistic quark model

## Capstick, Isgur PRD 34 (1986)



- Negative parity states have
lower masses
- The 3rd lowest state has
$J^{P}=1 / 2$ at $\sim 1750 \mathrm{MeV}$
- Then, where is $\Xi(1690)$ ?


## One-boson exchange model

Glozman, Riska Phys. Rep. 268 (1996)


Negative parity states have a lower mass

- Degeneracy pattern appears
- No clear separation between
$(+)$ and (-) parity states
- Then, where is $\Xi(1690)$ ?


## from S. Capstick

## Large Nc (Constituent QM)

## Large $N_{C}$ quark model

- Based on quark model
- Expand the mass operator by expansion
- Mass formula (e.g. 70-plet)

$$
M=\sum_{n=0}^{11} c_{n} \hat{O}_{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{3} d_{n} \hat{B}_{n}
$$

- Fit the coefficients to the known masses and predict.

Excited $\Xi \mathrm{s}$ in $O(3) \times S U(6)$ Multiplets

| $[\ell=0,56]^{+}$ <br> Carlson \& Carone |  |  | $[\ell=1,70]^{-}$ <br> Schat, Scoccola \& JLG |  |  | $[\ell=2,56]^{+}$ <br> Schat, Scoccola \& JLG |  |  | $[\ell=4,56]^{+}$ <br> Matagne \& Stancu |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | $1 / N_{c}$ | Exp | State | $1 / N_{c}$ | Exp | State | $1 / N_{c}$ | Exp | State | $1 / N_{c}$ | Exp |
| $\Xi_{1 / 2}^{8}$ | $1825 \pm 98$ | - | $\Xi_{1 / 2}^{8}$ | $1780 \pm 20$ | - | $\Xi_{3 / 2}^{8}$ | $2081 \pm 57$ | - | $\Xi_{7 / 2}^{8}$ | $2460 \pm 166$ | - |
| $\Xi_{3 / 2}^{10}$ | $1955 \pm 196$ |  | $\Xi_{3 / 2}^{8}$ | $1815 \pm 20$ | $1823 \pm 5$ | $\Xi_{5 / 2}^{8}$ | $1997 \pm 50$ | - | $\Xi_{9 / 2}^{8}$ | $2465 \pm 165$ | - |
|  |  |  | $\Xi_{1 / 2}^{8}$ | $1927 \pm 20$ | - |  | $2237 \pm 90$ | - | $\Xi_{5 / 2}^{10}$ | $2700 \pm 266$ | - |
|  |  |  |  | $1980 \pm 20$ | - |  | $2216 \pm 80$ | - | $\Xi_{7 / 2}^{10}$ | $2592 \pm 203$ | - |
|  |  |  | $\Xi_{5 / 2}^{8}$ | $1974 \pm 20$ | - |  | $2181 \pm 65$ | - | $\Xi_{9 / 2}^{10}$ | $2598 \pm 250$ | - |
|  |  |  |  | $1922 \pm 20$ | - |  | $3131 \pm 80$ | - | $\Xi_{11 / 2}^{10}$ | $2715 \pm 260$ | - |
|  |  |  | $\Xi_{3 / 2}^{10}$ | $1973 \pm 20$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## from J.L. Goity

Where is $\Xi(1690)$ ?


The 3rd lowest state at 1780 MeV ?

## HYPERON SPECTRUM

## Baryon structure and $\Xi / \Omega$ spectra

Table 1. Low-lying $\Xi$ and $\Omega$ baryon spectrum of spin $1 / 2$ and $3 / 2$ predicted by the non-relativistic quark model of Chao et al. (CIK), relativized quark model of Capstick and Isgur (CI), Glozman-Riska model (GR), large $N_{c}$ analysis, algebraic model (BIL), and QCD sum rules (SR). The recent quark model prediction (QM) and the Skyrme model results (SK) are given as well. The mass is given in the unit of MeV .

| State | CIK [4] | CI [5] | GR [6] | Large- $N_{c}$ [7-11] | BIL [12] | SR [13,14] | QM [15] | SK [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Xi\left(\frac{1}{2}^{+}\right)$ | 1325 | 1305 | 1320 |  | 1334 | 1320 (1320) | 1325 | 1318 |
|  | 1695 | 1840 | 1798 | 1825 | 1727 |  | 1891 | 1932 |
|  | 1950 | 2040 | 1947 | 1839 | 1932 |  | 2014 |  |
| $\Xi\left(\frac{3}{2}^{+}\right)$ | 1530 | 1505 | 1516 |  | 1524 |  | 1520 | 1539 |
|  | 1930 | 2045 | 1886 | 1854 | 1878 |  | 1934 | 2120 |
|  | 1965 | 2065 | 1947 | 1859 | 1979 |  | 2020 |  |
| $\Xi\left(\frac{1}{2}^{-}\right)$ | 1785 | 1755 | 1758 | 1780 | 1869 | 1550 (1630) | 1725 | 1614 |
|  | 1890 | 1810 | 1849 | 1922 | 1932 |  | 1811 | 1660 |
|  | 1925 | 1835 | 1889 | 1927 | 2076 |  |  |  |
| $\Xi\left(\frac{3}{2}^{-}\right)$ | 1800 | 1785 | 1758 | 1815 | 1828 | 1840 | 1759 | 1820 |
|  | 1910 | 1880 | 1849 | 1973 | 1869 |  | 1826 |  |
|  | 1970 | 1895 | 1889 | 1980 | 1932 |  |  |  |
| $\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}^{+}\right)$ | 2190 | 2220 | 2068 | 2408 | 2085 |  | 2175 | 2140 |
|  | 2210 | 2255 | 2166 |  | 2219 |  | 2191 |  |
| $\Omega\left(\frac{3}{2}^{+}\right)$ | 1675 | 1635 | 1651 |  | 1670 |  | 1656 | 1694 |
|  | 2065 | 2165 | 2020 | 1922 | 1998 |  | 2170 | 2282 |
|  | 2215 | 2280 | 2068 | 2120 | 2219 |  | 2182 |  |
| $\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}^{-}\right)$ | 2020 | 1950 | 1991 | 2061 | 1989 |  | 1923 | 1837 |
| $\Omega\left(\frac{3}{2}-\right)$ | 2020 | 2000 | 1991 | 2100 | 1989 |  | 1953 | 1978 |

Exp.
Particle $J^{P}$
$\overline{\Xi(1318)} 1 / 2+$
$\Xi(1530) 3 / 2+$
$\Xi(1620)$
$\Xi(1690) \quad 1 / 2-$ ?
$\Xi(1820) 3 / 2-$
$\Xi(1950)$
$\Xi(2030)$
$\Xi(2120)$
$\Xi(2250)$
$\Xi(2370)$
$\Xi(2500)$
The $3^{\text {rd }}$ lowest state

## Highly model-dependent !

- The predicted masses for the third lowest state are higher than 1690 MeV (except NRQM)
- How to describe $E(1690)$ ?
- The presence of $\Xi(1620)$ is puzzling, if it exits.

Cf. similar problem in QM: $\Lambda(1405)$

## Skyrme Model

- 1960s, T.H.R. Skyrme
- Baryons are topological solitons within a nonlinear theory of pions.

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} U\right)+\frac{1}{32 e^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[U^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} U, U^{\dagger} \partial_{\nu} U\right]^{2}
$$



Topological soliton winding number $=$ integer

interpret as baryon number

## Bound State Model

- Starting point: flavor $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ symmetry is badly broken
- treats light flavors and strangeness on a different footing

$$
\mathrm{SU}(3) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)
$$

- Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}+\mathcal{L}_{K / K^{*}}$
- The soliton provides a background potential that traps $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{K}^{*}$ (or heavy) mesons.


Callan, Klebanov, NPB 262 (1985)
bound kaon

## Bound State Model

- Anomalous Lagrangian
- Pushes up the $S=+1$ states to the continuum $\rightarrow$ no bound state
- Pulls down the $S=-1$ states below the threshold $\rightarrow$ allows bound state $\rightarrow$ description of hyperons
- Renders two bound states with $S=-1$
after quantization
0 the lowest state: p-wave $\rightarrow$ gives $(+)$-ve parity $\Lambda(1116)$


270 MeV energy difference
0 excited state: s-wave $\rightarrow$ gives $(-)$-ve parity $\Lambda(1405)$

- Mass formula includes parameters: depends on dynamics we fix them to known masses and then predict


## Experimental Data

- Experimental Data



## MASS FORMULA

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
M\left(i, j, j_{m}\right)= \\
\qquad M_{\text {sol }}+n_{1} \omega_{1}+n_{2} \omega_{2}+\frac{1}{2 I}\left\{i(i+1)+c_{1} c_{2} j_{m}\left(j_{m}+1\right)+\left(\bar{c}_{1}-c_{1} c_{2}\right) j_{1}\left(j_{1}+1\right)+\left(\bar{c}_{2}-c_{1} c_{2}\right) j_{2}\left(j_{2}+1\right)\right. \\
\left.\qquad+\frac{c_{1}+c_{2}}{2}\left[j(j+1)-j_{m}\left(j_{m}+1\right)-i(i+1)\right]+\frac{c_{1}-c_{2}}{2} \vec{R} \cdot\left(\vec{J}_{1}-\vec{J}_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{array} \\
& 8 \text { parameters: fit to the available data } \\
& \rightarrow \text { give predictions to the other resonances } \\
& \text { The last term gives a mixing between the states which have same } \\
& i, j, j_{m} \text { but different } R, J_{1}, J_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fitted values

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
M_{\text {sol }}=866 \mathrm{MeV}, & \quad I=1.01 \mathrm{fm} & \\
\omega_{1}=211 \mathrm{MeV}, & c_{1}=0.754, & \bar{c}_{1}=0.532 \\
\omega_{2}=479 \mathrm{MeV}, & c_{2}=0.641, & \bar{c}_{2}=0.821
\end{array}
$$

cf. $\bar{c}_{1}=c_{1}^{2}, \bar{c}_{2}=c_{2}^{2}$ in Kaplan, Klebanov, NPB 335 (1990)

## Bound State Model

- Mass sum rules
- modification to GMO and equal spacing rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \Lambda+\Sigma-2(N+\Xi)=0 \\
& \left(\Omega-\Xi^{*}\right)=\left(\Xi^{*}-\Sigma^{*}\right)=\left(\Sigma^{*}-\Delta\right) \\
& 3 \Lambda+\Sigma-2(N+\Xi)=\Sigma^{*}-\Delta-\left(\Omega-\Xi^{*}\right) \\
& \left(\Omega-\Xi^{*}\right)-\left(\Xi^{*}-\Sigma^{*}\right)=\left(\Xi^{*}-\Sigma^{*}\right)-\left(\Sigma^{*}-\Delta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- hyperfine relation $\quad \Sigma^{*}-\Sigma+\frac{3}{2}(\Sigma-\Lambda)=\Delta-N$
- These relations are hold for

$$
\Lambda\left(1 / 2^{-}\right), \Sigma\left(1 / 2^{-}\right), \Sigma\left(3 / 2^{-}\right), \Xi\left(1 / 2^{+}\right), \Xi\left(3 / 2^{+}\right), \Omega\left(3 / 2^{-}\right)
$$

## Bound State Model

- Best-fitted results based on the derived mass formula

| Particle | Prediction (MeV) | Expt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 939* | N(939) | Recently confirmed by COSY PRL 96 (2006) |
| $\Delta$ | 1232* | $\Delta$ (1232) |  |
| $\Lambda\left(1 / 2^{+}\right)$ | 1116* | $\Lambda(1116)$ |  |
| $\Lambda\left(1 / 2^{-}\right)$ | 1405* | $\Lambda(1405)$ | BaBar : the spin-parity of |
| $\Sigma\left(1 / 2^{+}\right)$ | 1164 | $\Sigma(1193)$ |  |
| $\Sigma\left(3 / 2^{+}\right)$ | 1385 | $\Sigma(1385)$ | $\begin{gathered} \Xi(1690) \text { is } 1 / 2^{-} \\ P R D 7 \boldsymbol{8}(2008) \\ \text { NRQM predicts } 1 / 2^{+} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\Sigma\left(1 / 2^{-}\right)$ $\Sigma\left(3 / 2^{-}\right)$ | 1475 | $\Sigma(1480)$ ? |  |
| $\frac{\Sigma\left(3 / 2^{-}\right)}{\Xi\left(1 / 2^{+}\right)}$ | 1663 | $\Sigma(1670)$ |  |
| $\Xi\left(1 / 2^{+}\right)$ $\Xi\left(3 / 2^{+}\right)$ | 1318* | $\Xi(1318)$ $\Xi(1530)$ |  |
| $\Xi\left(3 / 2^{+}\right)$ $\Xi\left(1 / 2^{-}\right)$ | 1539 | $\Xi(1530)$ |  |
| $\Xi\left(1 / 2^{-}\right)$ $\Xi\left(1 / 2^{-}\right)$ | 1658 (1660) | $\Xi(1690) ?$$\Xi(1620) ?$ puzzle in QM |  |
| $\Xi\left(1 / 2^{-}\right)$ | 1616 (1614) |  |  |  |
| $\Xi\left(3 / 2^{-}\right)$ | 1820 | $\Xi(1820)$ | Unique prediction of this model. The $\Xi(1620)$ should be there. still one-star resonance |
| $\Xi\left(1 / 2^{+}\right)$ | 1932 | $\Xi(1950)$ ? |  |
| $\Xi\left(3 / 2^{+}\right)$ | 2120* | $\Xi(2120)$ |  |
| $\Omega\left(3 / 2^{+}\right)$ | 1694 | $\Omega(1672)$ |  |
| $\Omega\left(1 / 2^{-}\right)$ | 1837 | $\Omega(2250)$ ? |  |
| $\Omega\left(3 / 2^{-}\right)$ | 1978 |  | Q's would be discovered |
| $\Omega\left(1 / 2^{+}\right)$ | 2140 |  | in future. |
| $\Omega\left(3 / 2^{+}\right)$ | 2282 |  |  |
| $\Omega\left(3 / 2^{-}\right)$ | 2604 |  | YO, PRD 75 |

## More Comments

## Two $\Xi$ states

Kaons: one in p-wave and one in s-wave

$$
\Rightarrow \vec{J}=\vec{J}_{s o l}+\vec{J}_{m} \quad\left(\vec{J}_{m}=\vec{J}_{1}+\vec{J}_{2}\right)
$$

$\vec{J}_{\text {sol }}$ : soliton spin (=1/2), $\quad \vec{J}_{1}\left(\vec{J}_{2}\right):$ spin of the $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{s})$-wave kaon $(=1 / 2)$
$J_{m}=0$ or 1: both of them can lead to $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \Xi$ states
Therefore, two $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \Xi$ states and one $J^{P}=3 / 2^{-} \Xi$ states
In this model, it is natural to have two $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \Xi$ states at $1616 \mathrm{MeV} \& 1658 \mathrm{MeV}$ Clearly, different from quark models

## Other approaches

Unitary extension of chiral perturbation theory
Ramos, Oset, Bennhold, PRL 89 (2002): 1/ 2 - state at 1606 MeV
Garcia-Recio, Lutz, Nieves, PLB 582 (2004): claim tht the $\Xi(1620)$ and $\Xi(1690)$ are $1 / 2^{-}$states
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E\&M PROPERTIES

## MAGNETIC MOMENTS

* Magnetic moment operator

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{\mu}=\hat{\mu}_{s}+\hat{\mu}_{v} & \hat{\mu}_{s}=\mu_{s, 0} R^{z}+\mu_{s, 1} I_{1}^{z}+\mu_{s, 2} J_{2}^{z}, \\
& \hat{\mu}_{v}=-2\left(\mu_{v, 0}+\mu_{v, 1} n_{1}+\mu_{v, 2} n_{2}\right) D^{33},
\end{array}
$$

* Sum rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(\Sigma^{*+}\right)-\mu\left(\Sigma^{*-}\right) & =\frac{3}{2}\left\{\mu\left(\Sigma^{+}\right)-\mu\left(\Sigma^{-}\right)\right\}, & & \mu\left(\Omega_{1 / 2^{-}, 1}\right)=\frac{4}{3} \mu\left(\Lambda_{1116}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \mu\left(\Lambda_{1405}\right), \\
\mu\left(\Sigma^{+}\right)+\mu\left(\Sigma^{-}\right) & =\frac{4}{3}\{\mu(p)+\mu(n)\}-\frac{2}{3} \mu(\Lambda), & & \mu\left(\Omega_{3 / 2^{-}, 1}\right)=2 \mu\left(\Lambda_{1116}\right)+\mu\left(\Lambda_{1405}\right), \\
\mu\left(\Sigma^{*+}\right)+\mu\left(\Sigma^{*-}\right) & =2\{\mu(p)+\mu(n)\}+2 \mu(\Lambda), & & \mu\left(\Omega_{1 / 2^{+}, 1}\right)=-\frac{1}{3} \mu\left(\Lambda_{1116}\right)+\frac{4}{3} \mu\left(\Lambda_{1405}\right), \\
\mu\left(\Xi^{0}\right)+\mu\left(\Xi^{-}\right) & =-\frac{1}{3}\{\mu(p)+\mu(n)\}+\frac{8}{3} \mu(\Lambda), & & \mu\left(\Omega_{3 / 2^{+}, 1}\right)=\frac{1}{3} \mu\left(\Lambda_{1116}\right)+2 \mu\left(\Lambda_{1405}\right), \\
\mu\left(\Xi^{* 0}\right)+\mu\left(\Xi^{*-}\right) & =\mu(p)+\mu(n)+4 \mu(\Lambda), & & \\
\mu\left(\Xi^{* 0}\right)-\mu\left(\Xi^{*-}\right) & =-3\left\{\mu\left(\Xi^{0}\right)-\mu\left(\Xi^{-}\right)\right\}, & & \\
\mu(\Omega) & =3 \mu(\Lambda), & &
\end{aligned}
$$

## ELECTRIC QUADRUPOLE MOMENTS

Table 2. Electric quadrupole moments of the decuplet baryons in units of $10^{-2} \mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{fm}^{2}$. The works (I) and (II) correspond to $\chi=1.0$ and 1.22 , respectively.

| Particle | $\Delta^{++}$ | $\Delta^{+}$ | $\Delta^{0}$ | $\Delta^{-}$ | $\Sigma^{*+}$ | $\Sigma^{* 0}$ | $\Sigma^{*-}$ | $\Xi^{* 0}$ | $\Xi^{*-}$ | $\Omega^{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref. 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.8 |
| Ref. 4 | -6.6 | -3.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ref. 5 | -9.8 | -4.9 | 0.0 | 4.9 | - | - | - | - | - | 3.1 |
| Ref. 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.4 |
| Ref. 7 | -17.8 | -8.9 | 0.0 | 8.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ref. 8 | -12.6 | -6.3 | 0.0 | 6.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ref. 9 | -6.0 | -2.1 | 1.8 | 5.7 | -2.2 | -0.01 | 2.0 | -0.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Ref. 10 | -9.3 | -4.6 | 0.0 | 4.6 | -5.4 | -0.7 | 4.0 | -1.3 | 3.4 | 2.8 |
| Ref. 11 | -2.7 | -1.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Ref. 12 | -8.0 | -3.0 | 1.2 | 6.0 | -7.0 | -1.3 | 4.0 | -3.5 | 2.0 | 0.9 |
| Ref. 13 | -8.7 | -3.1 | 2.4 | 8.0 | -4.2 | 0.5 | 5.2 | -0.7 | 3.5 | 2.4 |
| (I) | -8.8 | -2.9 | 2.9 | 8.8 | -8.2 | 0.0 | 8.2 | -6.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| (II) | -8.8 | -2.9 | 2.9 | 8.8 | -7.1 | 0.0 | 7.1 | -4.6 | 4.6 | 0.0 |

proportional to the baryon charge $(Q)$ in the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ limit proportional to the baryon isospin $\left(I_{3}\right)$ in the strongly broken $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ limit

Electric quadrupole moments of the decuplet and the strangeness content of the proton
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## Quadrupole moments of baryons
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TABLE I. Two-quark ( $B$ ) and three-quark ( $C$ ) contributions to quadrupole moments of decuplet baryons in the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ symmetry limit $(r=1)$ and with broken flavor symmetry. $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-flavor symmetry breaking is characterized by the ratio of $u$-quark and $s$-quark masses $r=m_{u} / m_{s}$. Two types (quadratic and cubic) of flavor symmetry breaking are considered.

|  | $Q(r=1)$ | $Q($ quadratic $)$ | $Q($ cubic $)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta^{-}$ | $-4 B-2 C$ | $-4 B-2 C$ | $-4 B-2 C$ |
| $\Delta^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{+}$ | $4 B+2 C$ | $4 B+2 C$ | $4 B+2 C$ |
| $\Delta^{++}$ | $8 B+4 C$ | $8 B+4 C$ | $8 B+4 C$ |
| $\Sigma^{*-}$ | $-4 B-2 C$ | $-(4 B+2 C)(1+2 r) / 3$ | $-(4 B+2 C)\left(1+r+r^{2}\right) / 3$ |
| $\Sigma^{* 0}$ | 0 | $2(B-C)(1-r) / 3$ | $\left[2 B\left(1+r-2 r^{2}\right)-C\left(2-r-r^{2}\right)\right] / 3$ |
| $\Sigma^{*+}$ | $4 B+2 C$ | $[4 B(2+r)-2 C(1-4 r)] / 3$ | $\left[4 B\left(2+2 r-r^{2}\right)-2 C\left(1-2 r-2 r^{2}\right)\right] / 3$ |
| $\Xi^{*-}$ | $-4 B-2 C$ | $-(4 B+2 C)\left(2 r+r^{2}\right) / 3$ | $-(4 B+2 C)\left(r+r^{2}+r^{3}\right) / 3$ |
| $\Xi^{* 0}$ | 0 | $4(B-C)\left(r-r^{2}\right) / 3$ | $\left[4 B\left(2 r-r^{2}-r^{3}\right)-2 C\left(r+r^{2}-2 r^{3}\right)\right] / 3$ |
| $\Omega^{-}$ | $-4 B-2 C$ | $-(4 B+2 C) r^{2}$ | $-(4 B+2 C) r^{3}$ |

## SUMMARY \& OUTLOOK

## Summary \& Outlook

- Study on the spectrum of $\Xi$ baryons
- opens a new window for understanding baryon structure
- Theoretical models for $\Xi$ spectrum
- different and even contradictory predictions
- mass and quantum numbers of the third lowest state
- Skyrme model: $\Xi(1620)$ and $\Xi(1690)$ as analogue states of $\Lambda(1405)$
- Experimental side: More precise data are needed
- existence of $\Xi(1620)$
- should confirm other poorly established $\Xi$ resonances and their quantum numbers
- almost no information about $\Omega$ baryons


## Summary \& Outlook

- Role of $\Lambda$ and $\Sigma$ resonances in $\Xi$ production processes
- offers a chance to study these resonances
- higher mass and high spin resonances
- J-PARC gives a new chance for $\Xi$ physics.
- larger yields than photoproduction
- needs various polarization measurements
- EM properties of hyperons
- We definitely need more data.
- Omega baryons ?

