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Course Outline

- **Lecture 1:** Beams and detectors
- **Lecture 2:** Elastic Scattering:
  - Charge and mass distributions
  - Deuteron form factors
- **Lectures 3+4:**
  - Single nucleon distributions in nuclei
    - Energy
    - Momentum
  - Correlated nucleon pairs.
- **Lecture 5:** Quarks in Nuclei
  - Nucleon modification in nuclei
  - Hadronization
  - Color transparency
Comprehensive Theory Overview

Nuclear Theory, circa 1980

1, 2... MANY

Nuclear Theory - circa 2000

1, 2, 3, 4... MANY

Nuclear Theory - today: 1, 2, 3, ... 12, ... many
It’s all photons!

- An electron interacts with a nucleus by exchanging a single* virtual photon.

Real photon:
- Momentum $q = \text{energy } \nu$
- Mass $= Q^2 = |q|^2 - \nu^2 = 0$

$$\lambda = \frac{\hbar}{|\vec{q}|}$$

Virtual photon:
- Momentum $q > \text{energy } \nu$
- $Q^2 = -q_\mu q^\mu = |q|^2 - \nu^2 > 0$
- Virtual photon has mass!

($\nu$ and $\omega$ are both used for energy transfer)
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\((e,e')\) spectrum

**Generic Electron Scattering at fixed momentum transfer**

**Elastic**

**Quasielastic**

**Giant resonance**

**DOUBLE INELASTIC**

**NUCLEUS**

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} \]

\[ \frac{Q^2}{2A} \]

\[ \frac{Q^2}{2m} \]

\[ \frac{Q^2}{2m} + 300 \text{ MeV} \]

**PROTON**

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} \]

\[ \frac{Q^2}{2m} \]

**DEEP INELASTIC**

**EMC**

**QUARKS**
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Experimental goals:

• Elastic scattering
  - structure of the nucleus
    • Form factors, charge distributions, spin dependent FF

• Quasielastic (QE) scattering
  - Shell structure
    • Momentum distributions
    • Occupancies
  - Short Range Correlated nucleon pairs
  - Nuclear transparency and color transparency

• Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS)
  - The EMC Effect and Nucleon modification in nuclei
  - Quark hadronization in nuclei
Inclusive electron scattering \((e,e')\)

\[
k'^\mu = (E', \vec{k}')
\]

\[
k^\mu = (E, \vec{k})
\]

\[
p'^\mu = (E_p, \vec{p}_p)
\]
(not detected)

Lab frame kinematics

\[
q^\mu = (\omega, \vec{q})
\]

\[
q^\mu = k^\mu - k'^\mu
\]

\[
p^\mu = (M, \vec{0})
\]

\textbf{Invariants:}

\[
p^\mu p_\mu = M^2
\]

\[
p_\mu q^\mu = M \omega
\]

\[
Q^2 = -q^\mu q_\mu = |\vec{q}|^2 - \omega^2
\]

\[
W^2 = (q^\mu + p^\mu)^2 = p'_\mu p'^\mu
\]
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(e,e') Elastic cross section \((p'^2 = M^2)\)

Recoil factor

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \sigma_M \left( \frac{E'}{E} \right) \left\{ \left[ F_1^2(Q^2) + \frac{Q^2}{4M^2} \kappa^2 F_2^2(Q^2) \right] + \frac{Q^2}{2M^2} [F_1(Q^2) + \kappa F_2(Q^2)]^2 \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right\}
\]

\[
= \sigma_M \left( \frac{E'}{E} \right) \left[ \frac{G_E^2(Q^2) + \tau G_M^2(Q^2)}{1 + \tau} \right] + 2\tau \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} G_M^2(Q^2)
\]

\[
= \sigma_M \left( \frac{E'}{E} \right) \left[ \frac{Q^4}{q^4} R_L(Q^2) + \left( \frac{Q^2}{2q^2} + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) R_T(Q^2) \right]
\]

Mott cross section

\[
\sigma_M = \frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\theta_e}{2} \right)}{4E^2 \sin^4 \left( \frac{\theta_e}{2} \right)}
\]

For inelastic scattering:

\[
R_L(Q^2) \rightarrow R_L(Q^2, \nu)
\]

\[
F_1, F_2: \text{ Dirac and Pauli form factors}
\]

\[
G_E, G_M: \text{ Sachs form factors (electric and magnetic)}
\]

\[
G_E(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) - \tau F_2(Q^2) \quad \tau = Q^2/4M^2
\]

\[
G_M(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) + F_2(Q^2)
\]

\[
R_L, R_T: \text{ Longitudinal and transverse response fn}
\]
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Notes on form factors

• $G_E, G_M, F_1$ and $F_2$ refer to nucleons
  - $F_1^p(0) = 1$, $F_2^p(0) = \kappa_p = 1.79$
  - $F_1^n(0) = 0$, $F_2^n(0) = \kappa_n = -1.91$
  - $G_E^p(0) = 1$, $G_M^p(0) = 1 + \kappa_p = 2.79$
  - $G_E^n(0) = 0$, $G_M^n(0) = \kappa_n = -1.91$

• $R_L$ and $R_T$ refer to nuclei
Structure of the nucleus

- Nucleons are bound
  - Energy ($E$) distribution
  - Shell structure
- Nucleons are not static
  - Momentum ($k$) distribution

Determined by the N-N potential

On average:
- Net binding energy: $\approx 8$ MeV
- Distance: $\approx 2$ fm

Strong repulsion

NN correlations
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Shell Structure (Maria Goeppert-Mayer, Jensen, 1949, Nobel Prize 1963)

nuclear density $10^{18}$ kg/m$^3$

With the enormous strong force acting between them and with so many nucleons to collide with, how can nucleons possibly complete whole orbits without interacting?

Pauli Exclusion Principle: nucleons can not scatter into occupied levels: Suppression of collisions between nucleons

But: there is experimental evidence for shell structure
Independent Particle Shell model (IPSM)

• single particle approximation:
  nucleons move independently from each other
in an average potential created by the other nucleons (mean field)
  spectral function $S(E,k)$:
  probability of finding a proton with initial momentum $k$ and
  energy $E$ in the nucleus
• factorizes into energy & momentum part

nuclear matter:

\[
Z(E) \quad \text{occupied} \quad \text{empty} \quad E \\quad E_F \\
\]

\[E_F = \frac{k_F^2}{2m_p}\]

\[
Z(k) \quad \text{occupied} \quad \text{empty} \quad k \quad k_F
\]

nuclei: $S(\vec{p}, E) = \sum_i | \Phi_a(p) |^2 \delta(E + \epsilon_a)$

Not 100% accurate, but a good starting point
Electron-nucleus interactions

I. Elastic

II. Quasielastic

Giant resonance

\( \frac{Q^2}{2A} \)

\( \frac{Q^2}{2m} \)

\( \frac{Q^2}{2m} + 300 \text{ MeV} \)

\( \Delta \)

\( N^* \)

III. NUCLEUS

DEEP INELASTIC “EMC”

\( \omega \)

PROTON

Elastic

\( \Delta \)

\( N^* \)

DEEP INELASTIC “QUARKS”

\( \omega \)
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II. Quasielastic scattering

\[ \frac{Q^2}{2A} \]

Giant resonance

\[ \frac{Q^2}{2m} \]

\[ \frac{Q^2}{2m} + 300 \text{ MeV} \]

NUCLEUS

DEEP INELASTIC “EMC”

PROTON

DEEP INELASTIC “QUARKS”
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Fermi gas model: how simple a model can you make?

Initial nucleon energy: \( KE_i = \frac{p_i^2}{2m_p} \)
Final nucleon energy: \( KE_f = \frac{p_f^2}{2m_p} = \frac{(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p}_i)^2}{2m_p} \)

Energy transfer: \( \nu = KE_f - KE_i = \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m_p} + \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}_i}{m_p} \)

Expect:
- Peak centroid at \( \nu = \frac{q^2}{2m_p} + \varepsilon \)
- Peak width \( 2qp_{\text{fermi}}/m_p \)
- Total peak cross section = \( Z\sigma_{ep} + N\sigma_{en} \)
Early 1970's Quasielastic Data

- Getting the bulk features

500 MeV, 60 degrees

$\vec{q} \sim 500\text{MeV/c}$

R.R. Whitney et al., PRC 9, 2230 (1974).
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Nuclear mass (A) dependence

Heavier nucleus → higher nucleon momenta → broadened peak

\[ x = \frac{Q^2}{2m_p \nu} \]

\[ x = 1 \rightarrow \nu = \frac{Q^2}{2m_p} \]
Inclusive Electron Scattering from Nuclei: Two processes

Quasielastic from nucleons

\[
\vec{q} \rightarrow \vec{k} + \vec{q}, \quad W^2 = M^2
\]

\[M_A, M_{A-1}, -\vec{k}\]

Inelastic from nucleons (including Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS))

\[
\vec{q} \rightarrow \vec{k}, \quad W^2 \geq (M_n + m_\pi)^2
\]

\[M_A, M_{A-1}, -\vec{k}\]

Inclusive final state means cannot separate two processes

Exploit their different \(Q^2\) dependencies

\[
\sigma_{\text{nucleon}} \sim (\text{nucleon elastic form factor})^2
\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{DIS}} \sim \ln(Q^2) \quad (\text{at large } Q^2)
\]
• As $Q^2 \gg 1$ inelastic scattering from the nucleons begins to dominate
• Quasi Elastic scattering is still dominant at low energy loss ($\nu$), even at high $Q^2$
Scaling

• The dependence of a cross section, in certain kinematic regions, on a single variable.
  • If the data scales, it validates the scaling assumption
  • Scale-breaking indicates new physics
• At moderate $Q^2$ and $x>1$ we expect to see evidence for $y$-scaling, indicating that the electrons are scattering from quasifree nucleons
  • $y =$ minimum momentum of struck nucleon
• At high $Q^2$ we expect to see evidence for $x$-scaling, indicating that the electrons are scattering from quarks.
  • $x = Q^2/2mv =$ fraction of nucleon momentum carried by struck quark (in infinite momentum frame)
Classical Scaling

Galileo realized that if one simply scaled up an animal's size, its weight would increase significantly faster than its strength. “...you can plainly see the impossibility of increasing the size of structures to vast dimensions...if his height be increased inordinately, he will fall and be crushed under his own weight.”

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Strength} & \propto \frac{\text{Area}}{\text{Volume}} \propto \frac{L^2}{L^3} \propto \frac{1}{\text{Weight}^{1/3}}
\end{align*}
\]

Smaller animals appear stronger.

Explains why small animals can leap as high as large one...

---

G. West, LANL report
Metabolism

Metabolic rate $B$: heat lost by a body in a steady inactive state

Should be dominated by sweating and radiation (proportional to surface area or weight $W^{2/3}$)

$B \propto W^{2/3}$

Best fit slope $\approx 3/4$

Therefore not just pure geometry

• Different shape animals
• Different insulation (elephants have less fur)

Deviations from the geometrical or kinematic analysis reflects the dynamics of the system.

Deviations from naive scaling probe other features of the system.
Scaling: Selecting the relevant variables

The Dace, a fresh water fish

Scaling and scaling violations reveal information about the dynamics of the system

Knut Schmidt-Nielsen, from Scaling: Why is Animal Size So Important?
y-scaling in inclusive electron scattering from $^3\text{He}$

$^3\text{He}(e,e')$ at various $Q^2$

Assumption: scattering takes place from a quasi-free proton or neutron in the nucleus.

$y$ is the momentum of the struck nucleon parallel to the momentum transfer: $y \approx \frac{-q}{2} + \frac{mv}{q}$ (nonrelativistically)

IF the scattering is quasifree, then $F(y)$ is the integral over all perpendicular nucleon momenta (nonrelativistically).

Goal: extract the momentum distribution $n(k)$ from $F(y)$. 

$$F(y) = \frac{\sigma^{\text{exp}}}{(Z\tilde{\sigma}_p + N\tilde{\sigma}_n)} \cdot K$$

$$n(k) = -\frac{1}{2\pi y} \frac{dF(y)}{dy}$$
Assumptions & Potential Scale
Breaking Mechanisms

- No Final State Interactions (FSI)
- No internal excitation of (A-1)
- Full strength of Spectral function can be integrated over at finite $q$
- No inelastic processes (choose $y<0$)
- No medium modifications (discussed later)
Y-scaling works!

Cross section $d\sigma/d\Omega dE$ for $^3\text{He}$ and Iron.

Energy transfer $\nu$ (GeV) vs $F(y)$ for $^3\text{He}$ and Iron.

Y-scaling works!
F(y,q) converges to F(y) at moderate momentum transfer

$^3\text{He}$

$y = -0.2$

$\frac{1}{q} \text{ (GeV/c)}$

$q \to \infty$

$F(y,q)$

$F(y,q)$ converges to $F(y)$ at moderate momentum transfer

$^{56}\text{Fe}$

$y = -0.2$

$\frac{1}{q} \text{ (GeV/c)}$

$F(y,q)$

$F(y,q)$ converges to $F(y)$ at moderate momentum transfer

$^{3\text{He}}$

$y = -0.4$

$\frac{1}{q} \text{ (GeV/c)}$

$q \to \infty$

$F(y,q)$

$F(y,q)$ converges to $F(y)$ at moderate momentum transfer

$^{56}\text{Fe}$

$y = -0.4$

$\frac{1}{q} \text{ (GeV/c)}$

$F(y,q)$

$F(y,q)$ converges to $F(y)$ at moderate momentum transfer
Final State Interactions (FSI) complicate this simple picture

\(^4\text{He}(e,e')\) at 3.595 GeV, 30°

Benhar et al. PRC 44, 2328
Benhar, Pandharipande, PRC 47, 2218
Benhar et al. PLB 3443, 47
Now let's separate $R_L$ (longitudinal) and $R_T$ (transverse): $^4\text{He}(e,e')$

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega dE} = \sigma_M \frac{E'}{E} \left[ \frac{Q^4}{q^4} R_L(Q^2, \omega) + \left( \frac{Q^2}{2q^2} + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) R_T(Q^2, \omega) \right]
\]

Fix $Q^2$ and $\omega$

1. **Measure** $d\sigma/d\omega dE'$ at large $E_e$ and small $\theta$
2. **Measure** $d\sigma/d\omega dE'$ at small $E_e$ and large $\theta$
3. **Take linear combination** to extract $R_L, R_T$

Von Reden et al, PRC 41, 1084 (1990)
Fermi Gas Model: Too good to be true?

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega dE} = \sigma M \frac{E'}{E} \left[ \frac{Q^4}{q^4} R_L(Q^2, \omega) + \left( \frac{Q^2}{2q^2} + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) R_T(Q^2, \omega) \right]
\]

- \( y = \text{minimum initial nucleon momentum} = m\omega/q - q/2 \) (nonrelativistic only!)
- \( f = \text{reduced response function} \)

\[
f_L(Q^2, \omega) \propto \frac{R_L(Q^2, \omega)}{\tilde{G}_B^2(Q^2)} \quad \text{and} \quad f_T(Q^2, \omega) \propto \frac{R_T(Q^2, \omega)}{\tilde{G}_M^2(Q^2)}
\]

- L scales
- T scales
- T ≠ L!!

To be explained later.

P. Barreau et al, NPA 402, 515 (1983)
Finn et al, PRC 29, 2230 (1984)
What causes the T/L difference?

$^3$He

$^4$He

$q = 0.5 \text{ GeV/c}$

$F_T = F_L$

$q = 1 \text{ GeV/c}$

$F_T > F_L$

$F_T \approx F_L$

$q = 0.5 \text{ GeV/c}$

$q = 1 \text{ GeV/c}$

$F_T > F_L$

$F_T \approx F_L$

$^3$He: $F_T = F_L$ (at $y < 0$)

$^4$He and C: $F_T > F_L$

Extra transverse reaction mechanism in dense nuclei!

Gets smaller at higher $q$

What is it?

To be continued ...

Meziani et al, PRL 69 41 (1992)
(e,e') summary

• Go to low $\omega$ side of QE peak ($y<0$ or $x>1$)
• Scaling $\rightarrow$ knockout is quasifree
• Measure momentum distribution of nucleons in nuclei
• But there are some complications
Get more information:
Detect the knocked out nucleon (e,e′p)

coincidence experiment

measure: momentum, angles

electron energy: \( E_e \)
proton: \( \vec{P}_p' \)
scattered electron: \( \vec{k}_e' \) \( E_{e'} = |\vec{k}_{e'}| \)

reconstructed quantities:
missing energy: \( E_m = \nu - T_{p'} - T_{A-1} \)
missing momentum: \( \vec{p}_m = \vec{q} - \vec{P}_p' \)

in Plane Wave Impulse Approximation (PWIA):
direct relation between measured quantities and theory:

\[
|E| = E_m \quad \vec{p}_{\text{init}} = -\vec{p}_m
\]
**Formalism (repeat from previous lecture)**

- **Inclusive scattering:**
  - measure scattering angle $\theta_e$ and energy $E'_e (\nu = E_e - E'_e)$ and the cross section $d\sigma/d\Omega d\nu$

- **One photon exchange:**

  $$\mathcal{M}_n = \frac{e^2}{q^2} \bar{u}(k') \gamma_{\mu} u(k) < n|J_\mu(0)|p, S >$$

  $$d\sigma = \frac{1}{4MK} \sum_n |\mathcal{M}_n|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p + q - p') \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3 2E'}$$

  $$= \frac{|\vec{k}'|}{ME Q^4} \alpha^2 \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} d\omega d\Omega$$

$L_{\mu\nu}$ and $H_{\mu\nu}$ are the lepton and hadron tensors
Formalism Extension to \((e,e'p)\)

**Lepton tensor known (QED):**

\[
L_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{s'} (u(k',s')\gamma_{\nu}u(k,s))^* (u(k',s')\gamma_{\mu}u(k,s))
\]

\[
= 2(k_{\mu}k'_{\nu} + k_{\nu}k'_{\mu}) + q^2 g_{\mu\nu} + 2im_{\mu}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}q^\alpha s^\beta
\]

**Hadron tensor unknown:**

\[
H_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_n \langle p, S | \hat{J}_\mu(0) | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{J}_\nu(0) | p, S \rangle (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p + q - p')
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^4\xi \exp(iq \cdot \xi) \langle p, S | \hat{J}_\mu(\xi) \hat{J}_\nu(0) | p, S \rangle
\]

Now we have another 4-vector \((p')\) to make our Lorentz scalars and tensors from.

**Available independent four vectors for \((e,e'p)\):**

- target momentum \(p_{\mu}\)
- photon momentum \(q_{\mu}\)
- proton momentum \(p'_{\mu}\) (new for \((e,e'p)\))
And then there were four
(response functions, that is)

(When you include electron and
proton spin, there are 18. Yikes!)

(And if you scatter from a polarized spin-1
target, there are 41. Double Yikes!!)

$$\frac{d^3\sigma}{d\Omega_e d\Omega_p dE_{miss} d\omega} = K \sigma_{\text{Mott}} \left[ v_L R_L + v_T R_T + v_{LT} R_{LT} \cos(\phi) + v_{TT} R_{TT} \cos(2\phi) \right]$$

where

- $K = \text{(phase space)}$
- $\sigma_{\text{Mott}} = \text{(relativistic Rutherford scattering)}$
- $v = v(q, \omega) \text{ (electron kinematics)}$

Each $R$ now depends on more variables
$R = R(q, \omega, p_{\text{miss}}, E_{\text{miss}})$
(e,e'p) Plane Wave Impulse Approximation (PWIA)

1. Only one nucleon absorbs the virtual photon
2. That nucleon does not interact further
3. That nucleon is detected

Cross section factorizes:
\[
\frac{d\sigma^{fi}}{dE_1 dQ_1 dE_2 dQ_2} = KS(k, E) \frac{d\sigma^{free}}{dQ}
\]

Single nucleon pickup reactions [eg: (p,d), (d,\textsuperscript{3}He) ...] are also sensitive to \(S(p,E)\) but only sensitive to surface nucleons due to strong absorption in the nucleus

**DWIA**: If the struck nucleon interacts with the rest of the nucleus, then the cross section still factorizes (usually) but we measure a **distorted** spectral function.
(e,e'p) Distorted Wave Impulse Approximation (DWIA)

- Low momentum (p < 0.5 GeV/c): use optical potential
- High momentum (p > 1 GeV/c): use Glauber approximation

Distortions (FSI) make it harder to measure the nucleon initial momentum distributions, especially at high momenta.

Measuring $O(e,e'p)$ in Hall A

Fissum et al, PRC 70, (2004) 034606
O(e,e′p) and shell structure

$1p_{1/2}$, $1p_{3/2}$ and $1s_{1/2}$ shells visible

Momentum distribution as expected for $l = 0, 1$

Fissum et al, PRC 70, 034606 (2003)
But we do not see enough protons!
Now separate $R_L$ and $R_T$

$^{12}\text{C}(e,e'p)$
$q = 0.4 \text{ GeV}$ and $x = 1$

$(S_T$ and $S_L$ are just scaled versions of $R_T$ and $R_L$.)

There is extra transverse strength starting at the two-nucleon knockout threshold

(e,e'p) summary

• Measure shell structure directly
• Measure nucleon momentum distributions
• Extra transverse strength seen in (e,e') due to:
  • Two nucleon knockout via
  • Meson exchange currents and correlations
• But:
  • Not enough nucleons seen!