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## Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)

ODescribe the internal structure of the nucleons

OUniversal
ONon-perturbative objects
OUsually obtained by fitting observables to experimental data


Accardi et al., PRD 93, 114017 (2016).

## Novel idea: PDFs on the lattice

PDFs from QCD: the only non-perturbative way to study QCD is lattice QCD.

$$
t_{M} \rightarrow-i t_{E}
$$

Lattice QCD is defined by...
O Discretization
O Euclidean vs Minkowski
o Finite volume
O Quark masses


## PDFs on the lattice

Ocalculations are already on the way... as you saw Monday

Oin order to know if we're calculating things correctly, we need to understand systematics

O Discretization 【.
O Euclidean vs Minkowski $\mathbb{\square}$
O Renormalization $\square$
O Finite volume wnobody has talked about FV effects...

## Scheme to extract PDFs from the lattice

PDFs on the lattice

There are different techniques:
evaluation of matrix elements of non-local operators

Owilson lines: $\langle N| \bar{q} W q|N\rangle_{\infty}$

Otwo current operators: $\langle N| \mathcal{J}(0, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J}(0)|N\rangle_{\infty}$

$$
\begin{array}{c|c}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Lattice QCD } \\
\langle N| \bar{q} W q|N\rangle_{V} \\
\langle N| \mathcal{J}(0, \xi) \mathcal{J}(0)|N\rangle_{V}
\end{array} & ? \\
\langle N| \mathcal{J}(0, \xi) \mathcal{J}(0)|N\rangle_{\infty}
\end{array}
$$

## Finite volume: Infrared limit of the theory
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## Finite volume: Infrared limit of the theory

O Finite-volume artifacts arise from the interactions with mirror images
O Assuming $L \gg$ size of the hadrons $\sim 1 / m_{\pi}$

- This is a purely infrared artifact
- We can determine these artifact using hadrons as the degrees of freedom

$\square$ interactions with mirror images: Yukawa

$$
m_{N}(L)-m_{N}(\infty) \sim\langle N| \hat{V}|N\rangle_{L} \sim e^{-m_{\pi} L}
$$

## Finite volume effects: Matrix elements

OIn general, the masses and matrix elements of stable particles have been observed to have these exponentially supressed corrections.

OBut matrix elements of non-local currents suffer of larger FV effects:
$\langle N| \mathcal{J}(0, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J}(0)|N\rangle_{\infty}$ : generally decays as a function of $\xi$
$\langle N| \mathcal{J}(0, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J}(0)|N\rangle_{V}$ : periodic, since

$$
\mathcal{J}(t, \mathbf{x})=\mathcal{J}\left(t, \mathbf{x}+L \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)
$$

Expect enhanced finite volume effects to keep periodicity!
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Expect enhanced finite volume effects to keep periodicity!

## Finite volume effects: Matrix elements

Wilson line is not periodic:
$W\left[x+\xi \mathbf{e}_{i}, x\right] \equiv U_{i}\left(x+(\xi-a) \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) U_{i}\left(x+(\xi-2 a) \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \times \cdots \times U_{i}\left(x+a \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)$

Quark bilinears connected to Wilson Lines:
$\bar{q}\left(x+(\xi+n L) \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) W\left[x+(\xi+n L) \mathbf{e}_{i}, x\right] q(x)=\bar{q}\left(x+\xi \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) W\left[x+\xi \mathbf{e}_{i}, x\right]\left(W\left[x+L \mathbf{e}_{i}, x\right]^{n}\right) q(x)$
are no periodic. However,
$q(x)$ and $U(x)$ feel
boundary conditions
expect enhanced finite volume effects for large $\xi$

## Physics in a 1D finite box

O Free particle wave function: $\varphi_{p}(x)=e^{i p x}$


$$
\varphi_{p}(L+x)=e^{i p(x+L)}=\varphi_{p}(x)=e^{i p x}
$$

O Discretized momentum and spectrum: $p=\frac{2 \pi n}{L}$

O Question: What happens to the masses determined in a finite-volume?

## Masses in an infinite volume

mass $=$ pole location of the fully dressed propagator:
$[\longrightarrow]_{\infty}=-\infty+\infty+\infty$
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## Masses in a finite volume

infinite volume mass = pole of infinite volume propagator:

$$
[--]_{\infty}=\frac{1}{\frac{p^{2}-m_{0}^{2}}{i}-i I_{\infty}} \longrightarrow \frac{i}{p^{2}-m_{\infty}^{2}}
$$

finite volume mass = pole of finite volume propagator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\longrightarrow-]_{\mathrm{FV}} }=\frac{1}{\frac{p^{2}-m_{0}^{2}}{i}-i I_{\mathrm{FV}}} \\
&=\frac{1}{\frac{p^{2}-m_{0}^{2}}{i}-i I_{\infty}-i\left(I_{\mathrm{FV}}-I_{\infty}\right)} \\
&=\frac{1}{\frac{p^{2}-m_{\infty}^{2}}{i}-i \delta I_{\mathrm{FV}}} \longrightarrow \frac{i}{p^{2}-m_{\mathrm{FV}}^{2}} \\
& \text { we need to calculate this... }
\end{aligned}
$$

## A simple example: mass of a pion

Consider a toy model for mesons

$$
\mathcal{L}_{M}=\frac{\lambda}{4!} \varphi^{4}
$$

Bare propagator has no volume dependence:

$$
------=\Delta_{0}\left(p^{2}\right)=\frac{i}{p^{2}-m_{0}^{2}+i \epsilon}
$$

so we have to have to go to loops... self-energy...


O In a Finite Volume, integrals over momenta become sums:

$$
\text { 1D: } \int \frac{d k_{i}}{2 \pi} \rightarrow \sum_{k_{i}} \frac{\Delta k_{i}}{2 \pi}=\sum_{k_{i}} \frac{2 \pi \Delta n}{2 \pi L}=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{k_{i}} \quad 3 \mathrm{D}: \int \frac{d^{3} k}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{k_{i}}
$$

## A simple example: self-energy of a pion

 in infinite volume:$$
I_{\infty}=\int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+m_{\pi}^{2}}
$$

Poisson summation
in finite volume:

$$
I_{\mathrm{FV}}=\frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \int \frac{d k_{4}}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{k^{2}+m_{\pi}^{2}} \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n} L}}{k^{2}+m_{\pi}^{2}}
$$

finite/infinite volume difference:

$$
\delta I_{\mathrm{FV}}=I_{\mathrm{FV}}-I_{\infty}=\sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n} L}}{k^{2}+m_{\pi}^{2}}
$$

## A simple example: self-energy of a pion

some details...

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta I_{\mathrm{FV}} & =\sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot L \mathbf{n}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+m^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \int \frac{k^{2} d k d \cos \theta}{(2 \pi)^{2}} e^{i k l_{n} \cos \theta} \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}} \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2} d k}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}} \frac{1}{i k l_{n}}\left(e^{i k l_{n}}-e^{-i k l_{n}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k d k}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}} \frac{1}{i l_{n}} e^{i k l_{n}} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \frac{1}{2 i l_{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k \frac{k}{\sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}} e^{i k l_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{+}=i m+i r e^{i \epsilon} \\
k_{-}=i m+i r e^{i(2 \pi-\epsilon)} \\
\left.\sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}\right|_{k=k_{-}}=-\left.\sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}\right|_{k=k_{+}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## A simple example: self-energy of a pion

almost done...

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta I_{\mathrm{FV}} & =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \frac{1}{i l_{n}} \int_{i m}^{i \infty} d k \frac{k}{\sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}} e^{i k l_{n}} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \frac{m}{l_{n}} \int_{1}^{\infty} d q \frac{q}{\sqrt{q^{2}-1}} e^{-q m l_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

final result:

$$
\delta I_{\mathrm{FV}}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}}\left(\frac{m}{|\mathbf{n}| L}\right) K_{1}(|\mathbf{n}| L m) \sim e^{-m L}
$$

## A simple example: self-energy of a pion

$$
m_{\pi}(L)=m_{\pi}+c \frac{e^{-m_{\pi} L}}{\left(m_{\pi} L\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$



Dudek, Edwards \& Thomas (2012)
$m_{\pi} \sim 390 \mathrm{MeV}, a_{s} \sim 0.12 \mathrm{fm} \longrightarrow m_{\pi} L \sim 3.8,4.7,5.6$

## General observations:

OFV corrections come from sums/integrals of momenta.

OFor masses and local currents, if the intermediate states cannot go on-shell, the FV corrections are exponentially small

OFor example, for the pion form factor :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\ldots . . .]_{\mathrm{FV}}=[\ldots \ldots]_{\infty}} \\
& {[\ldots,]_{\mathrm{FV}}=[\ldots . .]_{\infty}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-m_{\pi} L}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## General observations:

OFor heavy particles, these observations persists: Nucleon

OPion cloud in the mass: exponential corrections with the pion mass...not the nucleon mass

OPion cloud in the form factors...: exponential corrections with the pion mass... not the nucleon mass

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [\xi]]_{\mathrm{FV}}=[\underline{\xi}]_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Our toy model

Consider a theory with two scalar particles
$O$ a light one, $\varphi$, analogous to the pion
O a heavy one, $\chi$, analogous to the nucleon
O momentum independent coupling

$$
m_{\varphi} \ll m_{\chi}
$$



Coupling to an external current :



## Light external states



Finite volume correction: $\quad \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\xi, \mathbf{p})=g_{\varphi}^{2} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \int_{q_{E}} \frac{e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\xi}+i L \mathbf{n})}}{\left(p_{E}+q_{E}\right)^{2}+m_{\varphi}^{2}}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p})=\frac{m_{\varphi} g_{\varphi}^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}} e^{-i \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \frac{K_{1}\left(m_{\varphi}|\boldsymbol{\xi}+L \mathbf{n}|\right)}{|\boldsymbol{\xi}+L \mathbf{n}|} \sim \frac{m_{\varphi} g_{\varphi}^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}} \frac{K_{1}\left(m_{\varphi}|L-\xi|\right)}{|L-\xi|} \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p}) \propto \frac{e^{-m_{\varphi}(L-\xi)}}{(L-\xi)^{3 / 2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Light external states


Expected behavior!
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## Light external states



## Heavy external states

## Leading order



$$
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p}) \propto \frac{e^{-m_{\chi}(L-\xi)}}{(L-\xi)^{3 / 2}} \ll e^{-m_{\varphi}(L-\xi)}
$$

## Heavy external states

## Leading order
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## In general...

## We find that in general the matrix elements...

$\langle M| \mathcal{J}(0, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J}(0)|M\rangle_{L}-\langle M| \mathcal{J}(0, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J}(0)|M\rangle_{\infty}=P_{a}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, L) e^{-M(L-\xi)}+P_{b}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, L) e^{-m_{\pi} L}+\cdots$,

Polynomial prefactors $\propto L^{m} /|L-\xi|^{n}$

## Open questions...

EFT for small separations - sensible?

Wilson lines in EFT...?

Non-zero momenta..p~1/L...momentum and volume dependence will mix...not obvious how to proceed

Flavor changing currents...?

Quark mass dependence will be similar to the FV dependence within $\chi$ PT...

## Summary

oWe presented first steps towards understanding finite-volume artifacts that arise in matrix elements of spatially non-local operators.
*matrix elements of spatially-separated currents, one of the approaches to determine hadron structure from lattice QCD.
oWe considered a toy model involving two scalar particles to estimate the size of finite-volume corrections.

- lightest particle: LO contribution dominant, effects scale like: $P(\xi, L) e^{-m_{\pi}(L-\xi)}$ heaviest particle: NLO contribution dominant, effects scale like: $P(\xi, L) e^{-m_{\pi} L}$


## Thank you!

## Backup slides

## Asymptotic behaviors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(b)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=g^{2} g_{\varphi} g_{\chi} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathcal{I}_{2}[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; M(x)]\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} y \mathcal{I}_{2}[|L \mathbf{m}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; M(y)]\right], \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(c)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=2 g^{2} g_{\chi}^{2} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\chi}\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x(1-x) \mathcal{I}_{3}[|L \mathbf{m}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; M(x)]\right], \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(d)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=g_{\chi \varphi}^{2} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\chi}\right] \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{m}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\varphi}\right] \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(e)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=g g_{\varphi} g_{\chi \varphi} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\varphi}\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathcal{I}_{2}[|L \mathbf{m}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; M(x)]\right], \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=g g_{\chi} g_{\chi \varphi} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\chi}\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathcal{I}_{2}[|L \mathbf{m}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; M(x)]\right], \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(g)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=g g_{\chi \varphi} g_{\chi} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\chi}\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathcal{I}_{2}[|L \mathbf{m}| ; M(x)]\right], \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(h)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=\frac{1}{2} g_{\chi} g_{\chi \varphi \varphi} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\chi}\right] \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{m}| ; m_{\varphi}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Asymptotic behaviors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(a)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & \sim \frac{g^{2} g_{\varphi}^{2}}{128 \pi^{3} m_{\varphi}}\left[\frac{\xi^{1 / 2}}{(L-\xi)^{3 / 2}} H_{x, 3 / 2}(\xi)+\frac{(L-\xi)^{1 / 2}}{\xi^{3 / 2}} H_{x, 3 / 2}(L-\xi)\right] e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(b)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & \sim \frac{g^{2} g_{\varphi} g_{\chi}}{64 \pi^{3} m_{\varphi}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{1 / 2}(L-\xi)^{1 / 2}} H_{1,1 / 2}(\xi) H_{1,1 / 2}(L-\xi)\right] e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(c)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g^{2} g_{\chi}^{2}}{128 \pi^{3}} \frac{m_{\chi}^{1 / 2}}{m_{\varphi}^{3 / 2}}\left[\frac{(L-\xi)^{1 / 2}}{\xi^{3 / 2}} H_{1-x, 3 / 2}(L-\xi)\right] e^{-\xi\left(m_{\chi}-m_{\varphi}\right)} e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(d)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g_{\chi \varphi}^{2} m_{\chi}^{1 / 2} m_{\varphi}^{1 / 2}}{32 \pi^{3}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{3 / 2}(L-\xi)^{3 / 2}}\right] e^{-\xi\left(m_{\chi}-m_{\varphi}\right)} e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(e)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g g_{\varphi} g_{\chi \varphi}}{64 \pi^{3}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{1 / 2}(L-\xi)^{3 / 2}} H_{1,1 / 2}(\xi)+\frac{1}{\xi^{3 / 2}(L-\xi)^{1 / 2}} H_{1,1 / 2}(L-\xi)\right] e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g g_{\chi} g_{\chi \varphi} m_{\chi}^{1 / 2}}{64 \pi^{3} m_{\varphi}^{1 / 2}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{3 / 2}(L-\xi)^{1 / 2}} H_{1,1 / 2}(L-\xi)\right] e^{-\xi\left(m_{\chi}-m_{\varphi}\right)} e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(g)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g g_{\chi \varphi} g_{\chi} m_{\chi}^{1 / 2}}{64 \pi^{3} m_{\varphi}^{1 / 2}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{3 / 2} L^{1 / 2}} H_{1,1 / 2}(L)\right] e^{-\xi m_{\chi}} e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(h)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g_{\chi} g_{\chi \varphi \varphi} m_{\varphi}^{1 / 2} m_{\chi}^{1 / 2}}{64 \pi^{3}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{3 / 2} L^{3 / 2}}\right] e^{-m_{\chi} \xi} e^{-m_{\varphi} L},
\end{aligned}
$$

## Heavy external states: Next to Leading Order



