# Particle production in the DIS target fragmentation region

Federico Alberto Ceccopieri

IFPA, Université de Liège, Belgium

federico.alberto.ceccopieri@cern.ch

Jefferson Lab, 6<sup>th</sup> January 2016

- Brief biographical infos
- Research activities and interests
- Target fragmentation in SIDIS : the  $\Lambda$  case

- Graduate in Turin, Italy '01, advisor G.Passarino (QED)
- Ph.D in Parma, Italy, '05, advisors S.Forte, M.Cacciari (pQCD)
- Collaborations with L.Trentadue '06  $\rightarrow$  present
- 1 year postdoc in Parma,  $\pi^+$  target fragmentation at CLAS, M.Osipenko
- TOTEM @ CERN (6 months) Soft and Hard diffraction and MC studies
- '07 '09 : 2 years postdoc in Parma, pQCD at hadron colliders.
- '09 '11 : joint Bruxelles/Liége postdoc, hard diffraction at HERA
- '11 today : always active in research while teaching at high school
- Starting next month: Perugia project on MPI

- Target fragmentation in both in DIS and hadronic collisions within the fracture functions formalism. Analyses of leading proton and neutron production in DIS at high energy,  $\Lambda$  at intermediate energy (also with NOMAD colleagues in JINR).
- Extraction via global QCD fits of diffractive parton distributions from Diffractive DIS data. Studies of diffractive processes and their factorisation at hadron colliders (analysis in progress for single diffractive DY).
- Study of double parton scattering and double parton distributions in hadronic collisions. Case study : same sign  $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$  production at LHC.
- TMD phenomenology. In PLB741 (2015) we have shown that our evolution equations matches Collins and Rogers and Scimemi & al. results in the soft limit. Ongoing collaborations for the analysis of HERMES semi-inclusive data.

#### The leading particle effect in hadronic collisions

- Consider the following reaction :  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow c + X$
- $x_F = 2p_{||}/\sqrt{s}$  in hadronic centre of mass
- Leading particle effect : privileged quark-flavour quantum number flow from the initial state particle to the final state one
- the more the quark-flavour content is conserved from initial to final state hadron, the more the latter carries a substantial fraction of the energy available in the reaction.
- Pions (Gribov QCD light) don't show LPE
- However no hard momentum transfer is present in this reaction  $\rightarrow$  pQCD can not be applied





Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.4/25

- Same effect observed in DIS
- $\mu P \rightarrow \mu + h + X$ , DIS@280 GeV
- Same pattern as in hadronic collisions
- LPE for backward proton (uud) and  $\Lambda$  (uds)
- No LPE for  $\overline{\Lambda}$  ( $\overline{u}\overline{d}\overline{s}$ ),  $\overline{p}$  ( $\overline{u}\overline{u}\overline{d}$ ) and mesons
- But here we have hard scale,  $Q^2 \gg \Lambda^2_{QCD}$



#### EMC Coll. '81

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.5/25

Federico A. Ceccopieri

# Fragmentation in SIDIS

• Consider a Deep Inelastic Scattering event in which a virtual photon of mass  $Q^2$  interacts with a parton cascade in the nucleon:



•  $t \sim Q^2$  current fragmentation,  $t \sim 0$  target fragmentation, with  $t = (P - p_h)^2$ •  $0 < t < Q^2$  central region: higher order corrections, depends on factorisation scale

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.6/25

### Factorisation in SIDIS

- Factorization theorem allows the decoupling of short distance (ME) from long distance (f, D, M) physics
- f, D, M are not calculable from first principles
- The evolution of f, D, M however is known (RGE)
- At lowest order, in the current region  $(x_F > 0) d\sigma \propto f \otimes D$ and in the target region  $(x_F < 0) d\sigma \propto M$
- Factorisation for *M* in SIDIS has been proven at collinear and soft level (Grazzini, Trentadue, Veneziano 1998; Collins 1998)
- Collinear factorization confirmed in fixed order pQCD calculation at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$  (Graudenz, 1994; Daleo & al 2003)



### Fracture functions in SIDIS

- Fracture functions M complete the description of SIDIS final state:
- *M* parametrize soft QCD dynamics in forward semi-inclusive processes.
- $M_{i/p}^{h}(x, z, Q^{2})$  gives the conditional probability that a parton i with a fractional momentum x of the incoming proton enters the hard scattering while an hadron h with fractional momentum z is detected in the TFR of p.



• They obey a DGLAP-type inhomogeneous evolution equations:

$$Q^2 \frac{dM_{i/p}^h}{dQ^2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} P_{ji} \otimes M_{j/p}^h + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \widehat{P}_{ji}^l \otimes f_{j/p} D_l^h \,.$$

#### Trentadue, Veneziano '94

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.8/25

# $\Lambda$ leptoproduction in DIS

- $\mu P \rightarrow \mu' \Lambda X @$  280 GeV, DIS regime
- Forward  $(x_F > 0)\Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Lambda}$  production comparable
- No LPE for  $\bar{\Lambda}$ s, symmetric around  $|x_F| \sim 0$
- LPE for  $\Lambda s$  (uud  $\rightarrow$  uds)
- Focus on Lambdas in the following





Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.9/25

•  $z_h$  not good for target: mixes soft and target hadrons for  $z_h \rightarrow 0$ 

$$z_{h} = \frac{P \cdot h}{P \cdot q} = \frac{E_{h}^{*}}{E_{p}^{*}(1 - x_{B})} \frac{1 - \cos\theta}{2}$$

• hadron variables in  $\gamma^*N$  c.o.m. frame:

$$z_G = \frac{E_h^*}{E_p^*(1 - x_B)}, \quad E_p^*(1 - x_B) = W/2, \quad \zeta = \frac{E_h^*}{E_p^*}, \quad x_F = \pm \sqrt{z_G^2 - \frac{4m_T^2}{W^2}}$$

The Lambda leptoproduction cross section in term of these variables reads

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\Lambda/N}}{dx_B \, dQ^2 \, dz_G} \propto \frac{z_G}{|x_F|} \sum_i c_i \left[ f_{i/N}(x_B, Q^2) \, D_i^{\Lambda}(z_G, Q^2) + (1 - x_B) \, M_{i/N}^{\Lambda}(x_B, (1 - x_B) z_G, Q^2) \right]$$

- Best strategy to extract M: subtract the current from  $z_G$  spectra
- But: Large uncertainties on FFs at low Q, no  $z_G$  spectra available in the literature..
- Resort to kinemtical separation in  $x_F$ : associate target fragments to  $x_F < 0$

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.10/25

- The electroweak current probes the "struck quark" on very short "time scale",  $\sim 1/Q_0$
- A parton with flavour i and momentum x is then removed from the proton with probability  $f_{i/P}(x_B, Q_0^2)$
- The leftover coloured system reassembles to give colourless  $\Lambda$  with fractional momentum zon much longer "time scale",  $\sim 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$ , with probability  $\widetilde{D}_i^{\Lambda}(z)$
- Phenomenological factorisation:  $M \propto f \times \widetilde{D}$



Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.11/25

- Assumption : fracture functions can be factorized, at some low and arbitrary  $Q_0^2\sim 1~{\rm GeV}^2$  scale, in the form

$$(1-x_B)M^{\Lambda}_{i/p}(x_B,\,\zeta,Q^2_0) = M^{\Lambda}_{i/p}(x_B,\,z,Q^2_0) = f_{i/p}(x_B,Q^2_0)\widetilde{D}^{\Lambda}_i(z)$$

- $f_{i/p}(x, Q_0^2)$  are standard parton distribution functions (GRV'94)
- $\widetilde{D}_i^{\Lambda}(z)$  are unknown spectator fragmentation functions
- The input distributions are then evolved to arbitrary scales via FF evolution equations.

• Exploit GRV'94 valence/sea decomposition  $\oplus$  simplied flavour and energy dependence

$$(1 - x_B) M_{u/p}^{\Lambda}(x_B, z, Q_0^2) = u_v(x_B, Q_0^2) N_u z^{\alpha_u} (1 - z)^{\beta_u} + u_s(x, Q_0^2) N_s z^{\alpha_s} (1 - z)^{\beta_s}$$
  

$$(1 - x_B) M_{d/p}^{\Lambda}(x_B, z, Q_0^2) = d_v(x_B, Q_0^2) N_d z^{\alpha_d} (1 - z)^{\beta_d} + d_s(x, Q_0^2) N_s z^{\alpha_s} (1 - z)^{\beta_s}$$
  

$$(1 - x_B) M_{g/p}^{\Lambda}(x_B, z, Q_0^2) = g(x, Q_0^2) N_s z^{\alpha_s} (1 - z)^{\beta_s}$$
  

$$(1 - x_B)_{q_s/p}^{\Lambda}(x_B, z, Q_0^2) = q_s(x_B, Q_0^2) N_s z^{\alpha_s} (1 - z)^{\beta_s}$$

- In case of scattering on a sea quark, the spectator fragments independtly of the flavour of the latter:  $N_s z^{lpha_s} (1-z)^{eta_s}$
- $x_B$  dependence driven by pdfs. 12 free pars
- Gluon spectator fragmentation unconstraind, set  $\widetilde{D}_g^{\Lambda} = \widetilde{D}_{q_s}^{\Lambda}$ ,  $\rightarrow$  9 free pars

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.13/25

- $lN \rightarrow l'\Lambda X$ ,  $l = \mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\bar{\nu}$
- $E_l = \text{from 38 to 490 GeV} + \text{neutrino fluxes}$
- SKAT collaboration reported sizeable A-dependence of backward  $\Lambda$  production,  $\langle n_\Lambda \rangle \propto A^\delta$
- Temptative explanation : secondary interactions,  $\pi N \rightarrow \Lambda X$ , inside nuclear medium
- fit data only light targets : N = p, D, n $\rightarrow$  quark-flavour separation
- observable :  $d\sigma^{\Lambda}/dx_F$
- Inclusive  $\Lambda$  sample :  $\Lambda$  coming from higher mass resonance decays included in the sample





Figure 1: The A- dependence of the total yields of  $K^0$ , A,  $V^0$  and  $\pi^-$ . The curves are the result of the exponential fit.

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.14/25

#### Fit results and error propagation

- Study of the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix → parameter reduction : 7 free pars
- $\widetilde{D}_i^{\Lambda} = N_i z^{\alpha_i} (1-z)^{\beta_i}$
- 3 normalizations  $N_i$  well determined
- $\beta_i$  determined with acceptable errors
- $\alpha_i$  mostly unconstrained:  $\alpha_u = \alpha_d$  and  $\alpha_{q_s} = 0$
- $\chi^2/d.o.f. = 44.14/(46-7) = 1.13$
- propagation experimental uncertanties : 14 additional  $\Lambda {\rm FF}$  set corresponding to  $\Delta \chi^2 = 1$



Ceccopieri, Mancusi EPJC '12

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.15/25

SIDIS selection:

- 0.2 < y < 0.8,  $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $W^2 > 5 \text{ GeV}^2$
- target  $\Lambda$  :  $x_F < 0$

Target/Observable	$\langle n(\Lambda)  angle$
proton	$0.038 \pm 0.003(exp)^{+0.004}_{-0.004}(mass)^{+0.002}_{-0.001}(scale)$
deuteron	$0.032 \pm 0.002(exp)^{+0.003}_{-0.004}(mass)^{+0.001}_{-0.001}(scale)$

Target/Observable	$\sigma^{\Lambda}~[{\sf pb}]$
proton	$2382 \pm 170(exp)^{+247}_{-269}(mass)^{+159}_{-125}(scale)$
deuteron	$1758 \pm 102(exp)^{+196}_{-206}(mass)^{+119}_{-92}(scale)$

#### Ceccopieri arXiv:1508.07459

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.16/25



- Best fit + 14 additional  $\Lambda {\rm FF}$  set corresponding to  $\Delta\chi^2=1$  built from eigenvectors of the Hessian matrix
- $\delta \langle n(\Lambda) \rangle = \pm 0.003$

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.17/25

Sensitivity to mass corrections



• Arbitrary variations:  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \{0.9, 1, 1.1\}$ 

•  $\delta \langle n(\Lambda) \rangle = \pm 0.004$ , slight shape change

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.18/25

Sensitivity to higher orders



- factorisation scale:  $\mu_F^2 = \{1/2Q^2, Q^2, 2Q^2\}$
- moderate scale dependence for differential yield  $\rightarrow$  compensation with scale dependence iDIS

• 
$$\delta \langle n(\Lambda) \rangle = \pm 0.001$$

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.19/25



Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.20/25



- Left: test leading twist hypothesis, assumed by fracture functions formalism
- Right : mild rise of  $\Lambda$  multiplicity with  $Q^2$ : test pQCD evolution of fracture functions
- compare spectra in DIS and PHP regime: how the transition to the non-perturbative regime in  $Q^2$  affects the Lambda spectrum in the target region.

• The  $Q^2$ -differential cross section  $10^{-1}$ hydrogen  $\breve{m}_{\Lambda} \stackrel{\smile}{=} 0$  .....  $0.2 < x_B < 0.4$ deserve special attention  $x_B < 0.2$  $\frac{\frac{1}{\sigma_{\rm D1S}^{\rm D}} \frac{d\sigma^{\rm A}}{dQ^2}}{\frac{d\sigma^{\rm A}}{Q^2}} \left[{\rm GeV}^{-2}\right]}$  $10^{-2}$ • it may provide crucial test for the predicted evolution of FFs • BUT : low values of  $W^2$  accessed by the experiment • the  $Q^2$  spectrum receives  $10^{-2}$ significant hadron mass corrections  $0.4 < x_B < 0.6$  $0.6 < x_B < 1$ They suppress the cross section  $10^{-3}$  $\frac{d\sigma^{\Lambda}}{dQ^2}$  [GeV<sup>-2</sup>] as  $x_B$  increases. • to spot  $Q^2$  scaling violations from  $10^{-4}$ FF evolution use reduced  $\frac{100}{6}$   $10^{-5}$ cross section (all  $Q^2$ -dep. from M):  $\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{d\sigma^{\Lambda/N}}{dx_B \, dy \, dz} = \frac{z}{|x_F|} \sum_i e_i^2 M_{i/N}^{\Lambda}$  $10^{-6}$ 24 8 16 32 $\mathbf{2}$ 4 8 1632 $Q^2 \, [\text{GeV}^2]$  $Q^2 \, [\mathrm{GeV}^2]$ 

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.22/25



 $x_F$ 

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.23/25

 $x_F$ 

 $x_F$ 

### Strange correlation in SIDIS final state

- Consider double inclusive cross section:  $lN \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ X$ , in DIS regime
- Trigger on very backward Lambdas (uds),  $-1 < x_F < -0.5$  and  $K^+ (u\bar{s})$  for all  $x_F$
- Measure cross section (or related distributions) as a function of the rapidity difference  $\Delta y = y_{K^+} y_{\Lambda}$



- for forward  $K^+$  (say  $x_F > 0.5$ ), the cross section can predicted: it has the form :  $d\sigma/d\Delta y \propto M_{i/N}^{\Lambda} \otimes D_i^{K^+}$
- Observable sensitive to strangeness propagation accross final state:
  - small  $\Delta y$ ,  $\Lambda K^+$  close in PS, measure strange short-range correlation
  - large  $\Delta y$ ,  $\Lambda K^+$  distant in PS, measure strange long-range correlation
  - dependence on final state multiplicity or  $W^2$ ?

Federico A. Ceccopieri

Jlab, 6 Jan 2016, p.24/25

- For a complete description of SIDIS one has to deal with target fragmentation: its description in terms fracture functions is slowly improving (Relevant for EIC)
- Phenomenology at all energy and for different particles  $(p, n, \Lambda, \pi, \bar{p})$  is required
- A model for the description of backward  $\Lambda$  production has been constructed in the fracture functions framework (CM12)
- Predictions for a number of observables for CLAS@12GeV have been presented: potential to test underlying theory and to sharpen the model
- ... strange correlations in DIS final state
- ... low energy diffractive DIS program with forward protons at CLAS?
- ... factorisation test in hadronic collisions