The $P\gamma$ transition form factor in QCD

P. Kroll

Fachbereich Physik, Univ. Wuppertal and Univ. Regensburg

Jefferson Lab, May 2011

Outline:

- **The $\pi\gamma$ trans. form factor in coll. factorization**
- **The new BaBar data**
- **Ways out**
- **The mod. pert. approach**
- **Generalization to η, η', η_c**
- **Summary**

Measuring the $\pi\gamma$ form factor



space-like



time-like

$\gamma^*\gamma\pi$ vertex: $\Gamma_{\mu\nu} = -ie^2 F_{\pi\gamma^*}(Q^2)\, \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} q^\alpha q'^\beta$

data from:
TPC/2γ(90), CELLO(91)
CLEO(95,98) $Q^2 \lesssim 8\,\mathrm{GeV}^2$
BaBar(09) $4 \lesssim Q^2 \lesssim 38\,\mathrm{GeV}^2$
also data on $\eta\gamma$, $\eta'\gamma$, $\eta_c\gamma$
L3(97), CLEO(95,98), BaBar(10)

BaBar(06)
$\eta\gamma$ and $\eta'\gamma$ at $s = 112\,\mathrm{GeV}^2$

two-photon decay width of the mesons:
normalization of FF at $Q^2 = 0$

Theory: collinear factorization





$$F_{\pi\gamma}(Q^2) = \frac{\sqrt{2} f_\pi}{3} \int_0^1 dx\, \Phi_\pi(x,\mu_F)\, T_H(x,Q^2,\mu_R) \qquad \text{at large } Q^2$$

$$T_H^{\rm NLO} = \frac{1}{xQ^2} \left\{ 1 + C_F \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_R)}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 x - \frac{x \ln x}{2(1-x)} - \frac{9}{2} + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \ln x\right) \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu_R^2} \right] \right\}$$

$$\Phi_\pi(x,\mu_F) = 6x(1-x) \left[1 + \sum_{n=2,4,\ldots} a_n(\mu_0) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu_F)}{\alpha_s(\mu_0)} \right)^{\gamma_n/\beta_0} C_n^{3/2}(2x-1) \right]$$

f_π pion decay constant; μ_F, μ_R, μ_0 factorization, renormalization, initial scale

a_n embody soft physics convenient choice: $\mu_F = \mu_R = Q$ $\overline{MS}$ scheme

γ_n anomalous dimensions (pos. fractional numbers, growing with n)

LO: Brodsky-Lepage (80) NLO: del Aguila-Chase (81); Braaten (83)

Kadantseva et al(86)

LO: $$Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{2} f_\pi}{3} \langle 1/x \rangle \qquad \langle 1/x \rangle = 3\Big[1 + \sum a_n(\mu_F)\Big]$$

due to evolution relative weights of the a_n vary with $\ln Q^2$

for $\ln Q^2 \to \infty$ $\qquad \Phi_\pi \to 6x(1-x) = \Phi_{\rm AS} \qquad Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma} \to \sqrt{2} f_\pi$

Two virtual photons

$\overline{Q}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(Q^2 + Q'^2)$; $\qquad \omega = \frac{Q^2 - Q'^2}{Q^2 + Q'^2}$

$$F_{\pi\gamma^*}(\overline{Q}^2, \omega) = \frac{\sqrt{2} f_\pi}{3\,\overline{Q}^2} \int_0^1 dx\, \frac{\Phi_\pi(x, \mu_F)}{1 - (2x-1)^2\omega^2} \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_R)}{\pi}\, \mathcal{K}(\omega, x)\right]$$

$$\text{for } \omega \to 0: \quad \overline{Q}^2\, F_{\pi\gamma^*} = \frac{\sqrt{2} f_\pi}{3}\left[1 - \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2)$$

$\omega \to 0$ limit	Cornwall(66)
a_n contribute to order ω^n	Diehl-K-Vogt(01)
α_s corrections	del Aguila-Chase (81)
α_s^2 corrections	Melic-Müller-Passek (03)

parameter-free QCD prediction (not end-point sens., power corr. small)

theor. status comparable with $R = \sigma(e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons})/\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-)$,

Bjorken sum rule, Ellis-Jaffe sum rule, .. but no data

Situation before the advent of the BaBar data



$Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma}$

$Q'^2 = 0$

close to NLO result evaluated from asymptotic distribution amplitude

remaining $\simeq 10\%$ can be explained easily but differently:

non-asymptotic DA, low renormalization scale, twist-4 effects, quark transverse momenta, ...

K-Raulfs (95), Ong (96), Musatov-Radyushkin (97), Brodsky-Pang-Robertson (98), Yakovlev-Schmedding (00), Diehl et al (01), Bakulev et al (03), ...

The new situation



BaBar (09)

strong increase with Q^2

green: $\sqrt{2} f_\pi \left(Q^2/10\,\mathrm{GeV}\right)^{0.25}$
(to guide the eyes)

AS: NLO corr. < 0

$a_2(1\,\mathrm{GeV}) = 0.39$

$a_2(1\,\mathrm{GeV}) = 0.39, \;\; a_4 = 0.24$

we have to worry:

a substantial increase of FF is difficult to accomodate in fixed order pQCD

corr. due to a_n (> 0) only shift NLO pred. upwards, don't change shape

(except unplausible solutions like $a_2 \simeq 4, \quad a_4 \simeq -3.5$

in conflict with lattice QCD: $a_2(1\,\mathrm{GeV}) = 0.252 \pm 0.143$ Braun et al (06))

Ways out

flat DA $\Phi \equiv 1$:
Polyakov(09) $Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma} \sim \int_0^1 dx \big[x + M^2/Q^2\big]^{-1} = \ln\,[Q^2/M^2 + 1]$
Radyushkin(09) with Gaussian w.f. $\sim k_\perp^2/x(1-x)$

$$Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma} \sim \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \Big\{ 1 - \exp\big[- \frac{xQ^2}{2(1-x)\sigma} \big] \Big\} \to \ln\,[Q^2/2\sigma]$$

broad DA also found from AdS/QCD $\sim \sqrt{x(1-x)}$ Brodsky-de Teramond(06)
but not by Mikhailov et al (10) QCD sum rules

Dorokhov(10) non-pert. effects (chiral quarks, instantons) $\to \ln\,[Q^2/M_q^2]$

dispersion (LCSR) approach: Khodjamirian(09), Agaev et al (10)
corrections due to long-distance, hadron-like component of photon

quark-transverse momenta and resummation of Sudakov-like effects:
Li-Mishima(09), K(10)

The modified perturbative approach

LO pQCD + quark transv. momenta + Sudakov suppr. Sterman et al (89,92)

$\Longrightarrow$ coll. fact. a. for $Q^2 \to \infty$ ($k_\perp$ fact. based on work by Collins-Soper)

Sudakov factor: higher order pQCD in NLL, resummed to all orders

$$S \propto \ln \frac{\ln\left(xQ/\sqrt{2}\Lambda_{QCD}\right)}{\ln\left(1/b\Lambda_{QCD}\right)} + \mathrm{NLL} + \mathrm{RG}(\mu_F, \mu_R) \Longrightarrow e^{-S}$$

exponentiation in b space ($q - \bar{q}$ separation)



$\xi = x, 1-x$

with $e^{-S} = 0$ for $b > 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$

$$\hat{\Psi}_\pi(x, b, \mu_F) = 2\pi \frac{f_\pi}{\sqrt{6}} \Phi_\pi(x, \mu_F) \exp\left[-\frac{x(1-x)b^2}{4\sigma_\pi^2}\right]$$

fact. scale $\mu_F = 1/b$ ($\mu_R = \max(\sqrt{x}Q, 1/b)$)

b plays role of IR cut-off:

interface between soft gluons (in wave fct)

and (semi-)hard gluons in Sudakov f. and T_H

$$F_{\pi\gamma} = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1/\Lambda_{QCD}} db^2\ \hat{\Psi}_\pi \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}\pi} K_0(\sqrt{x}Qb)\right] e^{-S}$$

A remarkable property

with the Gegenbauer expansion

$$Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma} = \sqrt{2} f_\pi \mathcal{C}_0(Q^2, \mu_0, \sigma_\pi) \Big[1 + \sum_{n=2,4,\cdots} a_n(\mu_0)\, \mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{C}_0 \Big]$$



$Q^2 \to \infty$: $\mathcal{C}_0 \to 1$ and $\mathcal{C}_n \to 0$ due to evolution

low Q^2: strong suppr. of higher terms

increasing Q^2: higher n terms become gradually more important

intrinsic $\mathbf{k}_\perp$ omitted

only lowest few Gegenbauer terms influence results on $F_{\pi\gamma}$

Φ_{AS} suffices for low Q^2 (see fit to CLEO data)

Nature of corrections in m.p.a.

can be understood by replacing e^{-S} by $\Theta(1/\Lambda_{\rm QCD} - b)$ and wave fct $\propto \delta(k_\perp^2)$:

$$\int_0^{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{-1}} bdbK_0(\sqrt{x}Qb) = \frac{1}{xQ^2}\Big[1 - \frac{\sqrt{x}Q}{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}\, K_1\big(\frac{\sqrt{x}Q}{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}\big)\Big]$$

(coll. fact: suppression of large b only by pert. prop.)

$\sqrt{x}Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$: K_1 term exponentially suppressed

$\sqrt{x}Q \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$: K_1 term of $\mathcal{O}(1)$

multiplication with distr. ampl. and integration over x

$$F_{\pi\gamma} \sim 1 + a_2 + a_4 + \ldots - 8\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2}{Q^2}(1 + 6a_2 + 15a_4 + \ldots) + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^4}{Q^4}\Big)$$

Sudakov factor provides series of power suppressed terms which come from region of soft quark momenta $(x, 1-x \to 0)$ and grow with Gegenbauer index n

intrinsic transverse momentum: power suppressed terms from all x which do not grow with n

Agaev et al (10): similar observation in the framework of LCSR

large non-pert. corrections suppress higher order Gegenbauer terms

Suppression of soft regions



accumulation profile at $Q^2 = 10\,\mathrm{GeV}^2$

μ_c cut-off parameter - any contribution to form factor is set to zero if $\mu_R < \mu_c$

Fit to BaBar data

present data allow to fix only one Gegenbauer coefficient

fit to CLEO and Babar data (initial scale $\mu_0 = 1\,\mathrm{GeV}$):

$a_2 = 0.25$ (fixed from lattice Braun(06))

$a_4 = 0.01 \pm 0.06$ $\quad\sigma_\pi = 0.40 \pm 0.06\,\mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$ (trans. size parameter)

dashed line: Φ_{AS} K.-Raulfs(95); dotted line: coll. NLO result



Extension to $\eta\gamma$ and $\eta'\gamma$

$P = \eta, \eta'$: $\qquad F_{P\gamma} = F^8_{P\gamma} + F^1_{P\gamma}$

$F^i_{P\gamma}$ as $F_{\pi\gamma}$ except of diff. wave fct. and charge factors

octet-singlet basis favored because of evolution behavior:

flavor-octet part as for pion

flavor-singlet part: due to mixing with the two-gluon Fock component

if intrinsic glue is small $a^g_n(\mu_0) \simeq 0$: evolution with $\gamma^{(+)}_n \simeq \gamma_n$

to NLO: also direct contribution from gg Fock state (K-Passek(03))

quark-flavor mixing scheme (Feldmann-K-Stech (98))

$$F_{\eta\gamma} = \cos\theta_8 F^8 - \sin\theta_1 F^1 \qquad Q^2 F^8 \to \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} f_8$$

$$F_{\eta'\gamma} = \sin\theta_8 F^8 + \cos\theta_1 F^1 \qquad Q^2 F^1 \to \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} f_1$$

$$f_8 = 1.26 f_\pi \quad f_1 = 1.17 f_\pi \quad \theta_8 = -21.2^\circ \quad \theta_1 = -9.2^\circ$$

Results for $\eta\gamma$ and $\eta'\gamma$





data BaBar (10)

dashed: $\Phi_{\rm AS}$ Feldmann-K.(97)

dotted: asymptotic behavior

solid:

$$\sigma_8 = 0.84 \pm 0.14\,\mathrm{GeV}^{-1};\ a_2^8(\mu_0) = -0.06 \pm 0.06$$

$$\sigma_1 = 0.74 \pm 0.05\,\mathrm{GeV}^{-1};\ a_2^1(\mu_0) = -0.07 \pm 0.04$$



Extension to $\eta_c\gamma$



data BaBar(10) 2nd large scale

$$T_H = \frac{2\sqrt{6}\, e_c^2}{xQ^2 + (1+4x(1-x))m_c^2 + k_\perp^2}$$

$$\Phi_{\eta_c} = Nx(1-x)\exp\left[-\sigma_{\eta_c}^2 M_{\eta_c}^2 \frac{(x-1/2)^2}{x(1-x)}\right]$$

Wirbel-Stech-Bauer(85)

$(N = 8.849,\ \sigma_{\eta_c} = 0.44\,\mathrm{GeV}^{-1})$

Sudakov unimportant

solid (dashed, dotted) line:

$m_c = 1.35(1.49, 1.21)\,\mathrm{GeV}$

in contrast to $\pi\gamma$ form factor:

behavior predicted Feldmann-K(97)

NLO corrections at low Q^2:

Shifman-Vysotskii(81), Braaten(83)



The time-like region

collinear factorization to LO accuracy: time-like = space-like (at $s = Q^2$)

within m.p.a. (as proposed by Gousset-Pire(94) for pion elm. FF)

$$1/(xQ^2+k_\perp^2) \longrightarrow 1/(-xs+k_\perp^2-\imath\epsilon) \qquad \text{or} \qquad K_0(\sqrt{x}Qb) \longrightarrow \frac{\imath\pi}{2}H_0^{(1)}(\sqrt{x}sb)$$

analytic continuation of Sudakov f. not well understood (Magnea-Sterman(90))
(probably leads to an oscillating phase)
Gousset-Pire: take space-like Sudakov factor

estimate:

$s = 112\,\mathrm{GeV}^2$: $\quad s|F_{\eta\gamma}| \simeq 0.17\,\mathrm{GeV} \qquad s|F_{\eta'\gamma}| \simeq 0.28\,\mathrm{GeV}$

BaBar(06): $s|F_{\eta\gamma}| = 0.229 \pm 0.031\,\mathrm{GeV} \qquad s|F_{\eta'\gamma}| = 0.251 \pm 0.021\,\mathrm{GeV}$

Consequences for the pion elm. form factor



perturbative contribution:

with distr. amplitude from best fit to $\pi\gamma$ form fator

with Φ_{AS} Jakob-K.(93)

Summary

even this simple excl. observable, believed to be understood very well, is subject to strong power suppressed corrections visible even at Q^2 as large as $40\,\mathrm{GeV}^2$

casts severe doubts on any attempt to explain other excl. observables within coll. factorization frame work (e.g. pion or proton FF)

quark-transverse momenta and Sudakov suppressions is one way to estimate power corrections; existing data on $P\gamma$ trans. form factor ($P = \pi, \eta, \eta', \eta_c$) can well be described within that approach. One Gegenbauer coeff. of DA can be determined from data
preserves standard asymptotics $Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma} \to \sqrt{2} f_\pi$

$\pi\gamma$ form factor should be remeasured by BELLE

Comparison with Li-Mishima (09)

Gaussian wf. with $\Phi_\pi \equiv 1$ accompanied by treshold factor

resummed $\alpha_s \ln^2(x)$ and $\alpha_s \ln^2(1-x)$ arising from end-point singularities which occur for flat DA

$\Longrightarrow$ effective DA

$$\Phi^r = \frac{\Gamma(2+2r)}{\Gamma^2(1+r)}\Big[x(1-x)\Big]^r$$

limiting cases: Φ_{AS} and $\Phi_\pi \equiv 1$

remains power-like under evolution with $r \to r(\mu)$

Fit to BaBar data: $r(2\,\mathrm{GeV}) = 0.59 \pm 0.06$



comparison of evolution behavior

LM claim:

$r \simeq 1$ at low Q^2 $(\Phi \simeq \Phi_{AS})$

r small at $Q^2 \simeq 40\,\mathrm{GeV}^2$ $(\Phi \simeq 1)$