The Dipole Model & the DAF-BFKL Pomeron @ HERA and LHeC & EIC

Henri Kowalski

BNL- JLAB
24,29 of September 2008

Ongoing Investigation
First talks at Columbia & Hampton Universities, May 2008



Evidence for the discrete asymptotically-free BFKL Pomeron from HERA data

J. Ellis [a], H. Kowalski [b], D.A. Ross [a,c,*]

Physics Letters B in print

Outline of the talk:

Short review of low x HERA data, Dipole Picture, Saturation, oomph factor and all that

Why Pomeron at HERA?,

What is DAF-BFKL Pomeron
Evidence for DAF-Pomeron from HERA data

Relation with DGLAP
MRST ←→ EKR

Pomeron-Graviton Correspondence

Consequences for RHIC, LHC, EIC, LHeC

Low-x Physics @ HERA



- At low x and high Q^2, steep rise in structure function



Behavior of F_2 is dominated by gluon density at small-x

Hard Diffraction – the HERA surprise

Non-Diffractive Event





$$\tau_{qq} \approx \frac{1}{\Delta E} \approx \frac{1}{m_p x} \approx 10 - 1000 \quad \text{fm}$$

Diffractive Event

expected before HERA <0.01%, seen over 10% at Q^2=10 GeV^2





Diffraction at HERA is so large because it is a shadow of DIS (i.e. inelastic processes) ➔ dipole picture

$$\sigma_{tot}^{\gamma^* p} = \frac{1}{W^2} Im A_{el}(W^2, t = 0)$$

Dipole description of DIS

equivalent to Parton Picture in the perturbative region

momentum space



configuration space



dipole preserves its size during interaction.

$\sigma_{qq} \sim r^2 xg(x,\mu)$ for small r

$$\left|\Psi_T^f\right|^2 = \frac{3\alpha_{em}}{2\pi^2} e_q^2 \{[z^2+(1-z)^2]\varepsilon^2 K_1^2(\varepsilon r) + m_q^2 K_0^2(\varepsilon r)\}$$

$$\left|\Psi_L^f\right|^2 = \frac{3\alpha_{em}}{2\pi^2} e_q^2 \{4Q^2 z^2 (1-z)^2 K_0^2(\varepsilon r)\}$$

$$\varepsilon^2 = z(1-z)Q^2 + m_q^2$$

$\varepsilon r << 1$

$Q^2 \sim 1/r^2$

Optical T

Mueller, Nikolaev, Zakharov

$$\sigma_{tot}^{\gamma^* p} = \int d^2\vec{r} \int_0^1 dz \Psi^* \sigma_{q\bar{q}}(x, r^2) \Psi$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{VM}^{\gamma^* p}}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \left| \int d^2\vec{r} \int_0^1 dz \Psi_{VM}^*(Q^2, z, \vec{r})\ \sigma_{q\bar{q}}(x, r^2) \Psi(Q^2, z, \vec{r}) \right|^2$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{diff}^{\gamma^* p}}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^2\vec{r} \int_0^1 dz \Psi^* \sigma_{q\bar{q}}^2(x, r^2) \Psi$$

Total $\gamma^* p$ cross-section





$$\sigma^{\gamma^* p} \sim (W^2)^{\lambda_{tot}} \sim (1/x)^{\lambda_{tot}}$$

$$\mu^2 = \frac{C}{r^2} + \mu_0^2$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{q\bar{q}}}{d^2 b} = 2\left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2}{2N_c} r^2 \alpha_S(\mu^2) x g(x,\mu^2) T(b)\right)\right] \qquad x g(x,\mu_0^2) = A_g \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\lambda_g} (1-x)^{5.6}$$

b-Sat

$$\frac{d\sigma_{q\bar{q}}}{d^2 b} \equiv 2\mathcal{N}(x,r,b) = 2 \times \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}_0 \left(\frac{rQ_s}{2}\right)^{2\left(\gamma_s + \frac{1}{\kappa\lambda Y} \ln \frac{2}{rQ_s}\right)} & : \quad rQ_s \le 2 \\ 1 - e^{-A \ln^2(BrQ_s)} & : \quad rQ_s > 2 \end{cases}$$

b- CGC
IIM+KMW

Low-x Physics @ HERA





$$\sigma_{tot}^{\gamma^* p} = \frac{1}{W^2} Im A_{el}(W^2)$$



$$x \approx \frac{Q^2}{W^2} < 0.01$$

$$\sigma_{tot}^{\gamma^* P}(W,Q^2) = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_{em}}{Q^2} \cdot F_2(x,Q^2)$$

Diffraction at HERA is a shadow of DIS

➔

dipole picture, equivalent to LO p-QCD for small dipoles, Q~1/r



NNPZ, AM, GLM, FKS, GBW
DGKP, BGBK, IIM, FSS……
KT - Kowalski, Teaney
KMW - K, Motyka, Watt
KLMV - K, Lappi, Marquet, Venugopalan



- H1 F_L data from arXiv:0805.2809.

K+Watt

Dipole Picture-gluon density convoluted with the dipole wave functions ➔ simultaneous prediction/description of many reactions



KT

F_2^c





Diffractive Di-jets

$Q^2 > 5$ GeV^2



Diffractive production of a $q\bar{q}$ pair



$\tilde{f}(x_{I\!P}, l_t^2)$ ~ *probability to find a Pomeron(2g) in p*

$$= \text{Fourier transform of } \sigma_{qq}$$

$$\tilde{f}(x_{I\!P}, l_t^2) = \frac{3\,\sigma_0}{4\pi^2} R_0^2(x_{I\!P}) l_t^2 \exp(-R_0^2(x_{I\!P})\, l_t^2)$$

$$\left.\frac{d\sigma^T}{dt\, dM^2}\right|_{t=0} = \sum_f e_f^2 \frac{\pi^2 \alpha_{em}}{12\, Q^4} \frac{\beta^2}{(1-\beta)^2} \int dk_t^2 \frac{k_t^2 + m_q^2}{k_t^2 \sqrt{1 - 4\beta k^2/Q^2}} \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{2\beta k^2}{Q^2} \right] P^T_{I\!P q} + 4 \frac{k_t^2 m_q^2}{k^4} P^L_{I\!P q} \right\}$$

$$k^2 = (k_t^2 + m_q^2)/(1-\beta)$$

$$\left.\frac{d\sigma^L}{dt\, dM^2}\right|_{t=0} = \sum_f e_f^2 \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_{em}}{3\, Q^6} \frac{\beta^3}{(1-\beta)} \int dk_t^2 \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{1 - 4\beta k^2/Q^2}} P^L_{I\!P q}$$

$$P^T_{I\!P q}(\beta, k^2) = \left| \int \frac{dl_t^2}{l_t^2} \tilde{f}(l_t^2) \left[1 - 2\beta - 2\frac{m_q^2}{k^2} + \frac{l_t^2 - (1-2\beta)k^2 + 2m_q^2}{\sqrt{(l_t^2 + k^2)^2 - 4 l_t^2 k_t^2}} \right] \right|^2$$

$$P^L_{I\!P q}(\beta, k^2) = \left| \int \frac{dl_t^2}{l_t^2} \tilde{f}(l_t^2) \left[1 - \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{(l_t^2 + k^2)^2 - 4 l_t^2 k_t^2}} \right] \right|^2$$

~ *probability for a Pomeron(2g) to couple to a quark*

Diffractive production of a qqg state



$$\left.\frac{d\sigma}{dt\,dM^2}\right|_{t=0} = 2\sum_f e_f^2 \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_{em}}{Q^2}\frac{\beta^2}{Q^2}\int dk_t^2 \int d\phi \int dq_t^2 \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\int \frac{dz}{z} P_{qg}\left(\frac{\beta}{z}\right) P_{I\!P g}(z, \frac{k_t^2}{1-z})$$

D.C. : $Q^2 \gg q_t^2 \gg k_t^2$

$$P_{I\!P g}(z,k^2) = \frac{9}{64}\frac{1}{z}\frac{1}{(1-z)^3}\left\{\int \frac{dl_t^2}{l_t^2}\tilde{f}(x_{I\!P}, l_t^2)\left[z^2+(1-z)^2+\frac{l_t^2}{k^2}-\frac{[(1-2z)k^2-l_t^2]^2+2z(1-z)k^4}{k^2\sqrt{(l_t^2+k^2)^2-4(1-z)l_t^2k^2}}\right]\right\}^2$$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} z &= (Q^2+m^2)/(Q^2+M^2) \\ m^2 &= (q+\bar{q})^2+k_t^2 \end{aligned}}$$

$$P_{qg}\left(\frac{\beta}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1-2\frac{q_t^2+m_q^2}{m^2}}{q_t^2+m_q^2}\left[\left(\frac{\beta}{z}\right)^2+\left(1-\frac{\beta}{z}\right)^2\right] + \frac{4}{q_t^2+m_q^2}\frac{\beta}{z}(1-2\frac{\beta}{z})\frac{m_q^2}{Q^2} + \frac{2m^2}{(q_t^2+m_q^2)^2}\left(\frac{\beta}{z}\right)^2(1-2\frac{\beta}{z})\frac{m_q^2}{Q^2}$$





$$\frac{\alpha_s\, f(x,l^2)}{l^4} = \frac{3}{4\pi}\int \frac{d^2\mathbf{r}}{(2\pi)^2}\, \exp\{i\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{r}\}\, \{\hat{\sigma}_\infty(x) - \hat{\sigma}(x,r)\} =$$

$$= \frac{3}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dr\, r\, J_0(lr)\, \{\hat{\sigma}_\infty(x) - \hat{\sigma}(x,r)\}\ .$$

In the original dipole model we find [2]:

$$\alpha_s\, f(x,l^2) = \frac{3\sigma_0}{4\pi^2}\, R_0^2(x)\, l^4\, \exp\{-R_0^2(x)\, l^2\}\,.$$





$\sigma \sim s^{\alpha-1}$ ➔ $dN/d\log M^2_X \sim$ const

*



Diffractive Signature

*



Non-Diffraction



Diffraction



uniform, uncorrelated particle emission along the rapidity axis => probability to see a gap ΔY is $\sim \exp(-\langle n\rangle \Delta Y)$

$\langle n\rangle$ - average multipl. per unit of Y

$dN/dM^2_X \sim 1/M^2_X$ => $dN/d\log M^2_X \sim$ const


ZEUS
Fit(c exp(b lnM_X^2))
Fit(D + c exp(b lnM_X^2))
DJANGOH
SATRAP + ZEUSVM
SANG(M_N < 2.3 GeV)
• (ZEUS 98-99) - PYTHIA - SANG(M_N > 2.3 GeV)
W = 37 - 55 GeV
W = 200 - 245 GeV
M_X in GeV
Events
2.2 - 3 GeV^2
Q^2 = 10 - 20
ln M_X^2

Inclusive Diffraction
LPS



Observation of diffraction indicates that single ladder may not be sufficient
(partons produced from a single chain produce exponentially suppressed rap. gaps)

Diffractive Structure Function



Dipole Model



Study of exclusive diffractive states may clarify which pattern is right

Only few final states present in DiMo:
qq, qqg (aligned and as jets)
VM

Diffractive Di-jets

$Q^2 > 5\ GeV^2$



-RapGap



Satrap



Diffractive Di-jets

$Q^2 > 5\ GeV^2$



Diffractive Di-jets

$Q^2 > 5\ GeV^2$





Dipole Picture-gluon density convoluted with the dipole wave functions ➔ simultaneous prediction/description of many reactions



Vector Mesons

KMW



Note: educated guesses for VM wf are working very well

KMW


γ*p → J/ψ p
σ (nb)
Q² (GeV²)
0.05
3.2
7.0
22.4
H1
ZEUS
b-Sat model
b-CGC model
W (GeV)
γ*p → φ p
σ (nb)
Q² (GeV²)
2.4
3.8
6.5
13.0
ZEUS
b-Sat model
b-CGC model
W (GeV)
γ*p → ρ p
σ (nb)
Q² (GeV²)
2.4
3.7
6.0
8.3
13.5
32.0
ZEUS
H1
b-Sat model
b-CGC model
W (GeV)

KMW







Note: educated guesses for J/Ψ and φ wave functions are working very well

More work to do for ρ meson wave function

Extracting Proton Vertex using Dipole Models





Can use vector meson production to extract proton profile:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{VM}^{\gamma^* p}}{dt} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \left| \int d^2\vec{r} \int d^2b e^{-i\vec{b}\cdot\vec{\Delta}} \int_0^1 dz \Psi_{VM}^* \; 2\left\{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\Omega}{2}\right)\right\} \Psi \right|^2$$

KT, KMW

$$\Omega = \frac{\pi^2}{N_C} r^2 \alpha_s(\mu^2) x g(x,\mu^2) T(b)$$

T(b)-proton shape

Description of the size of interaction region B_D

$$\frac{d\sigma^{diff}}{dt} \sim \exp(B_D \cdot t) \qquad \Rightarrow T(b) \sim \exp(-\vec{b}^2 / 2B_G)$$

Modification by Bartels, Golec-Biernat, Peters

$$e^{i\vec{b}\cdot\vec{\Delta}} \rightarrow e^{i(\vec{b}+(1-z)\vec{r})\cdot\vec{\Delta}}$$



KMW



B_G

B_G

$$\sqrt{R_p^2 = 3B_G} = 0.68 \text{ fm}$$

$$R_p = 0.870 \pm 0.008 \text{ fm}$$

$$\Rightarrow B_G = 6.48 \text{ GeV}^2$$

the gluonic proton radius smaller than the quark radius

measurement of α'



Significant slope is expected for a leading pomeron trajectory Lipatov (1986)

$$J_n(t) = 1 + \frac{c}{n + \delta(t)}$$

BPST ➔ $\alpha' = R^2 / g_{YM}\sqrt{N}$



Discovery of HERA







Universality of the observed intercepts

➔ Universal, "Pomeron like" QCD object
soft and hard Pomeron join together

Pomeron at work

Rise of the DVCS cross-sections



At EIC (LHeC) it should be possible to reduce the errors by a large factor, O(100)

➔ detailed study of the Pomeron possible

Gluon density test?
Teubner

$\gamma^* p \rightarrow J/\psi\ p$



IP-Dipole Model

VM wave function dependence?



Saturation

Estimate of Q_s

Apparently little saturation at $Q_s^2 = 4\ GeV^2$

➔ Oomph factor Increase saturation by going to nuclei





Nuclear enhancement of universal dynamics of high parton densities

Kowalski, Lappi, Venugopalan
K, L, Marquet,V



$$\frac{Q^2_{s,A}}{Q^2_{s,B}} = \frac{A}{B}\frac{T_A(\mathbf{b}_\perp)}{T_B(\mathbf{b}_\perp)}\frac{F(x,Q^2_{s,A})}{F(x,Q^2_{s,B})} \sim \frac{A^{1/3}}{B^{1/3}}\frac{F(x,Q^2_{s,A})}{F(x,Q^2_{s,B})}$$



large enhancement of saturation scale in nuclei

$200^{1/3} \sim 6$ ➔

Equivalent center of mass energy ~ 14 time larger than in ep

t-distributions
for coherent
(ie exclusive)
diffractive
meson production
on proton and nuclei
at EIC

KLMV

first estimate of the expected
measurement precision:

$\Delta p_T < 30$ MeV, $t \sim p_T^2$
$\Delta t < 0.01$ GeV^2
for proton and light nuclei



Basics of BFKL



BFKL equation at $q=0$

Conformal invariance

solved by finding a

$$\omega \tilde{f}(\omega, \mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}) = \delta^2(\mathbf{k_1} - \mathbf{k2}) + \frac{\alpha C_A}{\pi^2} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}'}{(\mathbf{k_1} - \mathbf{k}')^2} \left[\tilde{f}(\omega, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k_2}) - \frac{\mathbf{k_1}^2}{\mathbf{k}'^2 + (\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k_1})^2} \tilde{f}(\omega, \mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}) \right]$$

complete set of eigenfunctions

Eigen-functions

$$f_\omega(k^2) = \frac{(k^2)^{i\nu}}{\sqrt{k^2}}$$

$$\omega = \bar{\alpha}_s \chi(\nu)$$

Characteristic function

$\chi(\nu) = -2\gamma_E - \psi(1/2 + i\nu) - \psi(1/2 - i\nu)$

ψ is the Digamma function

Green function

$$f_{BFKL}(\omega, k_1, k_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \left(\frac{k_1^2}{k_2^2}\right)^{i\nu} \frac{1}{2\pi^2 k_1 k_2} \frac{1}{(\omega - \bar{\alpha}_s \chi(\nu))}$$

NLO BFKL with running α_s

NLO

$$\omega \equiv \chi(\alpha_s, \nu) = \bar{\alpha}_s (1 - A\bar{\alpha}_s)\, \chi_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \bar{\alpha}_s B + i\nu + \frac{\omega}{2}\right) + \bar{\alpha}_s^2 \chi_1(\nu).$$

Fadin, Lipatov
G. Salam
resummation

running coupling

$$\omega = \chi\left(\alpha_s(k), \nu_\omega(k)\right).$$

$$\omega = \chi(\alpha_s(k_{\rm crit}), 0).$$

property of χ:
largest ω at ν=0

Airy functions are solving BFKL eq. around $k \sim k_{crit}$

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\ln(k^2/k_0^2)} + \frac{\beta_0}{2\pi}\frac{\dot{\chi}(\alpha_s(k_{\rm crit}), 0)}{\chi''(\alpha_s(k_{\rm crit}), 0)} \ln\left(\frac{k^2}{k_0^2}\right)\right] \overline{f_\omega}(k) = 0,$$

$$f_\omega(k^2) = \frac{\overline{f_\omega}(k)}{\sqrt{k^2}},$$

away of k_{crit}

$$\overline{f_\omega}(k) = e^{\pm i\varphi_\omega(k)},$$

$$\varphi_\omega(k) = 2\int_k^{k_{\rm crit}} \frac{dk'}{k'}\,|\nu_\omega(k)|\,.$$

Matching the solutions at $k=k_{crit}$ determines the phase of oscil.= $\pi/4$

Lipatov 86 ➔ encode the infrared behaviour of QCD by assuming a fixed phase η at k_0

$$\varphi_\omega(k_0) \equiv 2\int_{k_0}^{k_{\rm crit}} \frac{dk'}{k'}\,|\nu_\omega(k)| = \left(n-\frac{1}{4}\right)\pi+\eta,$$





Φ_{DIS} *known in QCD*

Φ_P *barely known*

Structure functions in DIS

$$F_2(x, Q^2) = \int_x^1 dz \int \frac{dk}{k} \Phi_{\rm DIS}(z, Q, k) x g\left(\frac{x}{z}, k\right),$$

unintegrated gluon density

$$xg(x,k) = \sum_n \int \frac{dk'}{k'} \Phi_p(k') \left(\frac{k'x}{k}\right)^{-\omega_n} k^2 f^*_{\omega_n}(k') f_{\omega_n}(k),$$

enhancement of leading eigenfun.by $(1/x)^{\omega}$

$$xg(x,k) = \sum_n a_n x^{-\omega_n} k^{(2+\omega_n)} f_{\omega_n}(k).$$

HERA
LHeC

no enhancement of leading eigenfun.

$$\Phi_p(k) = \sum_n a_n k^{(2-\omega_n)} f_{\omega_n}(k),$$



Φ_{DIS}

Kwiecinski, Martin Stasto

$$S_q(x,Q^2) = \frac{Q^2}{4\pi^2}\int\frac{dk^2}{k^4}\int_0^1 d\beta\int d^2\kappa'\alpha_S\left\{[\beta^2+(1-\beta)^2]\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{D_{1q}}-\frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}-\boldsymbol{k}}{D_{2q}}\right)^2\right.$$

$$\left. + \; [m_q^2 + 4Q^2\beta^2(1-\beta)^2]\left(\frac{1}{D_{1q}}-\frac{1}{D_{2q}}\right)^2\right\} f\left(\frac{x}{z},k^2\right)\Theta\left(1-\frac{x}{z}\right)$$

$\kappa' = \kappa - (1-\beta)k$ and

$$D_{1q} = \kappa^2 + \beta(1-\beta)Q^2 + m_q^2$$

$$D_{2q} = (\boldsymbol{\kappa}-\boldsymbol{k})^2 + \beta(1-\beta)Q^2 + m_q^2$$

$$z = \left[1 + \frac{\kappa'^2 + m_q^2}{\beta(1-\beta)Q^2} + \frac{k^2}{Q^2}\right]^{-1}.$$

$$F_2 = \sum_q e_q^2(S_q + V_q),$$

Fit with charm

Correct qualitative behaviour from leading singularity

Excellent fit to data for $x < 10^{-2}$ with 4 poles



The qualities of fits using up to 4 poles, and the corresponding pole residues, assuming $\eta = -0.16\pi$ at $k_0 = 0.3$ GeV

Number of poles	χ^2/N_{df}	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4
1	11 894/101	0.478	–	–	–
2	1157/100	0.566	−0.98	–	
3	167/99	0.707	0.87	3.70	–
4	83.3/98	0.483	−6.32	−26.0	−26.9

Contributions to F_2 of the individual eigenfunctions

good data description due to interferences

➔ phase η precisely determined





Sum of contributions with small eigenvalues can give a larger rate of rise than the leading eigenvalue !!!



$$F_2(x,Q^2) = x\sum_q e_q^2 q(x,Q^2)$$



$$q(x,Q) = \int_0^Q \frac{dk}{k}\Phi_{DIS}(Q,k)xg(x,k)$$

$$q(x,\mu^2) = q_0(x) + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} q_0(\xi)\left\{P_{qq}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)\ln\mu/\kappa + \ldots\right.$$




EKR
MRST
xden
x = 10^-4
x = 10^-3
x = 10^-2
xg/20
10
1
10^-1
1
10
10^2
Q^2 (GeV^2)


EKR
TW
xden
x = 10^-4
x = 10^-3
x = 10^-2
xg/20
10
1
10^-1
1
10
10^2
Q^2 (GeV^2)

Where Do BFKL and DGLAP Meet

Lipatov, private communication

Unintegrated BFKL gluon density (LO, no running α_s)

$$xg(x,k^2) = \int d\gamma \Phi_p(\gamma) \left(\frac{k^2}{\mu^2}\right)^\gamma x^{-\bar{\alpha}_s \chi(\gamma)} = \int d\gamma \Phi_p(\gamma) \exp(F(\gamma))$$

$\gamma = ½ + i\nu$

Saddle point

$$(F(\gamma))' = (\gamma \ln(k^2/\mu^2) + \bar{\alpha}_s \ln(1/x)\chi(\gamma))' = 0$$

$$\chi(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma} - 2\zeta(3)\gamma^2 + \cdots$$

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{\bar{\alpha}\ln(1/x)}{\ln(k^2/\mu^2)}$$

$$\omega \approx \bar{\alpha}_s/\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s \ln(k^2/\mu^2)}{\ln(1/x)}}$$

valid if $\bar{\alpha}(k^2)\ln(1/x) \ll 1,$

! not fulfilled for HERA or even Higgs at LHC !

equal to DLL limit of DGLAP (LO, no running α_s)

DAF Pomeron fit with

$\Phi_p = k^2 \exp(-bk^2)$



Eigenfunctions

1-7

8-14

15-21

DAF Pomeron fit with

$\Phi_p = k^2 \exp(-bk^2)$

$b \sim 6\ \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$

for $x < 0.001$

$\chi^2/\mathrm{ndf} = 25/30$

for $x < 0.01$

$\chi^2/\mathrm{ndf} = 260/100$



Pomeron and Gauge/String Duality

Brower, Polchinski, Strassler, and Tan, hep-th/0603115

Pomeron is a coherent color-singlet object, build from gluons, with universal properties; it is a closed string propagating in ADS space, when the conformal symmetry is broken at some infrared point in the fifth dimension

$$1+\omega = 2 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{4\pi\alpha_s N}}$$

in ADS/CFT

in N=4 YM SuSy QCD

Kotikov, Lipatov, Onishchenko, Velizhanin, Physt. Lett. B 632, 754 (2006)



Consequences for LHC

Good knowledge of gluon density around $x \sim 10^{-2}$ and $Q^2 \sim 10000$ GeV^2 is essential for LHC physics (Higgs region)

Large effort is going into precise measurement of W and Z inclusive X-sections ➔ precise determination of sea-quark distributions
➔ precise gluon density

Is the sea-quark ⇔ gluon density relation the same in the DGLAP-like picture (MRST/CTEQ) and DAF-Pomeron?



sea-quark ⇔ gluon relation can be checked by the jets with p_T around 50 GeV

Φ_{DIS} *known in QCD*



Φ_P *barely known*

Consequences

HERA finding:
Proton consists mainly of gluons
gluons determine its main propeties, like the mass

LHeC will substantially improve the knowledge of gluon density

enhancement of leading eigenfun.by $(1/x)^{\omega}$

$$xg(x,k) = \sum_n \int \frac{dk'}{k'} \Phi_p(k') \left(\frac{k'x}{k}\right)^{-\omega_n} k^2 f^*_{\omega_n}(k') f_{\omega_n}(k),$$

$\Phi_{p,N}$ -Direct access to the gluonic structure of Protons and Nuclei

Diffractive Vector Mesons



Diffractive Jets



Φ_P - *diff*

Consequences

Diffraction measurements at EIC and LHeC will substantially improve the knowledge of $\Phi_{p,N}$ – gluonic structure of matter

Advantage of EIC:

Precision measurements of the t-dependece

➔ Spatial distribution of gluonic field

➔ t-dependence of ω: is DAF-Pomeron moving towards or away from the Graviton?

Build detectors which are able to measure diffractive reaction with high precision

Instead of Conclusions

Study of Gluon Density are very important because it is the analog of Black Body Radiation in QED

It seemed hopeless to study pure Gluon Radiation since it is never free. However, it is becoming free for a short moment in HEP reactions

HERA has shown that physics processes at low-x are completely dominated by pure Gluon Density,

Investigation of Gluon Density has a chance to become as fundamental as Black Body radiation

The QCD improved parton model
MRST/CTEQ approach

sea quark densities (input: $q_0(x)$ for every quark species..)

$$q(x,\mu^2)=q_0(x)+\frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi}\int_x^1\frac{d\xi}{\xi}q_0(\xi)\left\{P_{qq}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)ln\frac{\mu^2}{\kappa^2}+C_q\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)\right\}+\frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi}\int_x^1\frac{d\xi}{\xi}g_0(\xi)\left\{P_{qg}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)ln\frac{\mu^2}{\kappa^2}+C_g\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)\right\}$$

MS-bar scheme

$$F_2(x,Q^2)=x\sum_q e_q^2\int_x^1\frac{d\xi}{\xi}q(\xi,Q^2)\left\{\delta(1-\frac{x}{\xi})+\frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi}C_q^{\overline{MS}}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)+...\right\}+x\sum_q e_q^2\int_x^1\frac{d\xi}{\xi}g(\xi,Q^2)\left\{\frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi}C_g^{\overline{MS}}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)+...\right\}$$

second term gives a very small contribution

How Important is Saturation?

- Eikonal exponentiation (Glauber-Mueller, MV):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{q\bar{q}}}{\mathrm{d}^2 b} = 2\left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2}{2N_c} r^2 \alpha_S(\mu^2) x g(x,\mu^2) T(b)\right)\right]$$

- Depends on impact parameter, momentum scale
- Define saturation scale Q_s by

$$\frac{d\sigma_{qq}(x, r^2 = 2/Q_S^2(x,b)}{d^2 b} = 2 \cdot \{1 - \exp(-1/2))\}$$

- Estimate Q_s using indicative models for proton impact-parameter profile and gluon distribution:
- $$xg(x,\mu_0^2) = A_g \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\lambda_g} (1-x)^{5.6}$$ + DGLAP evol.

Low-x Physics @ HERA



- Increasing rate of growth with Q^2, well described by DGLAP evolution



Basics of BFKL



BFKL equation at $q=0$

Conformal invariance

solved by finding a

$$\omega \tilde{f}(\omega, \mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}) = \delta^2(\mathbf{k_1} - \mathbf{k2}) + \frac{\alpha C_A}{\pi^2} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}'}{(\mathbf{k_1} - \mathbf{k}')^2} \left[\tilde{f}(\omega, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k_2}) - \frac{\mathbf{k_1}^2}{\mathbf{k}'^2 + (\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k_1})^2} \tilde{f}(\omega, \mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}) \right]$$

complete set of eigenfunctions

Eigen-functions

$$f_\omega(k^2) = \frac{(k^2)^{i\nu}}{\sqrt{k^2}}$$

$$\omega = \bar{\alpha}_s \chi(\nu)$$

Characteristic function

$\chi(\nu) = -2\gamma_E - \psi(1/2 + i\nu) - \psi(1/2 - i\nu)$

ψ is the Digamma function

Green function

$$f_{BFKL}(\omega, k_1, k_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \left(\frac{k_1^2}{k_2^2}\right)^{i\nu} \frac{1}{2\pi^2 k_1 k_2} \frac{1}{(\omega - \bar{\alpha}_s \chi(\nu))}$$

Pomeron-Graviton Correspondence

String theory emerged out of phenomenology of hadron-hadron scattering

Dolan-Horn-Schmid duality between s-channel and t-channel Regge-pole description of hadronic X-sections

$$\sum_r \frac{g_r^2(t)}{s-(M_r-i\Gamma_r)^2} \simeq \beta(t)(-\alpha' s)^{\alpha(t)} .$$

➔ Veneziano amplitude

$$A_{\pi^+\pi^-\to\pi^+\pi^-}(s,t) = g_o^2 \frac{\Gamma[1-\alpha_\rho(t)]\Gamma[1-\alpha_\rho(s)]}{\Gamma[1-\alpha_\rho(s)-\alpha_\rho(t)]}$$

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + \alpha' t$$



Figure 2

generalization by Virasoro ➔ dual models

$$A(s,t,u)=\beta\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha(s))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha(t))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha(u))}{\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha(t)-\frac{1}{2}\alpha(u))\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha(s)-\frac{1}{2}\alpha(u))\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha(s)-\frac{1}{2}\alpha(t))}$$

➔ mesons are open strings, closed strings necessary for unitarity

L - string length, $E = c\,L$, $J = \alpha' E^2$

Virasoro-amplitude for $\alpha(0)=1$ has a pole at $s=t=0$ with J = 2, a graviton ➔ starting point for theory of quantum gravity

Superstring Theory, Green, Schwarz, Witten (1987)
R. Brower hep-th/0508036

Maldacena Conjecture

from the talk by J. Maldacena

Particle theory = gravity theory

Most supersymmetry QCD theory	=	String theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$

(J.M.)

N colors

N = magnetic flux through S^5

Radius of curvature

$$R_{S^5} = R_{AdS_5} = \left(g_{YM}^2 \; N\right)^{1/4} l_s$$

Duality:

$g^2 N$ is small → perturbation theory is easy – gravity is bad

$g^2 N$ is large → gravity is good – perturbation theory is hard

Strings made with gluons become fundamental strings.

Consequences for LHC

Proton dissociation



In high pp (ep) reactions particles are emitted approximately uniformly along the rapidity axis ➔

rapidity range in ND $= \ln(s/m^2_\pi)$



rapidity range in SD $= \ln(M_X^2/m_\pi^2)$ ➔ rapidity gap $\Delta Y = \ln(s/M_X^2)$

$M_X^2/s = \xi$ - fraction of the proton momentum carried by the pomeron

ξ is called x_{IP} in *ep*,

minimum ξ at LHC $= 1.4/s = 7 * 10^{-9}$ *(deep in the saturation region)*

probability to find ξ, $P(\xi) \sim 1/\xi \, d\xi$

Can be measured with help of forward detectors down to $x \sim 10^{-7}$?

Consequences for LHC

- Consider diffractive production of a 'small' object
- Single or double diffraction?
  - $y = \ln(s/m_X^2)$ or $y_1 + y_2 = \ln(s/m_X^2)$?
  - Examples:
    - $pp \to p$ (jet pair), $pp \to p$ (D Λ_c)
    - $pp \to p\ \eta_c\ p$, **(RHIC)** $pp \to p\ H\ p$ **(LHC)**
    - $pp \to p$ (jet pair) p



Measure the t-dependence of ω:
Is DAF-Pomeron moving towards
the Graviton or away from it? **(EIC)**

Consequences for EIC

Measure precisely the dependence of inclusive
and exclusive diffractive processes (DVCS, J/Psi, rho, phi…)
➔Investigate QCD evolution with diffractive processes
pure evolution of ~(gluon density)^2
t-dependence of effective exponents

➔!!! Investigate Structure of Matter as x increases !!!

Note: QCD evolution at HERA studied only with F_2
H1 and ZEUS measurement for F_2 agree to ~3%
for diffractive processes systematic differences
are factor 10 larger

Main reason: HERA experiments control only ~2/3
of the rapidity range

NLO BFKL with running α_s

solution away from k_{crit}

$$\overline{f_\omega}(k) = e^{\pm i\varphi_\omega(k)},$$

$$\varphi_\omega(k) = 2\int_k^{k_{\rm crit}} \frac{dk'}{k'} |\nu_\omega$$

$$\varphi_\omega(k) = 2\int_k^{k_{\rm crit}} \frac{dk'}{k'} |\nu_\omega(k)|.$$

for all regions:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{f_\omega}(k) &= \sqrt[3]{\varphi_\omega(k)}\left[J_{\frac{1}{3}}(\varphi_\omega(k)) + J_{-\frac{1}{3}}(\varphi_\omega(k))\right], \quad (k < k_{\rm crit}),\\ &= \sqrt{3}\sqrt[3]{\varphi_\omega(k)}K_{\frac{1}{3}}(\varphi_\omega(k)), \qquad (k > k_{\rm crit}),\end{aligned}$$

Matching the solutions at $k=k_{crit}$ determines the **phase of oscilations = $\pi/4$**

near $k \sim k_0$

$$\overline{f_\omega}(k) \sim \sin\left(\frac{\nu_\omega(k_0)}{k_0^2}\left(k^2 - k_0^2\right) - \eta\right).$$

Lipatov 86 ➔ encode the infrared behaviour of QCD by assuming a **fixed phase η at k_0**

Quantization condition

$$\varphi_\omega(k_0) \equiv 2\int_{k_0}^{k_{\rm crit}} \frac{dk'}{k'} |\nu_\omega(k)| = \left(n - \frac{1}{4}\right)\pi + \eta,$$

The QCD improved parton model
MRST/CTEQ approach

sea quark densities

$$q(x,\mu^2)=q_0(x)+\frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi}\int_x^1\frac{d\xi}{\xi}q_0(\xi)\left\{P_{qq}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)ln\frac{\mu^2}{\kappa^2}+C_q\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)\right\}+\frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi}\int_x^1\frac{d\xi}{\xi}g_0(\xi)\left\{P_{qg}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)ln\frac{\mu^2}{\kappa^2}+C_g\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)\right\}$$

MS-bar scheme

$$F_2(x,Q^2)=x\sum_q e_q^2\int_x^1\frac{d\xi}{\xi}q(\xi,Q^2)\left\{\delta(1-\frac{x}{\xi})+\frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi}C_q^{\overline{MS}}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)+\ldots\right\}+x\sum_q e_q^2\int_x^1\frac{d\xi}{\xi}g(\xi,Q^2)\left\{\frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi}C_g^{\overline{MS}}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right)+\ldots\right\}$$

second term gives a very small contribution

Consequences for EIC

Measure precisely the dependence of inclusive
and exclusive diffractive processes (DVCS, J/Psi, rho, phi…)
➔Investigate QCD evolution with diffractive processes
pure evolution of (gluon density)^2
t-dependence of effective exponents!

Note: QCD evolution at HERA studied only with F_2
H1 and ZEUS measurement for F agree to ~3%
for diffractive processes systematic diffrences
are factor 10 larger

Main reason: HERA experiments control only ~2/3
of the rapidity range



Low





$\sigma_{tot}^{\gamma^*}$


p
p


$\sigma^{\gamma^* p}_{ZEUS} \sim (W^2)^{\lambda_{tot}} \sim (1/x)^{\lambda_{tot}}$
λ_{tot}
H1
b−Sat, $m_{u,d,s}$ = 0.14 GeV
b−Sat, $m_{u,d,s}$ = 0.05 GeV
b−CGC, $m_{u,d,s}$ = 0.14 GeV
universal rate of rise
of all hadronic cross-sections
Q^2 (GeV^2)




ZEUS
ZEUS 98-99
α_{IP}^{tot} (0) (x<0.01)
α_{IP}^{diff} (0) (2<M_X<15 GeV)
$\alpha^{tot}=1+\lambda$
soft Pomeron
α_{IP} (0)
Q^2 (GeV^2)


γ*p → J/ψ p
σ (nb)
Q² (GeV²)
0.05
3.2
7.0
22.4
H1
ZEUS
m_c = 1.4 GeV
m_c = 1.35 GeV
m_c = 1.5 GeV
W (GeV)
γ*p → φ p
σ (nb)
Q² (GeV²)
2.4
3.8
6.5
13.0
ZEUS
m_s = 0.14 GeV
m_s = 0.05 GeV
W (GeV)
γ*p → ρ p
σ (nb)
Q² (GeV²)
2.0
3.1
4.8
7.2
10.9
19.7
H1
m_u,d = 0.14 GeV
m_u,d = 0.05 GeV
W (GeV)