

CEBAF-PR-87-022
Gross, Franz
Current conservation theories.
* 02059200094881
B02059200094881B

CEBAF-PR-87-022

CURRENT CONSERVATION AND INTERACTION CURRENTS
IN RELATIVISTIC MESON THEORIES

Franz Gross
Department of Physics
College of William and Mary
Williamsburg, VA 23185, USA

and

D. O. Riska
Department of Physics
University of Helsinki
00140 Helsinki, Finland

Current Conservation and Interaction Currents
in Relativistic Meson Theories

Franz Gross
Department of Physics
College of William and Mary
Williamsburg, VA 23185, USA

and

D.O. Riska
Department of Physics
University of Helsinki
00140 Helsinki, Finland

Abstract

The relation between the nucleon-nucleon interaction and exchange currents needed for current conservation are derived for the Bethe-Salpeter formalism, and for the approach in which the spectator particle is restricted to its mass shell. For both approaches, it is shown how to achieve current conservation for a completely general isospin dependent, energy dependent interaction with arbitrary phenomenological electromagnetic form factors for the nucleon and mesons, and with strong form factors at the meson-nucleon vertices. Contrary to what has often been stated in the literature, the development shows that current conservation places no restrictions on the use of different electromagnetic form factors for mesons and nucleons, and that phenomenological meson-nucleon form factors can be introduced in a way which is consistent with current conservation. The longitudinal part of the exchange current is uniquely determined by current conservation, and for the common case of an interaction that only depends on the invariant momentum transfer variable an explicit expression for this longitudinal exchange current is given. The transverse part, which contains all electromagnetic form factors, is unconstrained by current conservation.

1. Introduction

It is well known that non-nucleonic degrees of freedom may reveal themselves in the form of interaction current contributions to nuclear electromagnetic observables even at low energies¹. When such interaction currents contain longitudinal components they may be determined by the nuclear interaction through current conservation. Exchange currents that are associated in this way with the interaction, and which have to be included in consistent calculations of bound state matrix elements, are usually referred to as model independent exchange currents. Existing empirical indications are that such model independent exchange currents are the ones of main importance for the electromagnetic properties of the few-nucleon systems².

The model independent exchange currents are either of relativistic origin and associated with intermediate antinucleon states^{3,4,5}, due to the energy dependence of the interaction, or finally due to the isospin dependence of the interaction^{6,7}. It is the aim of this paper to elaborate this distinction by deriving the proper form of the two-body interaction currents that have to be included in relativistic calculations of matrix elements of the nuclear electromagnetic current operator.

The key to the development is the generalized Ward-Takahashi (W-T) identity for the divergence of the current of an off-shell particle⁸. One principal result of this paper is that the electromagnetic interactions of any two-body system described by a relativistic two-body equation (such as the Bethe-Salpeter equation or an equation in which one particle is restricted to its mass shell) will always conserve current provided the following three conditions are met: (1) the electromagnetic currents for the interacting off-shell nucleons and mesons satisfy the appropriate W-T identity, (2) the interacting incoming and outgoing two body system satisfy the same two body relativistic equation (with the same interaction kernel) and (3) the exchange (or interaction) current is built up from the relativistic kernel by coupling the virtual photon to all possible places in the kernel. Conditions (1) and (2) are possible to satisfy provided calculations are done consistently and with care, but condition (3) becomes cumbersome if the two body interaction includes higher order kernels such as crossed box diagrams.

A second principal result of this paper is that, contrary to results obtained by some previous investigators⁹⁻¹², current conservation places no constraint on the use of electromagnetic form factors for the hadrons. This means that we are free to use different phenomenological form factors for the nucleon and mesons (pion and rho). We have the freedom to choose these form factors to describe on-shell data (when it exists) and may even allow the q^2 dependence of these form factors to vary with the virtual mass of the interacting nucleon or meson. This freedom can only be constrained by fits to experimental data, or by microscopic calculations of the form factors based on the underlying quark structure of the hadrons.

This result comes about because the W-T identities only constrain the longitudinal current and it is possible to construct currents in which all of the electromagnetic form factors occur in transverse terms only. Since the on-shell currents are purely transverse, this can be done in a way which is consistent with the experimental results. For the case in which the interaction kernel depends solely on the invariant four-momentum transfer variable we give an explicit expression for the longitudinal exchange current that is needed for current conservation.

A third principal result of this paper is that phenomenological form factors can be introduced at the strong meson-nucleon vertices without violating current conservation. (We will sometimes refer to these as the "strong" form factors to distinguish them from electromagnetic form factors.) To accomplish this, the strong form factors are reinterpreted as phenomenological self energies (which means that they must depend only on the off-shell mass of the hadron). This modifies the propagator and, through the W-T identity, leads to modifications in the currents. If the currents are modified as required, current conservation is unaffected.

This paper falls into 7 sections. In Section 2 we discuss the two body current for point particles in the framework of the Bethe-Salpeter equation¹³ in the one pion exchange ladder approximation. We show how conditions 1-3 cooperate to insure current conservation in this simple case, and derive the longitudinal exchange current for the case of an interaction that depends only on momentum transfer. In Section 3 we extend the method for achieving current conservation to a general interaction. In Section 4 we discuss current

conservation in the quasipotential framework developed by one of us¹⁴. In Section 5 we illustrate the results for the cases of single pion and ρ -meson exchange interactions with derivative couplings, and in Section 6 we show how both electromagnetic and strong hadronic form factors and may be introduced without violation of current conservation. Section 7 contains a concluding discussion.

2. The Bethe-Salpeter Theory in the Ladder Approximation

We turn now to an explicit construction of the current in the Bethe-Salpeter (BS) Theory. We begin with a treatment of the ladder approximation, and extend the discussion in the next section to the most general case.

In the ladder approximation the scattering amplitude M is assumed to satisfy the following equation

$$M(p, p'; P) = V(p, p'; P) + i \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} V(p, k; P) S_1(\frac{1}{2}P+k) S_2(\frac{1}{2}P-k) M(k, p'; P), \quad (2.1)$$

where the notation is illustrated in Fig. 1; p, p' , and k are relative 4-momenta, P is the total 4 momentum (which is constant) and V is the irreducible kernel. S is the free "nucleon" propagator, defined as

$$S_i^{-1}(p) = p \cdot \gamma_i - M, \quad (2.2)$$

M being the nucleon mass. In the ladder approximation, the irreducible kernel V depends only on $p - k$ in addition to isospin.

We may project states of definite total angular momentum, helicity, and parity from (2.1) by introducing a suitable projection operator. For our purposes it is convenient to specialize the initial state so that both particles are on shell, in which case in the center of mass of the pair,

$$\begin{aligned} P &= (W, \vec{0}), \\ p_0' &= 0, \\ W &= 2E(p'), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $E(p) \equiv (M^2 + p^2)^{1/2}$. In this case the projection operator takes the general form

$$P_{op}\{F\} = \int d\Omega_{\hat{p}} F(\vec{p}') u_1^{(r)}(\vec{p}') u_2^{(s)}(-\vec{p}') O_{rs}(\hat{p}') . \quad (2.4)$$

In this paper we will suppress all reference to the quantum numbers of the initial state. Using the operator (2.4) we introduce a relativistic BS scattering wave function according to

$$\psi(p,P) = P_{op} \left\{ (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p-p') + i S_1\left(\frac{1}{2} P+p\right) S_2\left(\frac{1}{2} P-p\right) M(p,p';P) \right\} . \quad (2.5)$$

Substituting (2.5) into (2.1) gives us the equation we seek:

$$S_1^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} P+p\right) S_2^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} P-p\right) \psi(p,P) = i \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} V(p,k;P) \psi(k,P) . \quad (2.6)$$

The equation for the bound state wave function is obtained in a somewhat different way. The presence of a bound state implies a pole in the M matrix, which for a spin zero bound state takes the form

$$M(p,p';P) = - \frac{\Gamma(p,P) \Gamma(p',P)}{M_B^2 - P^2} + R(p,p';P) , \quad (2.7)$$

where R is regular at $P^2 = M_B^2$. Substituting (2.7) into (2.1), and approaching the bound state pole gives the homogeneous equation

$$\Gamma(p,P) = i \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} V(p,k;P) S_1\left(\frac{1}{2} P+k\right) S_2\left(\frac{1}{2} P-k\right) \Gamma(k,P) . \quad (2.8)$$

Defining the bound state wave function

$$\psi_B(p,P) = i S_1\left(\frac{1}{2} P+p\right) S_2\left(\frac{1}{2} P-p\right) \Gamma(p,P) , \quad (2.9)$$

gives the same equation, (2.6), for ψ_B .

We now turn to the central question of specifying the current operator which should be used with the relativistic states which satisfy (2.6). The

answer will depend on the specific form of V . In this section we will discuss the ladder sum for the case in which V has the simple form

$$V(p, k; P) = -g^2 \frac{\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \gamma_1^5 \gamma_2^5 \Delta(p-k) , \quad (2.10)$$

with the meson propagator

$$\Delta^{-1}(k) = k^2 - \mu^2 . \quad (2.11)$$

This interaction, which corresponds to exchange of single pseudo-scalar pions, will be sufficient to illustrate the approach. Some other examples will be considered in section 5, and the completely general result will be given in section 3.

The general form for the matrix element of the electromagnetic current between two relativistic states described by the wave functions ψ_i and ψ_f is, in the BS formalism

$$\langle J^\mu \rangle = \int \frac{d^4 p d^4 p'}{(2\pi)^8} \bar{\psi}_f(p', D') J^\mu(p' D', p D) \psi_i(p, D) , \quad (2.12)$$

where the notation is given in Fig. 2. The general form for the current operator is

$$\begin{aligned} J^\mu(p' D', p D) = & -i(2\pi)^4 S_2^{-1}(p_2) \delta^4(p_2 - p_2') j_1^\mu(p_1', p_1) \\ & -i(2\pi)^4 S_1^{-1}(p_1) \delta^4(p_1 - p_1') j_2^\mu(p_2', p_2) \\ & + J_V^\mu(p' D', p D) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Here the single nucleon current operator for point-like nucleons is

$$j_i^\mu(p_i', p_i) = \gamma_i^\mu \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_i^3] , \quad (2.14)$$

and J_V^μ is an interaction or exchange current, the structure of which depends on the form of V . If V is given by (2.10), which corresponds to the ladder

sum, the exchange current is

$$\begin{aligned}
J_V^\mu(p'D', pD) &= J_L^\mu(p'D', pD) \\
&= -g^2 \tau_1^i \tau_2^j \gamma_1^5 \gamma_2^5 j_\pi^{ij\mu}(p_1' - p_1, p_2 - p_2') \Delta(p_1' - p_1) \Delta(p_2 - p_2'), \quad (2.15)
\end{aligned}$$

where, for point-like pions,

$$j_\pi^{ij\mu}(k', k) = -i(k' + k)^\mu \epsilon^{ijs}. \quad (2.16)$$

In (2.16), i and j are the isospin indices of the outgoing and incoming pions respectively. The three terms in (2.13) are illustrated diagrammatically in Fig. 3.

The form of the operator (2.13) follows naturally if one imagines the photon coupling to all possible places in the ladder sum, and uses the BS equation to collect all contributions into the three distinct classes which occur. This intuitive argument also shows that (2.13) should conserve current. The remainder of this section will be devoted to a proof of this result.

The Ward-Takahashi (W-T) identities⁸ are crucial to the proof, and will also be the key to generalizing the results to include nucleon and pion structure, which will be carried out in Section 6. In our notation, these are

$$\begin{aligned}
q_\mu j_{\pi i}^\mu(p_i', p_i) &= [S_i^{-1}(p_i') - S_i^{-1}(p_i)] \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_1^3], \\
q_\mu j_\pi^{ij\mu}(k', k) &= -i[\Lambda^{-1}(k') - \Lambda^{-1}(k)] \epsilon^{ijs}. \quad (2.17)
\end{aligned}$$

The proof depends only on the validity of (2.17) and the BS equation (2.6).

Begin by using the nucleon W-T identity on the first two terms of the current operator (2.13) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
q_\mu \langle J_{1+2}^\mu \rangle = & -i \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \left\{ \bar{\psi}_f(p + \frac{1}{2} q, D') S_2^{-1}(p_2) [S_1^{-1}(p_1') - S_1^{-1}(p_1)] \right. \\
& \left. \times \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_1^3] \psi_i(p, D) \right. \\
& \left. + \bar{\psi}_f(p - \frac{1}{2} q, D') S_1^{-1}(p_1) [S_2^{-1}(p_2') - S_2^{-1}(p_2)] \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_2^3] \psi_i(p, D) \right\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Using the BS equation (2.6) this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
q_\mu \langle J_{1+2}^\mu \rangle = & \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4 p d^4 p'}{(2\pi)^8} \left\{ \bar{\psi}_f(p', D') [V(p', p + \frac{1}{2} q ; D') [1 + \tau_1^3] \right. \\
& - [1 + \tau_1^3] V(p' - \frac{1}{2} q, p ; D) \\
& V(p', p - \frac{1}{2} q ; D') [1 + \tau_2^3] - \\
& \left. [1 + \tau_2^3] V(p' + \frac{1}{2} q, p ; D)] \psi_i(p, D) \right\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Note that (2.19) would be zero if the potential were to depend only on momentum transfer and were independent of isospin. For the ladder sum, the potential does depend only on momentum transfer, and hence current conservation would be proved for isoscalar exchange, in which case the exchanged meson would be neutral and there would be no meson exchange term in the current operator. In our case the isospin dependence of the pion spoils the cancellation, giving

$$[\tau_{\omega_1}^3 \tau_{\omega_2}^3, \tau_1^3] = 2i [\tau_{\omega_1}^3 \tau_{\omega_2}^3] , \tag{2.20}$$

and hence (2.19) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
q_\mu \langle J_{1+2}^\mu \rangle = & -ig^2 \int \frac{d^4 p d^4 p'}{(2\pi)^8} \bar{\psi}_f(p', D') [\tau_{\omega_1}^3 \tau_{\omega_2}^3]^3 \gamma_1^5 \gamma_2^5 [\Delta(p' - p - \frac{1}{2} q) \\
& - \Delta(p' - p + \frac{1}{2} q)] \psi_i(p, D) .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

The divergence of the meson exchange term is precisely what is necessary to cancel (2.21). Using the pion W-T identity on this term gives

$$q_\mu \langle J_L^\mu \rangle = +ig^2 \int \frac{d^4 p d^4 p'}{(2\pi)^8} \bar{\psi}_i(p', D') [\tau_1 \times \tau_2]^3 \gamma_1^5 \gamma_2^5 [\Delta(p_2 - p_2') - \Delta(p_1' - p_1)] \psi_i(p, D), \quad (2.22)$$

which cancels (2.21).

Equation (2.22) suggests an alternative form for the exchange current (2.15) which explicitly displays its role in conserving current. Writing the interaction (2.10) in the general form

$$V = v(p - k) \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2, \quad (2.23)$$

it can be readily shown that (2.15) can be written

$$J_V^\mu(p', D', p, D) = i(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^3 \frac{(k' + k)^\mu}{(k'^2 - k^2)} [v(k') - v(k)] + J_{TV}^\mu \quad (2.24)$$

where $k' = p_1' - p_1$ and $k = p_2 - p_2'$ are the momenta of the outgoing and incoming pions, respectively (see Fig. 3(c)), and J_{TV}^μ is a purely transverse contribution which in this case is zero.

We will show in sections 5 and 6 below that the form (2.24) holds for the longitudinal part of the exchange current, even in the presence of contact terms generated by derivative couplings, strong hadronic form factors at the meson-nucleon vertices, and electromagnetic form factors for the exchanged meson. In these more general cases, the additional transverse terms, J_{TV}^μ , which cannot be determined by current conservation, are not zero. It is interesting to note that (2.24) reduces to exactly the same form as the non-relativistic continuity equation^{4,7} in a reference frame in which $q_0 = 0$.

3. The Bethe-Salpeter Equation for the General Case

We now consider the general case where V is a sum of irreducible kernels, shown up to 6th order in Fig. 4. We will show that the correct operator in

this case has the form of (2.13), where the interaction current J_V^μ is constructed from the irreducible kernels which make up V by inserting the virtual photon by minimal coupling at all possible places inside the diagrams. Note that our result for the second order case (the ladder sum) satisfies this rule. The diagrams generated in 4th order by the crossed box are shown in Fig. 5. In general, each irreducible $(2n)$ th order diagram involving the exchange of n mesons will generate $3n-2$ diagrams with couplings to nucleons and mesons. In addition, there will be $2n$ diagrams with contact terms if these are generated by the elementary meson-nucleon-nucleon interaction, as is the case for pions with pseudovector $(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)$ coupling and ρ mesons with tensor coupling (see section 5). For the moment we will assume that no contact terms are present - they may be added easily once the general proof has been outlined.

In developing the argument, it is convenient to first focus on the three diagrams which have photon couplings to the three lines entering any particular interior vertex, as shown schematically in Fig. 6 for one of the 6th order diagrams. Writing only the propagators and couplings for the lines included in the oval shown on each graph, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_V^\mu \rangle = I_1 S_1(p_1' + q) & \left\{ j_1^\mu(p_1' + q, p_1') S(p_1') \gamma_1^5 \tau_1^i \right. \\ & + \gamma_1^5 \tau_1^i S(p_1 + q) j_1^\mu(p_1 + q, p_1) \\ & \left. - i \gamma_1^5 \tau_1^j \Delta(p_1' - p_1 + q) j_\pi^{jis}(p_1' - p_1 + q, p_1' - p) \right\} S(p_1) \Delta(p_1' - p_1) I_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where I_1 and I_2 represent the rest of the factors in each diagram, which are the same for all three. Applying the W-T identities to these three terms will generate 6 contributions. Keeping only those terms in which one of the internal propagators (those in the curly braces in (3.1)) is annihilated, gives

$$\begin{aligned} q_\mu \langle J_V^\mu \rangle & \simeq I_1 S_1(p_1' + q) \gamma_1^5 \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \tau_1^3) \tau_1^i + \tau_1^i \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tau_1^3) \right. \\ & \left. - i \tau_1^j \epsilon^{jis} \right\} S(p_1) \Delta(p_1' - p_1) I_2 \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Hence the contributions from the three terms which annihilate internal propagators cancel. Furthermore, the three terms which annihilate propagators external to the braces, which we have not yet considered, can be grouped with terms from other diagrams to make new triplets of internal terms for surrounding, neighboring vertices, and when considered together these terms also cancel in the way described above. This argument shows that all terms cancel, except for the exceptional cases of vertices which are at one of the corners of the diagram. To complete the internal cancellation for these exceptional vertices, precisely 4 terms are needed, which include V with one of the external momenta shifted by q (or $-q$), as shown in Fig. 7. If we add these four terms to all of the others we obtain a perfect cancellation, which can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
q_\mu J_V^\mu - \frac{1}{2}(1+\tau_1^3) V(p', -\frac{1}{2}q, p; D) - \frac{1}{2}(1+\tau_2^3) V(p', \frac{1}{2}q, p; D) \\
+ V(p', p+\frac{1}{2}q; D') \frac{1}{2}(1+\tau_1^3) + V(p', p-\frac{1}{2}q; D') \frac{1}{2}(1+\tau_2^3) = 0 . \quad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using this relation together with the result obtained from the single nucleon currents, (2.19), proves that the operator (2.13), as defined at the beginning of this section, satisfies current conservation in the general case.

It is now clear how to generalize this result to apply to all mesons and other forms of meson-nucleon couplings, including those which generate contact terms. As long as the elementary tree diagrams for meson electro-production from nucleons conserve current, as illustrated in Fig. 8, the W-T identities (2.17) are satisfied (including those for other mesons), and the BS equation (2.6) applies to both the initial and final state wave function, the BS matrix elements (2.12) and (2.13) will satisfy current conservation.

4. Current Conservation with the Spectator Nucleon on Shell

In practical calculations of matrix elements of the nuclear e.m. current operator it is often convenient to use a relativistic formalism which has a smooth non-relativistic limit. For this purpose one can employ some three-

dimensional reduction of the BS equation that has the Schroedinger equation as the non-relativistic limit. The most direct quasipotential framework, at least from the point of the relativistic formulation, is the method developed by one of us¹⁴, in which the spectator particle in the relativistic impulse approximation is taken to be on its mass shell.

It will not be necessary in this section to start with the ladder approximation; because the spectator formalism can be so easily tied to Feynman diagrams, we will rely on the general results obtained in section 3 to discuss the general case immediately.

The equation for the scattering amplitude when one particle (#2) is restricted to its mass shell is

$$M(p, p'; P) = V(p, p'; P) + \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{M}{E(k_2)} V(p, k; P) S_1\left(\frac{1}{2}P+k\right) M(k, p'; P), \quad (4.1)$$

where the mass shell condition means that, in the center of mass of the pair,

$$p_0 = \frac{1}{2} W - E(p), \quad (4.2)$$

and similarly for p'_0 and k_0 , and the mass shell condition requires us to limit ourselves to the positive energy subspace of particle 2, so that the matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}(p, p'; P) &\equiv \bar{u}_2(p_2) M(p, p'; P) u_2(p_2'), \\ \bar{V}(p, p'; P) &\equiv \bar{u}_2(p_2) V(p, p'; P) u_2(p_2'), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

are sufficient for our purposes (two component spin indices of particle 2 will normally be suppressed). The nucleon propagators are as defined in section 2.

The scattering wave function is defined by

$$\phi(p, P) = P'_{op} \left\{ \frac{E(p)}{M} (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(p-p') + S_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}P + p\right) M(p, p'; P) \right\}, \quad (4.4)$$

where the projection operator P'_{op} is similar to that defined in Eq. (2.4)

$$P'_{op} \{F\} = \int d\Omega_{\hat{p}'} F_{\mu}(p') u_1^{(r)}(\vec{p}') \bar{0}_{rs}(\hat{p}') . \quad (4.5)$$

Here the sum over the spins s of the initial particle 2 is shown explicitly for clarity, but u_2 is not needed in the definition of P'_{op} (as it was for P_{op}) because the u_2 matrix element has been taken throughout (Eq. (4.3)). Substituting (4.4) into (4.1) gives the equation for ϕ

$$S_1^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} P + p \right) \phi(p, P) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{M}{E(k)} \bar{V}(p, k; P) \phi(k, P) . \quad (4.6)$$

Note that this is manifestly covariant.

Similarly, the bound state wave function can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_B(p, P) &= S_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} P + p \right) \bar{u}_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} P - p \right) \Gamma C \\ &= S_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} P + p \right) \Gamma C \bar{u}_2^T \left(\frac{1}{2} P - p \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where Γ was introduced in section 2, and the introduction of C permits us to construct Γ from the usual bilinear covariants¹⁵. The bound state wave function (4.7) also satisfies the equation (4.6).

The general form for the relativistic current can now be written in this formalism as

$$\langle J^\mu \rangle = \int \frac{d^3 p \, d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{M^2}{E(p_2) E(p_2')} \bar{\phi}_f(p', D') \hat{J}^\mu(p' D', p D) \phi_i(p, D) , \quad (4.8)$$

where the kinematic variables are as defined in Fig. 2, except that $p_2^2 = p_2'^2 = M^2$, and

$$\hat{J}^\mu(p' D', p D) = \bar{u}_2(p_2') \hat{J}^\mu(p' D', p D) u_2(p_2) , \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{J}^\mu(p'D', pD) &= (2\pi)^3 \frac{E(p_2)}{M} \delta^3(p_2 - p_2') j_1^\mu(p_1', p_1) & (a) \\
&- j_2^\mu(p_2', p_2' - q) S_2(p_2' - q) V(p'p; D) & (b) \\
&- V(p'p; D') S_2(p_2 + q) j_2^\mu(p_2 + q, p_2) & (c) \\
&+ J_V^\mu(p'D', pD) . & (d) \quad (4.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where the j_i^μ and J_V^μ were defined in section 2. The four diagrams which make up (4.10) are shown in Fig. 9. Diagrams (b) and (c) arise when the virtual photon is coupled to particle 2. Since it is impossible for both the initial and final nucleon to be on shell, two diagrams must be included corresponding to the two terms which arise when the integration contour over the relative energy is closed around the positive energy poles of particle 2. The way in which these terms originate is shown schematically in Figure 10.

There are special cases where it can be shown that the two terms (b), and (c) in (4.10) do not arise, or are not necessary for current conservation. For example, if one particle is chargeless and does not couple to the photon (even for $q^2 \neq 0$), we may choose this for the on-shell particle, and terms (b) and (c) will not contribute. For identical particles, there may also be methods of getting along without these terms. In this case, it would seem that it should be possible to eliminate these terms in favor of counting the first term (4.10a) twice. The issue is of practical importance, since each of these terms has a singularity (which, however, cancels in the sum), and they spoil some of the simplicity of the spectator nucleon approach. A general discussion of the simplest way to define the current operator in the spectator nucleon approach will be taken up elsewhere. What we will show here is that the two terms (b) and (c) are sufficient to insure current conservation in the general case.

Before presenting the algebraic proof, it is useful to see in general how current conservation works in this formalism. First, note that the relation

(3.3) still holds because this is unaffected by whether or not any of the external particles are on shell. Second, note that when a particle is on-shell, application of the operator $S^{-1}(p)$ which arises from the W-T identity gives zero. This means that when q_μ is contracted into terms like those of Fig. 9(b) and (c), only contributions from the off-shell propagation of particle 2 will survive, and these terms are cancelled by two of the four terms which arise from Eq. (3.3) (Fig. 9(a)). The result is that only terms coming from propagators connected directly to particle 1 survive; the elimination of these terms will finally require the use of the wave equation.

Algebraically, the last three terms of (4.10) give

$$\begin{aligned}
q_\mu \langle J^\mu \rangle_{(b)-(d)} &= \int \frac{d^3 p \, d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{M^2}{E(p_2) E(p_2')} \bar{\phi}_2(p', D') \bar{u}_2(p_2') \\
&\left\{ - [S_2^{-1}(p_2') - S_2^{-1}(p_2' - q)] S_2(p_2' - q) \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_2^3] V(p', p; D) \right. \\
&- V(p', p; D') \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_2^3] S_2(p_2 + q) [S_2^{-1}(p_2 + q) - S_2^{-1}(p_2)] \\
&\left. + q_\mu J_V^\mu \right\} u_2(p_2) \phi_1(p, D) \\
&= \int \frac{d^3 p \, d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{M^2}{E(p_2) E(p_2')} \bar{\phi}_2(p', D') \bar{u}_2(p_2') \\
&\times \left\{ + \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_1^3] V(p', p; D) - V(p', p; D') \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_1^3] \right\} u_2(p_2) \phi_1(p, D) \\
&= \int \frac{d^3 p \, d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{M^2}{E(p_2) E(p_2')} \phi_2(p', D') \left\{ + \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_1^3] \bar{V}(p', p; D) \right. \\
&\left. - \bar{V}(p', p; D') \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_1^3] \right\} \phi_1(p, D) , \quad (4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

where the result (3.3) was used to evaluate $q_\mu J_V^\mu$ in the general case; note that the $[1 + \tau_2^2]$ terms cancel. Finally, the first term in (4.10) simplifies using the wave equation (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_\mu \langle J^\mu \rangle_{(a)} &= \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{M}{E(p_2)} \bar{\phi}_2(p', D') \left[S_1^{-1}(p_1+q) - S_1^{-1}(p_1) \right] \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_1^2] \phi_1(p, D) \\
 &= \int \frac{d^3 p \, d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{M^2}{E(p_2) E(p_2')} \bar{\phi}_2(p', D') \left\{ \bar{V}(p', p; D') \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_1^2] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau_1^2] \bar{V}(p', p; D) \right\} \phi_1(p, D) . \quad (4.12)
 \end{aligned}$$

This term, when added to (4.11), gives zero, proving that current is conserved.

We remind the reader that the algebraic proof of conservation first presented holds for an arbitrary interaction, providing Eq. (3.3) holds for J_V^μ . While we proved this for irreducible kernels in the BS formalism, the irreducible kernel in the one-particle-on-shell formalism has extra terms which have been classified and discussed extensively elsewhere¹⁶. It is straightforward to see that these extra terms also satisfy (3.3); they differ only in having some of the internal particles on shell, and the use of the W-T identities is not affected by this restriction (any extra terms generated are zero). Hence the proof of current conservation also holds for a general irreducible kernel in this quasipotential method. It is not clear to us whether the proof can be given as easily for other quasipotential approaches¹⁷.

5. Exchange Currents with Contact Terms

The general form for the exchange current operator in the ladder approximation (2.24) applies even when the interaction involves derivative couplings, and when there is hadronic structure (form factors). Whenever there are derivative couplings in the meson-nucleon interactions, there will be additional purely transverse terms J_{TV}^μ in the exchange current operator

which depend on the interaction Lagrangian and which are not zero. While these cannot be determined by the continuity equation, it is nevertheless quite straightforward to construct them from the contact (or seagull) current operators obtained from minimal substitution of the e.m. field operator in the derivative terms in the Lagrangian. We shall illustrate this by considering single pion exchange with pseudovector coupling, which satisfies the condition of chiral invariance, and single ρ -meson exchange with tensor coupling. Modifications required by hadronic structure will be discussed in the next section.

The pseudovector πNN coupling Lagrangian is

$$L = -\frac{g}{2M} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\nu \gamma_5 \partial_\nu \phi \cdot \tau \psi , \quad (5.1)$$

where ψ , $\bar{\psi}$ are the nucleon fields and ϕ the isovector pion field. By coupling the e.m. field minimally through the derivative in this Lagrangian one obtains the point $\gamma\pi NN$ coupling²

$$L' = i \frac{eg}{2M} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\nu \gamma^5 \frac{1}{2} [\tau^3, \phi \cdot \tau] \psi A_\nu , \quad (5.2a)$$

where A_ν is the e.m. field vector. This point coupling Lagrangian will generate a contact term of the form

$$j_{\pi \text{ cont}}^{i\mu} = -\frac{g}{2M} \epsilon^{ijs} \tau^j \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \quad (5.2b)$$

The total exchange current operator will, in this case, have the form (2.24) with the interaction having the form

$$v(k) = \left[\frac{g}{2M} \right]^2 [(\gamma \cdot k) \gamma^5]_1 [(\gamma \cdot k) \gamma^5]_2 \Delta(k) , \quad (5.3)$$

with the additional transverse current operator (in the notation of Fig. 3(c))

$$J_{\pi\pi}^{\mu} = i(\vec{\tau}_1 \times \vec{\tau}_2)^3 \left(\frac{g}{2M}\right)^2 \left\{ g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{K^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{K \cdot q} \right\} \left\{ (k \cdot \gamma \gamma^5)_1 (\gamma_{\nu} \gamma^5)_2 \Delta(k') + (k \cdot \gamma \gamma^5)_2 (\gamma_{\nu} \gamma^5)_1 \Delta(k) \right\} \quad (5.4)$$

As a final example we consider the ρ -meson exchange interaction, taking the ρNN coupling to be

$$L_{\rho NN} = -g_{\rho} \bar{\psi} \{ \gamma^{\mu} - \frac{\kappa}{2M} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \} \rho_{\mu} \psi, \quad (5.5)$$

where g_{ρ} is the vector and κ the tensor coupling constant and ρ_{μ} is the isovector vector meson field. The corresponding ρ -meson exchange interaction is in the notation (2.23)

$$v(k) = -g_{\rho}^2 \Delta_{\rho}(k) \left\{ \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 - \frac{1}{m_{\rho}^2} \gamma_1 \cdot k \gamma_2 \cdot k + i \frac{\kappa}{2M} (\sigma_1^{\mu\nu} \gamma_{2\mu} k_{\nu} - \sigma_2^{\mu\nu} \gamma_{1\mu} k_{\nu}) - \frac{\kappa^2}{4M^2} \sigma_1^{\mu\alpha} k_{\alpha} \sigma_{2\mu\beta} k^{\beta} \right\}. \quad (5.6)$$

Here the propagator is defined as

$$\Delta_{\rho}^{\mu\nu}(k) = P^{\mu\nu}(k) \Delta_{\rho}(k) \quad (5.7a)$$

with

$$\Delta_{\rho}^{-1}(k) = k^2 - m_{\rho}^2, \quad (5.7b)$$

$$P^{\mu\nu}(k) = g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{k^{\mu}k^{\nu}}{m_{\rho}^2} \quad (5.7c)$$

with m_{ρ} being the mass of the ρ -meson. Note that the term proportional to m_{ρ}^{-2} in the interaction vanishes for on shell nucleons.

The electromagnetic couplings that are necessary for the gauge invariant construction of the ρ -meson exchange current operator are obtained by minimal

substitution of the e.m. field A_μ in the derivative tensor coupling term in (5.5) and in the free ρ -meson Lagrangian

$$L_\rho = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} \cdot F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} m_\rho^2 \rho^\mu \cdot \rho_\mu . \quad (5.8)$$

Here the ρ -meson field tensor is defined as

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\nu \rho^\mu - \partial^\mu \rho^\nu . \quad (5.9)$$

Minimal substitution in the ρN coupling (5.5) yields the contact $\rho\gamma NN$ coupling

$$L_\rho' = ie \frac{g_\rho \kappa}{2M} \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} A_\nu \frac{1}{2} [\tau^3, \rho_{\mu\nu} \cdot \mathcal{J}] \psi, \quad (5.10)$$

and, in the free ρ -meson Lagrangian (5.8), the $\gamma\rho$ -coupling interaction

$$L_{\gamma\rho}' = e A_\mu \epsilon^{ijs} \rho_\nu^i (\partial^\mu \rho^{j\nu} - \partial^\nu \rho^{j\mu}) . \quad (5.11)$$

In the notation of Fig. 3(c) with $K = k + k'$ this leads to the following point $\gamma\rho\rho$ vertex

$$\begin{aligned} j_\rho^{ij, \alpha\beta, \mu}(k', k) &= + i \epsilon^{ijs} \Gamma_\rho^{\alpha\beta, \mu}(k', k) \\ \Gamma_\rho^{\alpha\beta, \mu}(k', k) &= g^{\alpha\beta} K^\mu - k^\alpha g^{\mu\beta} - g^{\alpha\mu} k^\beta \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where i, α are isospin index and 4-vector spin index of the outgoing ρ , and j, β are the corresponding quantities for the incoming ρ . Γ_ρ satisfies the W-T identity

$$q_\mu \Gamma_\rho^{\alpha\beta, \mu}(k', k) = R^{\alpha\beta}(k') - R^{\alpha\beta}(k) \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$R^{\alpha\beta}(k) = \left[\Delta_\rho^{\alpha\beta}(k) \right]^{-1} = g^{\alpha\beta} (k^2 - m_\rho^2) - k^\alpha k^\beta \quad (5.14)$$

With these definitions, it can be shown that the total ρ -meson exchange current takes the form (2.24) with the interaction (5.6) and an additional transverse term $J_{T\rho}^\mu$ of the form

$$\text{where } J_{T\rho}^\mu = i (\mathcal{L}_1 \times \mathcal{L}_2)^3 g_\rho^2 \left[I_1^\mu + \frac{\kappa}{2M} I_2^\mu + \left(\frac{\kappa}{2M} \right)^2 I_3^\mu \right] \quad (5.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^\mu &= - \Delta_\rho(k) \Delta_\rho(k') \left\{ \gamma_1^\mu [\gamma_2 \cdot k' - m_\rho^{-2} (k \cdot k') \gamma_2 \cdot k] \right. \\ &\quad + m_\rho^{-2} k^\mu \gamma_1^\alpha \gamma_2^\beta (k'_\alpha k'_\beta - k_\alpha k_\beta) \frac{\Delta^{-1}(k)}{k \cdot q} \\ &\quad \left. + (1 \leftrightarrow 2 \text{ and } k' \leftrightarrow k) \right\} \\ I_2^\mu &= - i \Delta_\rho(k) \left[\frac{K^\mu}{K \cdot q} \sigma_1^{\alpha\beta} q_\beta - \sigma_1^{\alpha\mu} \right] \gamma_{2\alpha} \\ &\quad + i \Delta_\rho(k) \Delta_\rho(k') \left\{ \sigma_1^{\alpha\beta} q_\alpha k'_\beta [\gamma_2^\mu + m_\rho^{-2} k^\mu \gamma_2 \cdot k] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sigma_1^{\mu\beta} k'_\beta [\gamma_2 \cdot q + m_\rho^{-2} (q \cdot k') \gamma_2 \cdot k] \right\} \\ &\quad + i \Delta_\rho(k) \sigma_1^{\mu\beta} q_\beta m_\rho^{-2} (\gamma_2 \cdot k) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2 \text{ and } k' \leftrightarrow k) \\ I_3^\mu &= \Delta_\rho(k) \left[\sigma_1^{\mu\alpha} - \sigma_1^{\beta\alpha} q_\beta \frac{K^\mu}{K \cdot q} \right] \sigma_{2\alpha\beta} k^\beta \\ &\quad - \Delta_\rho(k) \Delta_\rho(k') \sigma_1^{\mu\alpha} k'_\alpha \sigma_2^{\beta\nu} k'_\beta k_\nu + (1 \leftrightarrow 2 \text{ and } k' \leftrightarrow k) \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

We leave it to the reader to verify that $q_\mu I_1^\mu = 0$.

Finally, we note that it is not difficult to relax the restrictions on the magnetic and quadrupole moments of the ρ implied by (5.12). If two purely transverse terms are added to (5.12):

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\rho^{*\alpha\beta,\mu}(k',k) &= \Gamma_\rho^{\alpha\beta,\mu}(k',k) \\ &\quad - (\mu^* - 1) [g^{\alpha\mu} q^\beta - q^\alpha g^{\mu\beta}] \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{m_\rho^2} (Q^* + \mu^* - 1) \left[q^\alpha q^\beta K^\mu - \frac{1}{2} (K \cdot q) (q^\alpha g^{\mu\beta} + g^{\alpha\mu} q^\beta) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

it can be shown¹⁸ that μ^* and Q^* are related to the magnetic moment, μ_ρ , and quadrupole moment Q_ρ of the ρ^+

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^* &= m_\rho \mu_\rho \\ Q^* &= \frac{1}{4} m_\rho^2 Q_\rho\end{aligned}\tag{5.18}$$

(Note that $\mu^* = 1$ and $Q^* = 0$ is implied if these terms are absent.) Since these are purely transverse, they contribute only to the transverse part of the current.

The relaxation of the conditions $\mu^* = 1$ and $Q^* = 0$ is associated with internal structure of the ρ -meson, and consistent treatment of this structure requires the discussion in the next section.

6. Current Conservation and Exchange Currents in the Presence of Hadronic Structure

Our discussion so far has been limited to the case where the meson-nucleon and photon-hadron vertices are all pointlike. In this section we will describe how these results can be generalized to the case where electromagnetic form factors are inserted at the photon-hadron vertices, and where strong form factors are used at the meson-nucleon vertices. Our approach will be very general, and we will show that (1) phenomenological strong form factors can be inserted at the meson-hadron vertices without spoiling the general results of the previous sections, provided modifications dictated by the W-T identities in the off-shell current operators are made and (2) empirical electromagnetic form factors appropriate for each particle may be used in the current operators. In particular, the latter result means that the form factors used in pion exchange currents can be different from those for the nucleon without violating current conservation. This is important in practical calculations of MEC effects.

The key to our approach is illustrated in Fig. 11. Here the meson (pion in this example) exchange potential is regularized by a phenomenological form factor $f_\pi(k^2)$, where k is the four-momentum carried by the meson, and $f_\pi(\mu^2) =$

1. In our treatment, we will regard this form factor as a phenomenological self energy correction, as illustrated in Fig. 11(b), so that the meson propagators used in the previous sections of the paper are modified

$$\Delta(k) = \frac{f_{\pi}^2(k^2)}{k^2 - \mu^2} = (k^2 - \mu^2 + \Pi(k^2))^{-1} \quad (6.1)$$

where Π is introduced for convenience only, and

$$\Pi(k^2) = \left[\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^2(k^2)} - 1 \right] (k^2 - \mu^2) . \quad (6.2)$$

The normalization of f_{π} ensures that

$$\Pi(\mu^2) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial k^2} \Pi(k^2) \right|_{k^2 = \mu^2} = 0 \quad (6.3)$$

so that Δ is suitable renormalized.

The current operators for the meson will then be modified in two ways. First, we will introduce phenomenological electromagnetic form factor(s), and second, we will require the W-T identity to hold with the new propagators Δ given in Eq. (6.1). Using the pion as an example of the general approach, we introduce structure into the meson electromagnetic vertex

$$j_{\pi}^{ij\mu}(k', k) = -i \Gamma_{\pi}^{\mu}(k', k) \epsilon^{ijs} \quad (6.4a)$$

and define a reduced vertex function Γ_{π} by

$$\Gamma_{\pi}^{\mu}(k', k) = f_{\pi} f'_{\pi} \Gamma_{\pi R}^{\mu}(k', k) \quad (6.4b)$$

where $f_{\pi} = f_{\pi}(k^2)$ and $f'_{\pi} = f_{\pi}(k'^2)$. It is the reduced vertex function which satisfies the W-T identity with the modified propagator (6.1) as illustrated in Fig. 12. For the pion, the most general form such a vertex can take is

$$\Gamma_{\pi R}^{\mu}(k', k) = A(q^2, k'^2, k^2) \left[K^{\mu} - \frac{K \cdot q}{q^2} q^{\mu} \right] + B(q^2, k'^2, k^2) K^{\mu} \quad (6.5)$$

where, to avoid kinematic singularities, we require that at $q^2 = 0$

$$A(0, k'^2, k^2) = 0 \quad (6.6a)$$

and to reproduce the empirical pion form factor,

$$A(q^2, \mu^2, \mu^2) + B(q^2, \mu^2, \mu^2) = F_{\pi}(q^2) . \quad (6.6b)$$

B can be uniquely determined from the W-T identity (2.17), and a simple calculation gives

$$B(q^2, k'^2, k^2) = B(k'^2, k^2) = 1 + \frac{\Pi(k'^2) - \Pi(k^2)}{k'^2 - k^2} \quad (6.7)$$

which is finite at $k'^2 = k^2$ and satisfies

$$B(\mu^2, \mu^2) = 1 \quad (6.8)$$

Hence, from (6.6b)

$$A(q^2, \mu^2, \mu^2) = F_{\pi}(q^2) - 1 \quad (6.9)$$

which is consistent with (6.6a). Except for this condition A is arbitrary and the most general form of A can be written

$$A(q^2, k'^2, k^2) = \left[F_{\pi}(q^2) - 1 \right] \gamma(q^2, k'^2, k^2) \quad (6.10)$$

where γ is any function free of kinematic singularities and symmetric in k^2 and k'^2 which satisfies

$$\gamma(q^2, \mu^2, \mu^2) = 1 \quad (6.11a)$$

The fact that the transverse part of the current operator cannot be uniquely determined is not a surprise, but it has been customary in MEC calculations to make the simplest assumptions about the form of the current operators. The importance of MEC, and the possibility that the underlying quark structure of mesons and nucleons could well lead to modifications of the current operators when the mesons are off-shell, suggests that this arbitrariness should be taken into account in future studies, and that either data or quark calculations are needed to fix the off-shell function γ .

In order to parameterize γ realistically, we note that it is the combination of A + B which is seen in electron scattering (since the q_μ term is zero when contracted into the conserved electron current) and since B does not depend on q^2 it must be cancelled if the total current operator is to go to zero at large q^2 . This requires that γ approach B at large q^2

$$\gamma(q^2, k'^2, k^2) \rightarrow B(k'^2, k^2) \quad \text{as } q^2 \rightarrow \infty \quad (6.11b)$$

A simple form which satisfied both of these conditions (6.11) is

$$\gamma(q^2, k'^2, k^2) = B(k'^2, k^2) \left[\frac{1 - F_0(q^2)}{1 - F_\pi(q^2)} \right] + 1 - \frac{1 - F_0(q^2)}{1 - F_\pi(q^2)} \quad (6.12)$$

where F_0 approaches zero as q^2 approaches infinity and is normalized to unity at $q^2 = 0$, but is otherwise arbitrary. With this choice, $\Gamma_{\pi R}^\mu$ becomes

$$\Gamma_{\pi R}^{\mu}(q^2, k'^2, k^2) = \left\{ F_{\pi}(q^2) - F_0(q^2) + F_0(q^2) \left[\frac{\Delta^{-1}(k') - \Delta^{-1}(k)}{k'^2 - k^2} \right] \right\} \left(K^{\mu} - \frac{K \cdot q}{q^2} q^{\mu} \right) + [\Delta^{-1}(k') - \Delta^{-1}(k)] \frac{q^{\mu}}{q^2} \quad (6.13)$$

Note that this form gives $F_{\pi}(q^2)$ when both mesons are on-shell, and that, if $k'^2 = k^2$,

$$\Gamma_{\pi R}^{\mu}(q^2, k^2, k^2) = \left\{ F_{\pi}(q^2) + F_0(q^2) \left[\frac{d \Delta^{-1}(k)}{dk^2} - 1 \right] \right\} K^{\mu} + q^{\mu} \text{ term} \quad (6.14)$$

However, with the strong form factors

$$\frac{d \Delta^{-1}(k)}{dk^2} - 1 < 0 \quad (6.15)$$

if $k^2 < \mu^2$ (which is the normal case in nuclei), and hence F_0 subtracts from F_{π} , making the effective pion form factor decrease more rapidly with Q^2 . This is what would be expected if the pion were to become larger in the nuclear medium. Note that in this model, the size of this effect depends on how far the pions are off mass shell.

Using (6.13) we can cast our new pion MEC into the form (2.24) with $v(k)$ defined by Eq. (2.10), (2.23) and (6.1) and with the transverse term given by

$$J_{\pi R}^{\mu} = i(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^3 g^2 \gamma_1^5 \gamma_2^5 \left(K^{\mu} - \frac{K \cdot q}{q^2} q^{\mu} \right) \times \left\{ \left[F_{\pi}(q^2) - F_0(q^2) \right] \Delta(k) \Delta(k') + \left[F_0(q^2) - 1 \right] \frac{\Delta(k) - \Delta(k')}{k'^2 - k^2} \right\} \quad (6.16)$$

Note that the pion form factors occur only in the purely transverse part of the exchange current, and hence are in no way constrained by the requirements of current conservation.

Structure can also be included in the case of pseudovector coupling. Here a new complication arises - how should structure be included in the $\gamma\pi NN$ contact term? One way, which is probably not unique, is to generalize (5.2b) and introduce a reduced contact term

$$j_{\pi\text{cont}}^{i\mu}(k) = \epsilon^{ij3} \tau^j f_{\pi}(k) \Gamma_{\pi c}^{\mu}(q) \quad (6.17)$$

where k is the 4-momentum of the incoming pion, q is the 4-momentum of the incoming virtual photon, and

$$\Gamma_{\pi c}^{\mu}(q) = \frac{g}{2M} \left\{ \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 + [F_c(q^2) - 1] \gamma_c \left(\gamma^{\mu} - \frac{\gamma \cdot q}{q^2} q^{\mu} \right) \gamma^5 \right\} \quad (6.18)$$

When used with a conserved current, the q^{μ} term in the transverse part vanishes, and if $\gamma_c = 1$ as $q^2 \rightarrow \infty$, the entire term goes to zero as $F_c(q^2)$, the arbitrary form factor of the contact term. The first term will play the same role as it did in the case without structure which was treated in section 5; the second term will contribute an additional transverse term to (6.16).

Next we turn to the ρ -meson exchange current. Here the same techniques can be used, and the additional degrees of freedom associated with the spin one nature of the ρ offer more choices for construction of the current operators, suggesting that the ρ exchange current will be less effectively constrained by current conservation.

If a single strong form factor is introduced at the ρNN vertex, $f_{\rho}(k^2)$, leading to a phenomenological self energy, the longitudinal part of the $\gamma\rho\rho$ vertex will be modified through the W-T identity. Defining the reduced $\gamma\rho\rho$ vertex

$$\Gamma_{\rho}^{\alpha\beta,\mu}(k',k) = f_{\rho} f'_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho\rho}^{\alpha\beta,\mu}(k',k) \quad (6.19)$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}\rho}^{\alpha\beta,\mu}(k',k) &= g^{\alpha\beta} K^\mu B_\rho(k',k) \\
&- [g^{\alpha\mu} k'^\beta + k'^\alpha g^{\mu\beta}] C_\rho^+(k',k) \\
&- [k'^\alpha k'^\beta + k'^\alpha k^\beta] C_\rho^-(k',k) \frac{K^\mu}{K \cdot q}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
B_\rho(k',k) &= 1 + \frac{\Pi_\rho(k'^2) - \Pi_\rho(k^2)}{k'^2 - k^2} \\
C_\rho^\pm(k',k) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{f'^2} \pm \frac{1}{f^2} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

Up to arbitrary purely transverse terms, this result seems to be unique if terms with kinematic singularities are excluded.

Transverse terms which contain electromagnetic form factors can now be added. One form, which satisfies all of the restrictions discussed in the beginning of this section, is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}\rho}^{\alpha\beta,\mu}(k',k) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}\rho}^{\alpha\beta,\mu}(k',k) + [F_1(q^2) - 1] \gamma_1 g^{\alpha\beta} \left[K^\mu - \frac{K \cdot q}{q^2} q^\mu \right] \\
&- [F_2(q^2) - 1] \gamma_+ \left[k'^\alpha g^{\mu\beta} + g^{\alpha\mu} k'^\beta + \frac{q^\mu}{q^2} (k'^\alpha k^\beta - k'^\alpha k'^\beta) \right] \\
&- F_2(q^2) (\mu^* - 1) \left[g^{\alpha\mu} q^\beta - q^\alpha g^{\mu\beta} \right] \\
&- (F_4(q^2) - 1) \gamma_- (k'^\alpha k'^\beta + k'^\alpha k^\beta) \left(\frac{K^\mu}{K \cdot q} - \frac{q^\mu}{q^2} \right) \\
&\frac{-2 F_3(q^2)}{m_\rho^2} \left[q^\alpha q^\beta K^\mu - \frac{1}{2} (K \cdot q) (q^\alpha g^{\mu\beta} + g^{\alpha\mu} q^\beta) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are arbitrary functions which are unity on-shell and which approach as $q^2 \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 & \rightarrow B_\rho \\ \gamma_2 & \rightarrow C_\rho^\pm.\end{aligned}\tag{6.23}$$

If these conditions are satisfied, $\Gamma_{R\rho}^{*\mu} \rightarrow 0$ as $q^2 \rightarrow \infty$, and when both ρ 's are on-shell, it reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_\alpha^{*\nu} \Gamma_{R\rho}^{*\mu} \xi_\beta^{\alpha\beta,\mu} &= F_1(q^2) \xi^{*\nu} \cdot \xi K^\mu \\ &+ \mu^* F_2(q^2) \left[(\xi^{*\nu} \cdot q) \xi^\mu - \xi^{*\nu\mu} (\xi \cdot q) \right] \\ &- \frac{2 F_3(q^2)}{m_\rho^2} (\xi^{*\nu} \cdot q) (\xi \cdot q) K^\mu\end{aligned}\tag{6.24}$$

which is the correct form provided $F_1(0) = F_2(0) = 1$ and $F_3(0) = Q^* + \mu^* - 1$.

The reduced ρ contact term, defined as for the pion in Eq. (6.17), can be taken to be

$$\Gamma_{\rho c}^{\nu\mu}(q) = \frac{g_\rho}{2M} \left\{ \sigma^{\nu\mu} + \left[F_c(q^2) - 1 \right] \left(\sigma^{\nu\mu} - \sigma^{\nu\alpha} \frac{q_\alpha q^\mu}{q^2} \right) \right\}\tag{6.25}$$

where ν and μ are the ρ and γ vector indices, respectively.

We leave it to the reader to confirm (2.24) for this ρ exchange current and to obtain the explicit form for the transverse current.

We conclude this section by discussing the off-shell nucleon current briefly. Clearly the method developed above can be applied to this case also. The current operator for a single nucleon with internal structure is usually written in the form

$$j^\mu(p', p) = F_1 \gamma^\mu + i \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu}}{2M} q_\nu F_2\tag{6.26}$$

The isospin structure of the two form factors F_1 and F_2 is

$$F_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[F_{1,2}^S(q) + F_{1,2}^V(q) \tau_3 \right]. \quad (6.27)$$

The current operator (6.26) does not satisfy the W-T identity (2.17). A simple generalization of (6.26) which does satisfy the identity would be

$$j^\mu(p', p) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ F_1^S(q) - 1 + [F_1^V(q) - 1] \tau_3 \right\} \left[\gamma^\mu - \frac{q^\mu (\gamma \cdot q)}{q^2} \right] \\ + \frac{1+\tau_3}{2} \gamma^\mu - \frac{i}{2M} \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu F_2. \quad (6.28)$$

With this generalization the terms that involve the form factors drop out from the current divergence (2.17) and hence the continuity equation conditions derived for the exchange current in sections 2 and 3 still apply. Furthermore, on shell (and even off shell when used with the conserved electron current so that the q^μ term vanishes) this reduces to the usual form (6.26).

7. Summary and Discussion

There are three principal results of this paper.

(1) A general method for constructing matrix elements of the electromagnetic current of a relativistic two body system, which ensures that the current is conserved, is given. This method requires that

(a) all relativistic one body current operators satisfy the appropriate Wark-Takahashi identity,

(b) the initial and final interacting two body system satisfy the same relativistic equation with the same interaction kernel, which is assumed to be a know sum of relativistic Feynman diagrams, and

(c) the current operator includes, in addition to the one body current operators, interaction currents built up from the interaction kernels by coupling the virtual photon to all possible nucleon and meson lines inside the kernel.

We have demonstrated that this procedure works for the Bethe-Salpeter formalism, where our principle results are Eqns. (2.12), (2.13), and (3.3), and for the formalism where the spectator is on-shell, Eqns. (4.8) and (4.10).

(2) The method described above places no constraint on the introduction of phenomenological electromagnetic form factors for the hadrons. In particular, different form factors can be used for the nucleon, pion, and ρ -meson, and arbitrary magnetic and quadrupole form factors can be used in ρ -meson exchange currents. The result, displayed in Eqns. (2.24), (6.16), and (6.22) comes from the fact that one body currents can be constructed in which all electromagnetic form factors occur in purely transverse terms, which are unconstrained by current conservation.

(3) The general method also permits the introduction of strong hadronic form factors at all meson-NN vertices. Such form factors require modification of the current operators through constraints imposed by the W-T identities, but our discussion shows that this can be done in all cases of interest.

The overall conclusion is that it is possible to carry out consistent relativistic calculations of electromagnetic interactions of two nucleon systems which conserve current and which include necessary phenomenological form factors due to the internal hadronic structure. We have found a way to separate the problem of internal hadronic structure from the problem of the dynamics of relativistic meson theory. The hadronic structure can be

calculated from the underlying quark degrees of freedom, and the resulting form factors and coupling constants inserted in a relativistic meson theory which conserves current. We make no claim that this procedure will give correct answers, only that it can be carried out consistently. This result is of importance to the program planned for CEBAF.

The role of W-T identities in obtaining conserved currents for relativistic two body systems has been previously pointed out by Bentz¹⁹, who obtains two body ward identities for the two body system directly from field theory. This derivation, which is complementary to our diagramatic approach, leads to relations similar to (2.19) and (3.3). (These are Eqns. (2.19b) and (2.29) in Ref. 19.) Bentz also discusses PCAC, but does not discuss the introduction of hadronic structure. The presence of ambiguities in the definition of off shell currents has been previously emphasized by deForest²⁰, who also pointed out that, if one is not careful, kinematic singularities can arise from any q^μ terms added to current operators to preserve current conservation. In our work we have been careful to eliminate these kinematic singularities.

It should be possible, by taking the non-relativistic limit, to use the results of this paper to resolve the outstanding issue as to whether G_E or F_1 is the correct form factor to use in pion exchange calculations. We have not done this, but we note that our results show that different form factors are permitted for the pion and nucleon, and $\gamma\pi NN$ contact term, so that the usual non-relativistic pion exchange current, which is a sum of these different pieces, is probably not correctly described by a single form factor. Furthermore, the freedom to adjust the off-shell form factors, as discussed in section 6, shows that a unique answer within the framework of relativistic meson theory (where hadronic structure is treated phenomenologically) is not possible. We are inclined to think that the question of F_1 vs. G_E is transcended by the ambiguities and inconsistencies inherent in non-relativistic calculations which treat the NN dynamics and meson interaction currents as completely independent quantities. What is needed is a fully relativistic calculation of both the NN interaction and the two nucleon electromagnetic current which uses the same strong meson-NN form factors and hadron electromagnetic form factors consistently throughout. Such a program is currently being developed.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the NSF through Grant #PHY-8304313, and by DOE through CEBAF.

References

1. M. Rho and D. Wilkinson, eds., *Mesons in Nuclei*, Vol. 2, North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam (19).
2. D.O. Riska, *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics*, 11, 199 (1984).
3. F. Gross, *Phys. Rev.* 140, B410 (1965); *Few Body Dynamics*, Proc. of the VII Int. Conf. on Few Body Problems, edited by A.N. Mitra, et al. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976) p. 523.
4. D.O. Riska, *Physica Scripta* 31, 107 (1985).
5. D.O. Riska and M. Poppius, *Physics Scripta* 32, 581 (1985).
6. E.M. Nyman, *Nucl. Phys.* B1, 535 (1967).
7. D.O. Riska, *Physica Scripta* 31, 471 (1985).
8. J.C. Ward, *Phys. Rev.* 78, 182 (1950); Y. Takahashi, *Nuovo Cimento* 6, 371 (1957).
9. J.L. Friar and S. Fallieros, *Phys. Rev. C* 13, 2571 (1976).
10. J. Thakar and J.A. Lock, *Phys. Lett.* 67B, 29 (1977).
11. J. Adam and E. Truhlik, *Czech. J. Phys.* B34, 1157 (1984).
12. M.A. Maize and Y.E. Kim, *Nucl. Phys.* A420, 365 (1984).
13. E.E. Salpeter and H.A. Bethe, *Phys. Rev.* 84, 1232 (1951).
14. F. Gross, *Phys. Rev.* 186, 1448 (1969); D10 223 (1974); C26 2203 (1982).
15. W.W. Buck and F. Gross, *Phys. Rev. D* 20, 2361 (1979).
16. See the third paper of reference 14.
17. W. Jaus and W.S. Woolcock, *Nucl. Phys.* A421, 669 (1984); *Hel. Phys. Acta*, 57, 644 (1984).
18. F. Gross, *Phys. Rev.* 136, B140 (1964); 142, 1025 (1966); 152, 1517E (1966).
19. W. Bentz, *Nucl. Phys.* A446, 678 (1985). This work came to our attention after we had completed the bulk of our work.
20. T. deForest, *Nucl. Phys.* A392, 232 (1983).

Figure Captions

- Figure 1 Diagrammatic representation of the BS equation (2.1) for the scattering amplitude, showing the notation used for the various four-momenta.
- Figure 2 Diagrammatic representation of the matrix element (2.12) of the two body current in the BS formalism between initial (i) and final (f) relativistic two body states.
- Figure 3 Diagrammatic representation of the three terms in Eqn. (2.13) for the BS equation in the ladder approximation.
- Figure 4 The irreducible kernel for the BS equation up to 6th order, for the case when all ladders and crossed ladders are summed.
- Figure 5 The interaction current generated by the crossed box diagram in the BS theory. The virtual photon is coupled to all particles inside the diagram (as enclosed by the oval) but is not coupled to the external nucleons.
- Figure 6 Illustration of the three terms given in Eqn. (3.1) which arise from the coupling of the virtual photon to all particles entering (or leaving) a single vertex (indicated with a small circle in Fig. (a)) in the interior of of a higher order diagram (6th order in this case).
- Figure 7 Diagrammatic representation of the last four terms in Eqn. (3.3). In each diagram, q enters at the location of the black dot, and the momenta are labeled so that before (for the top row) or after (for the bottom row) the 4 momenta of each nucleon have the canonical values introduced in Fig. 2.
- Figure 8 The tree diagrams for electroproduction of mesons, including a possible contact term. The small x's on the external lines indicate that the particles are on their mass-shell. If these diagrams conserve current, then the interaction current as constructed in the text will satisfy Eq. (3.3), and the full two body current (2.13) will also conserve current.
- Figure 9 Diagrammatic representation of the four terms in Eq. (4.10). The small x's mean that the particle is on the mass-shell; the internal integrations are therefore over three-momenta only and propagations of mass-shell particles are replaced by two component spin sums over matrix elements constructed from mass-shell positive energy spinors, as in (4.3).
- Figure 10 The figure shows the origin of the two terms (b) and (c) in Eqn. (4.10) and illustrated in Fig. 9(b) and 9(c).

Figure 11

Two equivalent ways of viewing the strong form factors at the meson-NN vertices. (a) Form factor $f(k^2)$ at each vertex with bare propagators, and (b) point interactions with a phenomenological self energy $\Pi(k^2) = f^2(k^2)$.

Figure 12

(a) The normal current operator with form factors at the meson-NN vertices. (b) Reduced current operator with phenomenological self energies and point meson-NN vertices. The reduced current operator is defined so that both expressions are identical.




Figure 1







Figure 2



(a)



(b)



(c)

Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7

$$\mathbf{q}_\mu \left(\begin{array}{c}
 \text{Diagram 1} + \text{Diagram 2} \\
 + \text{Diagram 3} + \text{Diagram 4}
 \end{array} \right) = \mathbf{0}$$

The figure shows four Feynman diagrams arranged in a 2x2 grid, enclosed in large parentheses. Each diagram features a horizontal solid line with two 'x' marks on either side of a central dot.

 - Top-left: A wavy line connects the central dot to a vertex above it. A dashed line with an 'x' mark extends from this vertex to the right.


 - Top-right: A wavy line connects the central dot to a vertex above it. A dashed line with an 'x' mark extends from this vertex to the right, crossing the wavy line.

 - Bottom-left: A dashed line with an 'x' mark extends from the central dot to a vertex above it. A wavy line connects this vertex to the left.


 - Bottom-right: A dashed line with an 'x' mark extends from the central dot to a vertex above it. A wavy line connects this vertex to the left, crossing the dashed line.

 Plus signs are placed between the diagrams: between the top two, between the bottom two, and between the top and bottom rows. The entire expression is followed by an equals sign and a bold zero.


Figure 8




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Figure 9







Figure 10




(a)





(b)

Figure 11



(a)



(b)

Figure 12