Spatial imaging of the nucleon-3

J. Roche (Ohio University)

- Hard exclusive reactions allow the study of the 2+1 D structure of nucleon through the measure of Generalized Parton Distributions that goes beyond what can be achieved with elastic scattering.
- Dedicated experiments are conducted world-wide.
- The growing set of existing results is helping refine our approach to extracting the GPDs from the data and within limits some preliminary results.
- DVCS experiments are an essential part of the comprehensive GPD program with the 12 GeV CEBAF beam and the EIC.



What we talked about during previous meetings



$$\mathcal{H} = \int_{-1}^{+1} dx \frac{\overset{\text{GPD}}{\overset{\text{H}}{\overset{\text{H}}{x,\xi,t}}}{x-\xi+i\varepsilon} = \mathcal{P}\int_{-1}^{+1} dx \frac{\overset{\text{H}(x,\xi,t)}{\overset{\text{H}(x,\xi,t)}{x-\xi}} - i\pi H(x=\xi,\xi,t)$$

What we talked about during previous meetings



At leading twist:

leptonic plane

 $d^{5} \overrightarrow{\sigma} - d^{5} \overleftarrow{\sigma} = \Im (T^{BH} \cdot T^{DVCS})$ $d^{5} \overrightarrow{\sigma} + d^{5} \overleftarrow{\sigma} = |BH|^{2} + \Re e (T^{BH} \cdot T^{DVCS}) + |DVCS|^{2}$

$$|\mathcal{T}_{\rm DVCS}|^2 = \frac{e^6 (s_e - M^2)^2}{x_{\rm Bj}^2 Q^6} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^2 c_n^{\rm DVCS} \cos(n\phi_{\gamma\gamma}) + \sum_{n=1}^2 s_n^{\rm DVCS} \sin(n\phi_{\gamma\gamma}) \right\}$$

The ideal experiment

High beam energy

ensure hard regime and large kinematic domain **polarized** beam availability of **positive** and **negative** leptons variable energy for: L/T separation for pseudo scalar production

 ϵ separation for DVCS² and Interference (DVCS+BH)

H₂, D₂, Longitudinaly and Transversely Polarized Target

High luminosity

small cross section fully differential analysis (x_B , Q^2 , t, ϕ)

Hermetic detectors

ensure exclusivity

but does not exist (yet)

DVCS results (so far)



Overall goal:

- Measure the transverse size of the nucleon versus x_B (2+1D imagining)
 - for the gluons, the sea and the valence quarks
 - For various quark flavor,
- Evaluate the orbital angular momentum of the quarks

In order to achieve this, one needs to:

- Verify the formalism is applicable,
- Understand how to interpret the data.

Assuming the formalism is applicable:

can one draw some conclusions (within reasonable approximations)?

- GPD H

High beam energy



DVCS sensitivities to CFFs (at leading order and leading twist)



DVCS results (so far)



Overall goal:

- Measure the transverse size of the nucleon versus x_B (2+1D imagining)
 - for the gluons, the sea and the valence quarks
 - For various quark flavor,
- Evaluate the orbital angular momentum of the quarks

In order to achieve this, one needs to:

- Verify the formalism is applicable,
- Understand how to interpret the data.

Short of these completely under control:

can one draw some conclusions (within reasonable approximations)?

- GPD H
- GPD E

Hall A E00-110: cross section azimuthal analysis



From Phys.Rev.Lett. 97 (2006) 262002 to : arXiv:1504.05453 April '15

$$\Delta^4 \sigma = \frac{d^4 \sigma^2 - d^4 \sigma}{2} = \mathcal{I}m(\mathcal{T}_{\text{DVCS}})$$
$$\mathcal{I}m(\mathcal{T}_{\text{DVCS}}) \sim s_1^{\mathcal{I}} \sin \phi + s_2^{\mathcal{I}} \sin 2\phi$$

Hall A E00-110: cross section Q² dependence

arXiv:1504.05453 April '15

No Q² dependence within this limited range => leading twist dominance Need to be checked over a larger Q² bite

Future precision measurement of the DVCS at JLab

The program features:

- Q^2 scans at fixed $x_B \rightarrow Scaling$ test
- Identical kinematic points measured at different beam energies \rightarrow DVCS² test

Hall A E07-007: analysis in progress (data taken in 2010)

Goal:

To separate the BH.DVCS interference contribution from the DVCS² contribution,

And L/T separation of the deeply virtual π^0 production, Also DVCS² on the neutron.

	Kin 1		Kin 2		Kin3	
Q ² (GeV ²)	1.5		1.75		2.0	
X _b	0.36		0.36		0.36	
E _{beam} (GeV)	3.36	5.55	4.45	5.55	4.45	5.55

Rosenbluth type separation

DVCS results (so far)

Overall goal:

- Measure the transverse size of the nucleon versus x_B (2+1D imagining)
 - for the gluons, the sea and the valence quarks
 - For various quark flavor,
- Evaluate the orbital angular momentum of the quarks

In order to achieve this, one needs to:

- Verify the formalism is applicable,
- Understand how to interpret the data.

Assuming the formalism is applicable:

can one draw some conclusions (within reasonable approximations)?

- GPD H
- GPD E

Hall B E01-113 cross sections

 $BSA = \frac{\Delta^4 \sigma}{d^4 \sigma} \text{ (PRL 2006)} \implies \Delta^4 \sigma \text{ and } d^4 \sigma \text{ (arXiv:1504.02009, Apr '15)}$

+110 bins in (x_B, Q² and t)

- Compatible with Hall A results in overlapping regions
- Leading twist models describe the data within uncertainties (more than 15%)

E12-06-119: Future DVCS experiment with CLAS12

The very complete data set from Hermes

Longitudinal polarized electron/positron beam Scattering off a transversely or longitudinally polarized hydrogen target

Example of Longitudinally polarized beam off a

$$\sigma_{\text{LD}}(\phi; P_{\text{I}}, e_{\text{I}}) = \sigma_{\text{CD}}(\phi) \cdot \{1 + P_{\text{I}}A_{\text{LU}}^{\text{D}}\}$$
$$s_{1}^{\text{DVCS}}sin(\phi) \sum^{2}$$

Compass will also be able to measure BSA and BCA (μ + and μ -) **OLA** Amplitude Value

 $\Delta n =$

BSA with Hermes (e, e)

Slide from N d'Hose, Tranversity 2014

G. Goldstein, J. Hernandez and S. Liuti, Phys. Rev. D84 (2011)

Slide from N d'Hose, Tranversity 2014

Towards the 3D Structure of the Proton (past 10 years)

H^{II10}

the CFF H in *Im* DVCS

 Different local fits
 VGG model
 KM10 global fit on the world data ranging from H1,ZEUS to HERMES, JLab

To "extract the GPDs", one can:

- Compare data to models of the GPDs
- Extract CFFs from data:
 - world-wide data fitted at once (8 quantities varying with x_B and t),
 - fit data points versus φ at one kinematic point choosing a limited set of CFFs.

Guidal, Moutarde, Vanderhaeghen, Rept. Prog. Phys. 76 (2013)

An encouraging proof of concept: one is looking forward to much refined data and analysis.

1 question: 30 m reading + 15 min discussions

ACTIVE LEARNING

What I hear, I forget

What I see, I remember

What I do, I understand

Group 1	Group 5
Meriem [*] , Shokhna, Kieran,	Nabil*, Brandon C., Fillipo,
Carlos Y.	Manuel
Group 2	Group 6
Frederic*, Shujie, Shivangi,	Brandon K.*, Alexa, Bailing,
Ryan	Gavin
Group 3	Group 7
Waverly*, Sandra, Bijit,	Holly, Larissa, David AQ,
Arkadiusz	Giovanni
Group 4	Group 8
Hamza, Scott, Marco, Dexu	Luca*, Elias, David R.
Group 9 Abel, Tao, Rajesh	*: familiar with GPDs/DVCS

Model of GPDs

What have we learnt about GPD experiment so far?

C. Munoz-Camacho (IPN Orsay, France) HRD thesis, July 2014

Spatial imaging is catchy but the real physics is in the models of GPDs that are trying to reproduce data.

What are the three types of models considered when trying to reproduce DVCS data?

Hunting the GPD E

→ Transv. Target Spin asymmetry of DVCS –HERMES
 → Beam Spin Diff of DVCS on a neutron - JLab
 → Also Compass results from ρ production (not discussed here)

The "Holy grail" of GPDs (and TMDs) physics

Contribution of the angular momentum of quarks to proton spin:

$$\frac{1}{2} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + L_q}_{J_q} + J_g \quad \Rightarrow \quad J_q = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x [H^q(x,\xi,0) + E^q(x,\xi,0)]$$
Ji's sum rule

RHIC spin physics results (LRP 2015)

GPD H connects to the PDFs (symmetric initial-final states) Known from polarized DIS data

Experimentally, producing enough data to support the integration over the whole x range is a challenge.

Transverse spin target asymmetry on proton Hermes

 $A_{\rm UT,DVCS}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)} \sim \operatorname{Im}[\mathcal{E}^*\mathcal{H}]$ $A_{\rm UT,DVCS}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)} \neq 0 \implies \mathcal{E} \neq 0$

DVCS on the neutron in Hall A/JLab

M. Mazouz et al., PRL 2007, arXiv:0709.0450 [nucl-ex]

Next:

analysis later this week.

- 2010: run E08-025 with LD2 target (two beam energies at a given Q²)
- 2016: CLAS12 with 11 GeV with LD2 target + neutron detector (ToF)

Ji's sum rule on the fraction of the proton spin carried by quarks:

M. Mazouz et al., PRL 2007, arXiv:0709.0450 [nucl-ex]

Ji, PRL 78:610 (97) VGG, Phys Rev D 60: 094017 (99) Lattice, PRL 92:042002 (04) Hermes, Eur Phys J C46:729 (06)

Hunting for the GPD E with CLAS 12 at JLab

Slide from N d'Hose, Tranversity 2014

1 question: 30 m reading + 15 min discussions

ACTIVE LEARNING

What I hear, I forget

What I see, I remember

What I do, I understand

Group 1	Group 5
Meriem*, Shokhna, Kieran,	Nabil*, Brandon C., Fillipo,
Carlos Y.	Manuel
Group 2	Group 6
Frederic*, Shujie, Shivangi,	Brandon K.*, Alexa, Bailing,
Ryan	Gavin
Group 3	Group 7
Waverly*, Sandra, Bijit,	Holly, Larissa, David AQ,
Arkadiusz	Giovanni
Group 4	Group 8
Hamza, Scott, Marco, Dexu	Luca*, Elias, David R.
Group 9 Abel, Tao, Rajesh	*: familiar with GPDs/DVCS

GPDs studies at JLab 12 GeV

The Multi-Hall Deep Exclusive Scattering Program at 12 GeV

A. Biselli et al. (2014?)

Overall JLab 12 GeV DVCS proposals

- E12-06-114: Hall A unpolarized protons
- E12-06-119: Hall B unpolarized protons
- E12-11-003: Hall B unpolarized neutrons
- E12-06-119: Hall B long polarized protons
- E12-12-010: Hall B tran polarized protons
- E12-13-010: Hall C unpolarized protons

Unique access to the GPD E and from there to the orbital angular momentum of the quarks.

The polarized HDICE target is challenging.

Towards the 3D Structure of the Proton (next 7 years?)

6 GeV data:

Hall B beam-spin asymmetries and cross sections data show potential for imaging studies from analysis in x, Q^2 and t.

12 GeV projections for Hall B: (beam-spin and target-spin asymmetries) transverse spatial maps $\langle \mathbf{Q}^2 \rangle$ 5.74 H•--3.73 H E + . . 2.42 ŧ-_{ŧ-} 1.57 0.00 0.12 0.19 0.29 0.39 0.49 0.58 $\langle \mathbf{X} \rangle$

6 GeV data:

Hall A data for Compton form factor (over *limited* Q² range) agree with hard-scattering

12 GeV projections for Hall A/C: confirm formalism

Conclusion and perspectives

Since more than 10 years large experimental efforts for DVCS and HEMP Validity of GPD analysis of DVCS data, Dominance of twist-2

Dominance of the GPD H: $Im \mathcal{H}$ rather well known,

Re \mathcal{H} poorly constrained \Rightarrow Beam Charge Diff. and cross section measurements

The GPD **E** poorly constrained ⇒ Transversely Pol. Target measurements on proton or measurements on neutron

Progress in theory and phenomenolgy

Beyond Leading Order, Leading Twist

Extraction of the GPDs:

- local fits of the CFF for each kinematic bin independently
- global fits using paramaterisation of the GPDs
- neural network: same technique as for PDFs (with error estimate)

a lot of work for challenging experiments and theory

Slide from N d'Hose, Tranversity 2014