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- Nucleon FFs parametrize the transition matrix elements of local operators between nucleon states.
- Provide information about the nucleon internal structure.
- Can be related to the spatial distribution of the properties encoded in the operator (transverse densities) $\longrightarrow$ Moment of the GPD.
- A deeper knowledge of the FFs is needed in order to understand the properties of the nucleon in terms of its QCD constituents.
- Scalar FF:
- Encodes the response of the nucleon under scalar probes.
- Essential input in EFT of DM detection. [Bishora, et al, JAP 1702 (2017)]
- Electromagetic FF:
- Encodes the response of the nucleon under electromagnetic probes.
- Important to understand and solve the "Proton Radius Puzzle".


## Introduction

- ChEFT shows important limitations in calculating some interesting quantities like Form Factors.


## Introduction

- ChEFT shows important limitations in calculating some interesting quantities like Form Factors.
- Non-perturbative pion dynamics play an essential role in the $Q^{2}$ dependence of the Form Factors.


## Introduction

- ChEFT shows important limitations in calculating some interesting quantities like Form Factors.
- Non-perturbative pion dynamics play an essential role in the $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ dependence of the Form Factors.



[Kaiser, PRC 68 (2003)]


## Introduction

- ChEFT shows important limitations in calculating some interesting quantities like Form Factors.
- Non-perturbative pion dynamics play an essential role in the $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ dependence of the Form Factors.

- Higher order calculations become necessary $\longrightarrow$ Unpractical
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle N\left(p^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right)\right| O_{\sigma}(0)|N(p, s)\rangle=\sigma(t) \bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right) u(p, s) \\
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\end{aligned}
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-The spectral function is factorized into two parts:

- $J_{ \pm}^{J}$ : Only left hand cut, free of $\pi \pi$ re-scattering $\longrightarrow$ Calculable in ChEFT.
- $F_{\pi}$ : Contains the $\pi$ Tr re-scattering $\longrightarrow$ Experiment, dispersion theory,
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- We calculate $J_{ \pm}^{J}$ with ChEFT.
$\cdot$ LO $\longrightarrow$ Born Terms + Contact Terms (from $\pi N$ )
- NLO $\Rightarrow$ Contact Terms (from $\pi N$, subtracting contribution of tchannel resonances from the $c_{i}$ [Berarad, Koiser and Meibner NPA 615 (19977])
- N2LO partially included $\rightarrow$ One unknown coefficient for each $J_{ \pm}^{J}$.
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- Electromagnetic FF: Since $t>\mid \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ is far away from the space-like region, we parametrize the contribution from this region by an effective pole $P_{V}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Im} G_{E, M}^{V}=-\pi a_{E, M}^{P_{V}} \delta\left(t-M_{P_{V}}^{2}\right)
$$

- We fix the free parameters by imposing:
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- Higher order corrections are important for $t>0.2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.
- Error bands shown correspond to the uncertainties in the LECs.
- Systematic errors are inferred from the difference between NLO and NLO $+p N 2 L O$.
[]. M. Alarcón, C. Weiss, 17 I 0.06430 ; in preparation]


[I] Höhler, in Landolt-Börnstein, 9b2, ed. H. Schopper (Springer, Berlin, 1983)
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- Pion scalar FF
- No direct determination from experimental information
- Dispersion Theory $\longrightarrow \pi \pi$ phase shifts
- LQCD
- We take the dispersive result from
[A. Celis, V. Cirigliano and E. Passemar, PRD 89 (20| 4)]

- Pion EM FF $\rightarrow$ related to $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \pi \pi$ cross sections
- Related to measured quantities.
- Dispersion Theory $\rightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi$ phase shifts.
- LQCD
- We use the GS parametrization of
[Lorenz, Hammer, Meißner,EPJ A 48 (20 I 2)]



## Spectral Functions

## DIXEFT

[J. M. Alarcón, C. Weiss, PRC 96 (20 1 7)]

$\operatorname{Im} \sigma(t)=\frac{3 k_{c m}}{4 \sqrt{t}\left(m_{N}^{2}-t / 4\right)}\left|\Gamma_{\pi}(t)\right|^{2} J_{+}^{0}(t)$
$\operatorname{Im} G_{\{E, M\}}^{V}(t)=\frac{k_{c m}^{3}}{\left\{m_{N}, \sqrt{2}\right\} \sqrt{t}}\left|F_{\pi}(t)\right|^{2} J_{ \pm}^{1}(t)$
[1] Hoferichter, Ditsche, Kubis, Meißner, JHEP 063 (2012)
[2] Belushkin, Hammer and Meißner, PRC 75 (2007)
[3] Hoferichter, Kubis, Ruiz de Elvira, Hammer, Meißner EPJA
52 (2016)


[J. M. Alarcón, C. Weiss, in preparation]

## D1XEFT

## - Comparison with respect to the old results



- Conclusions:
- Brute force calculations are hopeless.
- Non-perturbative effects are visible in the near-threshold region.
- Based on unitarity one achieves a factorization suitable for perturbative calculations.




## Scalar Form Factor

## DIXEFT

[J. M. Alarcón, C. Weiss, PRC 96 (20 1 7)]



|  | LO | NLO | NLO+N2LO | GLS $[1]$ | HKMS[2] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle_{S}\left(\mathrm{fm}^{2}\right)$ | $(\sigma(0)=59 \mathrm{MeV})$ | 1.06 | $1.40-1.67$ | $1.03-1.13$ | - | $1.07(4)$ |
| $(\sigma(0)=45 \mathrm{MeV})$ | 1.38 | $1.83-2.19$ | $1.34-1.49$ | 1.6 | - |  |


|  | LO | NLO | NLO+N2LO | GLS [3] | HDKM [4] | ChPT $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{3}\right)$ | $\operatorname{ChPT} \mathcal{O}\left(p^{4}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta_{\sigma}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | 13.3 | $17.4-20.6$ | $13.3-14.5$ | $15.2(4)$ | $13.9(3)$ | 4.6 | $14.0+4 M_{\pi}^{4} \bar{e}_{2}$ |

[1] Gasser, Leutwler, Sainio, PLB 253 260-264, [2] Hoferichter, Klos, Menéndez, Schwenk PRD 94 (2016)
[3] Gasser, Leutwyler, Sainio, PLB 253 252-259, [4] Hoferichter, Ditsche, Kubis, Meißner, JHEP I 206 (20 I 2)
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## DIXEFT

- To compute the EM form factors of proton and neutron, we need the isoscalar component as well.
- One cannot apply the same approach as in the isovector case.
- We parametrize the isoscalar spectral function through the $\omega$ exchange in the narrow with approximation + higher mass pole $P_{S}$.


$$
\operatorname{Im} G_{E, M}^{S}=-\pi \sum_{V=\omega, P_{S}} a_{i}^{E, M} \delta\left(t-M_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

- We fix the couplings by imposing the charge and radii sum rules:

$$
G_{E, M}^{S}(0)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4 M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} d t^{\prime} \frac{\operatorname{Im} G_{i}^{S}\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{\prime}} \quad\left\langle r_{E, M}^{2}\right\rangle^{S}=\frac{6}{\pi} \int_{4 M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} d t^{\prime} \frac{\operatorname{Im} G_{E, M}^{S}\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{\prime 2}}
$$

## DIXEFT

- Reconstructing the form factors with $G_{E, M}^{p, n}(t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4 M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} d t^{\frac{\operatorname{Im}}{} \frac{\operatorname{G} G_{E, M}^{p, n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{\prime}-t-i 0^{+}}}$
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## DIXEFT

- Reconstructing the form factors with $G_{E, M}^{p, n}(t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4 M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} d t^{\prime} \frac{\operatorname{Im} G_{E, M}^{p, n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{\prime}-t-i 0^{+}}$



## DIXEFT

- Moments

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{E}\left(Q^{2}\right)=1-\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{2}\right\rangle}{3!} Q^{2}+\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{4}\right\rangle}{5!} Q^{4}-\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{6}\right\rangle}{7!} Q^{6}+\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{8}\right\rangle}{9!} Q^{8}+\ldots \\
\frac{G_{M}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{\mu_{N}}=1-\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{2}\right\rangle}{3!} Q^{2}+\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{4}\right\rangle}{5!} Q^{4}-\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{6}\right\rangle}{7!} Q^{6}+\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{8}\right\rangle}{9!} Q^{8}+\ldots
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## DIXEFT

- Moments

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{E}\left(Q^{2}\right)=1-\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{2}\right\rangle}{3!} Q^{2}+\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{4}\right\rangle}{5!} Q^{4}-\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{6}\right\rangle}{7!} Q^{6}+\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{8}\right\rangle}{9!} Q^{8}+\ldots \\
\frac{G_{M}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{\mu_{N}}=1-\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{2}\right\rangle}{3!} Q^{2}+\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{4}\right\rangle}{5!} Q^{4}-\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{6}\right\rangle}{7!} Q^{6}+\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{8}\right\rangle}{9!} Q^{8}+\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

|  | $G_{E}^{p}$ | $G_{E}^{n}$ | $G_{M}^{p}$ | $G_{M}^{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle\left(\mathrm{fm}^{2}\right)$ | $(0.70059,0.767638)$ | $(-0.079362,-0.14641)$ | $(0.688927,0.764926)$ | $(0.740108,0.775516)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle\left(\mathrm{fm}^{4}\right)$ | $(1.47274,1.6019)$ | $(-0.635304,-0.506146)$ | $(1.67591,1.78208)$ | $(2.04528,2.04238)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{6}\right\rangle\left(\mathrm{fm}^{6}\right)$ | $(8.51876,8.96183)$ | $(-6.10983,-5.66675)$ | $(11.525,11.5793)$ | $(15.2307,15.6446)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{8}\right\rangle\left(10^{2} \mathrm{fm}^{8}\right)$ | $(1.26893,1.29627)$ | $(-1.1587,-1.13137)$ | $(1.83446,1.8822)$ | $(2.59672,2.69128)$ |
| $\left\langle 1^{10}\right\rangle\left(10^{3} \mathrm{fm}^{10}\right)$ | $(3.93325,3.96482)$ | $(-3.86593,-3.83435)$ | $(5.70736,5.90496)$ | $(8.27382,8.58060)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{12}\right\rangle\left(10^{5} \mathrm{fm}^{12}\right)$ | $(2.04126,2.04851)$ | $(-2.03856,-2.03131)$ | $(2.90303,3.00426)$ | $(4.23250,4.38216)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{14}\right\rangle\left(10^{7} \mathrm{fm}^{14}\right)$ | $(1.55741,1.56055)$ | $(-1.55921,-1.55608)$ | $(2.15788,2.2296)$ | $(3.14973,3.2547)$ |
| $\left\langle 1^{16}\right\rangle\left(10^{9} \mathrm{fm}^{16}\right)$ | $(1.62407,1.62627)$ | $(-1.62604,-1.62384)$ | $(2.19083,2.25977)$ | $(3.19849,3.2992)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{18}\right\rangle\left(10^{11} \mathrm{fm}^{18}\right)$ | $(2.20993,2.21213)$ | $(-2.21208,-2.20988)$ | $(2.90451,2.99138)$ | $(4.24059,4.36742)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{20}\right\rangle\left(10^{13} \mathrm{fm}^{20}\right)$ | $(3.79638,3.79932)$ | $(-3.79931,-3.79637)$ | $(4.86668,5.00572)$ | $(7.1054,7.30839)$ |

## DIXEFT

- Moments

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{E}\left(Q^{2}\right) & =1-\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{2}\right\rangle}{3!} Q^{2}+\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{4}\right\rangle}{5!} Q^{4}-\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{6}\right\rangle}{7!} Q^{6}+\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{8}\right\rangle}{9!} Q^{8}+\ldots \\
\frac{G_{M}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{\mu_{N}} & =1-\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{2}\right\rangle}{3!} Q^{2}+\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{4}\right\rangle}{5!} Q^{4}-\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{6}\right\rangle}{7!} Q^{6}+\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{8}\right\rangle}{9!} Q^{8}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $G_{E}^{p}$ | $G_{E}^{n}$ | $G_{M}^{p}$ | $G_{M}^{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle\left(\mathrm{fm}^{2}\right)$ | $(0.70059,0.767638)$ | $(-0.079362,-0.14641)$ | $(0.688927,0.764926)$ | $(0.740108,0.775516)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle\left(\mathrm{fm}^{4}\right)$ | $(1.47274,1.6019)$ | $(-0.635304,-0.506146)$ | $(1.67591,1.78208)$ | $(2.04528,2.04238)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{6}\right\rangle\left(\mathrm{fm}^{6}\right)$ | $(8.51876,8.96183)$ | $(-6.10983,-5.66675)$ | $(11.525,11.5793)$ | $(15.2307,15.6446)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{8}\right\rangle\left(10^{2} \mathrm{fm}^{8}\right)$ | $(1.26893,1.29627)$ | $(-1.1587,-1.13137)$ | $(1.83446,1.8822)$ | $(2.59672,2.69128)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{10}\right\rangle\left(10^{3} \mathrm{fm}^{10}\right)$ | $(3.93325,3.96482)$ | $(-3.86593,-3.83435)$ | $(5.70736,5.90496)$ | $(8.27382,8.58060)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{12}\right\rangle\left(10^{5} \mathrm{fm}^{12}\right)$ | $(2.04126,2.04851)$ | $(-2.03856,-2.03131)$ | $(2.90303,3.00426)$ | $(4.23250,4.38216)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{14}\right\rangle\left(10^{7} \mathrm{fm}^{14}\right)$ | $(1.55741,1.56055)$ | $(-1.55921,-1.55608)$ | $(2.15788,2.2296)$ | $(3.14973,3.2547)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{16}\right\rangle\left(10^{9} \mathrm{fm}^{16}\right)$ | $(1.62407,1.62627)$ | $(-1.62604,-1.62384)$ | $(2.19083,2.25977)$ | $(3.19849,3.2992)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{18}\right\rangle\left(10^{11} \mathrm{fm}^{18}\right)$ | $(2.20993,2.21213)$ | $(-2.21208,-2.20988)$ | $(2.90451,2.99138)$ | $(4.24059,4.36742)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{20}\right\rangle\left(10^{13} \mathrm{fm}^{20}\right)$ | $(3.79638,3.79932)$ | $(-3.79931,-3.79637)$ | $(4.86668,5.00572)$ | $(7.1054,7.30839)$ |

- Higher order moments governed by the near-threshold region

$$
\left\langle r^{2 n}\right\rangle=\frac{(2 n+1)!}{\pi} \int_{4 M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} d t^{\prime} \frac{\operatorname{Im} G\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{\prime n+1}}
$$

[J. M. Alarcón, C. Weiss, I 7 I 0.06430 ]


## DIXEFT

- Moments $\quad G_{E}\left(Q^{2}\right)=1-\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{2}\right\rangle}{3!} Q^{2}+\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{4}\right\rangle}{5!} Q^{4}-\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{6}\right\rangle}{7!} Q^{6}+\frac{\left\langle r_{E}^{8}\right\rangle}{9!} Q^{8}+\ldots$

$$
\frac{G_{M}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{\mu_{N}}=1-\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{2}\right\rangle}{3!} Q^{2}+\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{4}\right\rangle}{5!} Q^{4}-\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{6}\right\rangle}{7!} Q^{6}+\frac{\left\langle r_{M}^{8}\right\rangle}{9!} Q^{8}+\ldots
$$

|  | $G_{E}^{p}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle\left(\mathrm{fm}^{2}\right)$ | $(0.70059,0.767638)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle\left(\mathrm{fm}^{4}\right)$ | $(1.47274,1.6019)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{\circ}\right\rangle\left(\mathrm{fm}^{6}\right)$ | $(8.51876,8.96183)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{8}\right\rangle\left(10^{2} \mathrm{fm}^{8}\right)$ | $(1.26893,1.29627)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{10}\right\rangle\left(10^{3} \mathrm{fm}^{10}\right)$ | $(3.93325,3.96482)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{12}\right\rangle\left(10^{5} \mathrm{fm}^{12}\right)$ | $(2.04126,2.04851)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{14}\right\rangle\left(10^{7} \mathrm{fm}^{14}\right)$ | $(1.55741,1.56055)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{16}\right\rangle\left(10^{9} \mathrm{fm}^{16}\right)$ | $(1.62407,1.62627)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{18}\right\rangle\left(10^{11} \mathrm{fm}^{18}\right)$ | $(2.20993,2.21213)$ |
| $\left\langle r^{20}\right\rangle\left(10^{13} \mathrm{fm}^{20}\right)$ | $(3.79638,3.79932)$ |



- Higher order moments governed by the near-threshold region
$\left\langle r^{2 n}\right\rangle=\frac{(2 n+1)!}{\pi} \int_{4 M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} d t^{\prime} \frac{\operatorname{Im} G\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{\prime n+1}}$
[J. M. Alarcón, C. Weiss, I 7 I 0.06430 ]
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## Nucleon Densities

- Charge and magnetization densities reveal the the spatial distribution of charge and magnetization inside the nucleon.
- For relativistic system as the nucleon is necessary to project into the transverse plane to avoid any ambiguity.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle B^{\prime}\right| J^{+}(b)|B\rangle=[\ldots]\left[\rho_{1}(b)+\left(2 S^{y}\right) \cos \phi \tilde{\rho}_{2}(b)\right] \\
& \rho_{1}(b)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d \Delta_{T} \frac{\Delta_{T} J_{0}\left(\Delta_{T} b\right)}{2 \pi} F_{1}^{B}\left(t=-\Delta_{T}^{2}\right)=\int_{t_{\mathrm{thr}}}^{\infty} d t \frac{K_{0}(\sqrt{t} b)}{2 \pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im} F_{1}^{B}(t)}{\pi} \\
& \tilde{\rho}_{2}(b)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d \Delta_{T} \frac{-\Delta_{T}^{2} J_{1}\left(\Delta_{T} b\right)}{4 \pi m_{B}} F_{2}^{B}\left(t=-\Delta_{T}^{2}\right)=\int_{t_{\text {thr }}}^{\infty} d t \frac{-\sqrt{t} K_{1}(\sqrt{t} b)}{4 \pi m_{B}} \frac{\operatorname{Im} F_{2}^{B}(t)}{\pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Nucleon Densities

- Charge and magnetization densities reveal the the spatial distribution of charge and magnetization inside the nucleon.
- For relativistic system as the nucleon is necessary to project into the transverse plane to avoid any ambiguity.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle B^{\prime}\right| J^{+}(b)|B\rangle=[\ldots]\left[\rho_{1}(b)+\left(2 S^{y}\right) \cos \phi \tilde{\rho}_{2}(b)\right] \\
& \rho_{1}(b)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d \Delta_{T} \frac{\Delta_{T} J_{0}\left(\Delta_{T} b\right)}{2 \pi} F_{1}^{B}\left(t=-\Delta_{T}^{2}\right)=\int_{t_{\mathrm{thr}}}^{\infty} d t \frac{K_{0}(\sqrt{t} b)}{2 \pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im} F_{1}^{B}(t)}{\pi} \\
& \tilde{\rho}_{2}(b)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d \Delta_{T} \frac{-\Delta_{T}^{2} J_{1}\left(\Delta_{T} b\right)}{4 \pi m_{B}} F_{2}^{B}\left(t=-\Delta_{T}^{2}\right)=\int_{t_{\mathrm{thr}}}^{\infty} d t \frac{-\sqrt{t} K_{1}(\sqrt{t} b)}{4 \pi m_{B}} \frac{\operatorname{Im} F_{2}^{B}(t)}{\pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The input necessary to compute the densities can be taken from experimental data (parametrizations) or theory.


## Nucleon Densities

- Densities are more sensitive to near-threshold contributions for b $>1$ fm:

$$
K_{0,1}(\sqrt{t} b) \sim \frac{e^{-\sqrt{t} b}}{(\sqrt{t} b)^{1 / 2}} \quad(\sqrt{t} b \gg 1)
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## Nucleon Densities

- Densities are more sensitive to near-threshold contributions for b> | fm:

$$
K_{0,1}(\sqrt{t} b) \sim \frac{e^{-\sqrt{t} b}}{(\sqrt{t} b)^{1 / 2}}
$$

$$
(\sqrt{t} b \gg 1) \longrightarrow \text { Suited for ChEFT! }
$$



## Nucleon Densities

- Charge Densities


- Magnetization Densities


[J. M. Alarcón, C. Weiss, in preparation]


## Summary and Conclusions

## Summary and Conclusions

-Through unitarity, it is possible to find a representation suited for ChEFT $\rightarrow$ Predictions of the Nucleon Form factors.

- The results improve previous ChEFT calculations and are competitive with dispersion theory calculations.
- EM FFs have a much complex structure that what it seems.
- DIXEFT implements the constrains that allow to reconstruct the FFs with its full complexity:
- Analyses of FF data.
- Two photon exchange corrections to $e^{-p}$ scattering.
- Results used to understand "Proton Radius Puzzle" (PRad).
- Learn about the partonic structure of the nucleon.
- New promising method to compute nucleon matrix elements from first principles (EM tensor, D-term, extension to G-parity odd, ...).



## Spares

## DIXEFT

- Reconstructing the form factors with $G_{E, M}^{p, n}(t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4 M M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} d t^{\prime} \frac{\operatorname{Im} G_{E, M}^{p, n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{\prime}-t-i 0^{+}}$



## DIXEFT

## - Comparison with respect to the old results

[T. Bauer, J. Bernauer, S. Scherer, PRC 86 (20| 2)]

J. M. Alarcón (JLab)

Nucleon Form Factors in DIXEFT

## DIXEFT

$\left(Q^{2}{ }_{\text {max }}=0.2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right) \chi_{\text {red }^{2}}{ }^{2}$ : green $<1.08$, blue $<1.10$, red $<1.14$


Is lowest reduced chi-squared $\chi_{\mathrm{red}}{ }^{2}$ the answer?
If not, why not?
Are there systematic problems with the MAMI data?


Clearly: $\mathrm{P} \& P$ prediction $0.6(3)=$ No Go
I. Sick \& D. Trautmann: 2.01 (5) PRC 2017
M. Distler: $2.6 \mathrm{fm}^{4}$

Note the $R_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{vs}\left\langle\mathrm{r}^{4}\right\rangle$ e correlation !!

## DIXEFT

## Talk by Marko Horbatsch (JLab, 12/8/2017)

Is it consistent for the higher moments?
J.A \& C.W arXiv 1710.06430

(Courtesy of Marko Horbatsch)

## DIXEFT

- We study the naturalness of the isovector moments by defining:

$$
a_{n}=\frac{\left\langle r^{2 n}\right\rangle^{V}}{(2 n+1)!}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4 M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} d t^{\prime} \frac{\operatorname{Im} G^{V}\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{\prime n+1}}
$$

- If the integral were dominated by a certain region $t^{\prime}$, the ratio $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_{n}}$ would be given by the average of $1 / t^{\prime}$ over this region.

J. M. Alarcón (JLab)

Nucleon Form Factors in DIXEFT

