### <sup>4</sup>He(e,e'p) reactions – study of bound nucleon

Simona Malace University of South Carolina

SRC 2007 Workshop Jefferson Lab, October 26-27, 2007

# **Motivation**

**Conventional Nuclear Physics** 

Nucleons are effectively and well described as:

- $\Rightarrow$  point-like protons and neutrons (+ form factor)
- $\Rightarrow$  interaction through effective forces (meson exchange)

<u>QCD</u>

- $\Rightarrow$  Nucleons and mesons are not the fundamental entities
- Nucleons and mesons are composite objects of quarks and gluons

#### Is the structure of the nucleons modified by the nuclear medium?

- Exclusive A(e,e'p) data provide for sensitive tests:
  - $\clubsuit$  Cross sections
  - $\Rightarrow$  Polarization observables

### **Experimental Goal**

Measure, as accurate as possible, observables that give access to possible modifications of the form factors in the nuclear medium. => Polarization observables

Free nucleon:

$$\frac{G_E}{G_M} = -\frac{P_x'}{P_z'} \cdot \frac{(E_i + E_f)}{2m} \tan \frac{\theta_e}{2}$$

Bound nucleon:

evaluation within model

i.e. assumptions about the wave functions, current operators, finalstate interactions (FSI)....

# **Theoretical Framework**



**Born Approximation** 

Quasielastic scattering on bound nucleon:



Born + Impulse Approximation(IA)

 $W_{if} = \int dx \int dy \int \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^2} j_{\mu}^{\ e}(x) e^{-iq(x-y)} \frac{(-1)}{q_{\mu}^2} J_{N}^{\ \mu}(y)$ electron current current

Due to the presence of the nuclear medium, additional effects have to be taken into account when calculating the currents for  $e-N_{bound}$  scattering as opposed to  $e-N_{free}$  scattering.

## In-Medium Effects

**Electron-photon vertex current:**  $j_{\mu}^{\ e}(r) = \overline{\psi}_{f}^{\ e}(r)\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{i}^{\ e}(r)$ 

Coulomb distortion of the electron wave function (especially important for heavy nuclei). -> J.M. Udias et al., Phys.Rev.C 48, 2731

**Photon-nucleon vertex current:**  $J_N^{\mu}(r) = \overline{\psi}_F^{N}(r) \widehat{J}_N^{\mu} \psi_B^{N}(r)$ 

-> Off-shell effects (no unambiguous treatment)

$$\widehat{J}_{cc1}^{\ \mu} = G_M(Q^2)\gamma^{\mu} - \frac{\kappa}{2M}F_2(Q^2)(P_i^{\mu} + P_f^{\ \mu}), \quad \widehat{J}_{cc2}^{\ \mu} = ..., \quad \widehat{J}_{cc3}^{\ \mu} = ...$$
T. De Forest, Jr. Nucl. Phys. A392, 232

 $\hat{J}_{cc1},\hat{J}_{cc2},\hat{J}_{cc3}$  equivalent for free nucleon but not guaranteed to produce the same result for bound nucleons

• Current conservation (cc) rather exception than rule in most calculations.

• Prescriptions proposed to partially cure this deficiency: imposed cc => different gauges.

Vary prescriptions seem to converge with increasing Q<sup>2</sup>, especially at low missing momentum.
 D. Debruyne, J. Ryckebusch..., Phys. Rev. C 62, 024611

## In-Medium Effects

#### -> Many-body currents: e.g. MEC- e.g. the photon couples to a meson which has been exchanged between two nucleons inside the nucleus.

→ IA ⇔ Direct Knockout Mechanism (DKO) ("zero-order approximation")

To account for meson exchanges between nucleons we need "higher-order corrections" to the DKO. two-body current

 $<\psi_{f} |\hat{J}^{\mu}|\psi_{i}>=<\chi(1)|J^{\mu}(1b)|\psi_{\beta}(1)>+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{A}<\chi(1)\psi_{\alpha}(2)|J^{\mu}(2b)|\psi_{\beta}(1)\psi_{\alpha}(2)-\psi_{\alpha}(1)\psi_{\beta}(2)>$   $\Rightarrow A. \text{ Meucci et al., Phys. Rev. C 66, 034610}$ 

The sensitivity of polarization observables on MEC was predicted to be moderate only at p<sub>m</sub> > 200 MeV/c. → J. Ryckebusch, Phys. Rev. C 60, 034604

Final-State Interactions: interactions which occur after the nucleon has been struck by the photon before it leaves the vicinity of the nucleus.

 $\rightarrow$  Most calculations account for FSI via **optical potentials (OPT)**.

(e,e'p)(p,p)
 J. Udias et al., Phys. Rev. C 51
 (e,e'p)(p,p) + (e,e'n)(n,p)
 R. Schiavilla, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 835

Some calculations use **Glauber framework** to incorporate FSI.

▶ P. Lava, J. Ryckebush, B. Van Overmeire, Phys. Rev. C 71, 014605

Typical Glauber approaches rely on spin-independent NN scattering amplitudes => the effect of FSI smaller in Glauber framework than in a relativistic OPT one.

# In-Medium Effects

#### Medium modifications of the electromagnetic current through the form-factor:

e.g. 
$$\hat{J}_{cc1}^{\mu} = G_M(Q^2)\gamma^{\mu} - \frac{\kappa}{2M}F_2(Q^2)(P_i^{\mu} + P_f^{\mu}) \rightarrow \text{free or medium-modified nucleon form-factor ?}$$

For example:

#### Quark Meson Coupling Model (QMC)

Structure of the nucleon described by valence quarks in a bag (Cloudy-bag model).
 Nuclear system described using effective scalar (σ) and vector (ω) meson fields.
 Scalar and vector fields of nuclear matter couple

directly to confined quarks.
=> Modification of internal structure of bound nucleon <sup>0.7</sup>

→ D.H. Lu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 60, 068201



#### Chiral Quark-Soliton Model of the Nucleon (CQSM)

 The chiral quark-soliton model provides the quark and antiquark structure of the proton.

The overall procedure for the form-factor extraction is similar to the QMC model.
J. R. Smith and G. A. Miller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 212301

Good description of the EMC effect.

### **Excellent Description of Many Observables**

 $^{16}O(e,e'p)$  at Q<sup>2</sup> = 0.8 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>



 $\Rightarrow$  Importance of fully relativistic calculation.

Also excellent description of <sup>12</sup>C(e,e'**p**) induced polarization. J. Gao et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3265 (2000); J.M. Udias et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 5451 (1999)

# E93-049 and E03-104 at Jlab Hall A

# Target: <sup>4</sup>He, H

<sup>4</sup>He: + High density target => any possible medium effects are enhanced.

- $\Rightarrow$  Its relative simplicity allow realistic microscopic calculations.
- Variety of calculations show that polarization-transfer observables in <sup>4</sup>He(e,e'p)<sup>3</sup>H are influenced little by FSI, MEC.
- **H**:  $\checkmark$  H is baseline when estimating the effect of the medium on the polarization-transfer ratio in <sup>4</sup>He(e,e'p)<sup>3</sup>H.

### <u>Kinematics</u>: quasielastic scattering + low $p_m$ + symmetry about $p_m$ =0

Q<sup>2</sup> = 0.5, 0.8, 1.0, 1.3, 1.6, 2.6 GeV<sup>2</sup>

 $\rightarrow$  We extract  $P_x$ ',  $P_z$ ',  $P_y$ .

#### **<u>Beam</u>**: Longitudinally polarized electron beam (85%).

Incoming electron helicity flipped -> access to both the polarization transfer and the induced polarization.

### **Detection system:** Hall A High Resolution Spectrometers (HRS)

 $\Rightarrow$  Left/Right arm: polarized proton/scattered electron detection.

 $\Rightarrow$  We make sure we get triton in the final state.

E93-049 analysis: final (published). E03-104 analysis: ongoing.

### Free Proton Form-Factor Ratio $G_E/G_M$



 $\Rightarrow$  Full analysis of E03-104 will yield smaller systematic uncertainties.

## Polarization-Transfer in <sup>4</sup>He(e,e'p)<sup>3</sup>H

RDWIA calculation: no MEC and no charge-exchange FSI terms. Study shows: effect of MEC < 3%.

 RMSGA calculation: similar procedure as RDWIA but different treatment of FSI =>FSI underestimated.

RDWIA and RMSGA models cannot describe the data.

Data effectively described by medium modified form factors.



 Preliminary data from E03-104 possibly hint an unexpected trend in Q<sup>2</sup>.

# Polarization-Transfer in <sup>4</sup>He(e,e'p)<sup>3</sup>H

Schiavilla et al. calculation provides for alternative explanation:

#### $\Rightarrow$ R is suppressed ~ 4% from MEC.

Spin-dependent charge-exchange FSI suppresses R ~ 6%.



Charge-exchange term not well constrained => need precise P<sub>y</sub> data.

# Induced Polarization in <sup>4</sup>He(e,e'p)<sup>3</sup>H



4 E03-104 took specific data that will set tight constraints on FSI.

# Summary

#### Proton in the nuclear medium:

- Models predict change of the internal structure of a bound nucleon.
- Corrections due to in-medium form factors could be significant.

#### Polarization transfer in <sup>4</sup>He(e,e'p):

- Significant deviation from RDWIA results; data effectively described by proton medium modifications.
- Alternative interpretation in terms of strong charge-exchange FSI.
- $\Rightarrow$  Induced polarization crucial to clarify role of FSI.
- $\Rightarrow$  New results from E03-104 will provide needed constraints.
- Preliminary data from E03-104 possibly hint an unexpected trend in Q<sup>2</sup> for R.

### Sensitivity to reaction mechanisms

R<sup>RDWIA</sup> ≈ 0.97 x R<sup>RPWIA</sup> Small sensitivity to bound-state wave function current operator optical potential Enhancement of lower components (spinor distortions) in RDWIA

