High-precision determination of the pion–nucleon σ-term from Roy–Steiner equations

J. Ruiz de Elvira

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Bern

In collaboration with:

M. Hoferichter, B. Kubis, U.-G. Meißner.

PRL 115 (2015) 092301, PRL 115 (2015) 192301 , Phys.Rept. 625 (2016), Phys.Lett. B760 (2016) 74-78, Eur. Phys. J. A52 (2016), 331, arXiv:1610.08978

The proton mass Workshop, Trento, April 6th, 2017

b
UNIVERSITÄT
BERN

AEC
ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER
FOR FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS

Decomposition of the nucleon mass

$$m_N = \langle N|\theta^\mu_\mu|N\rangle = \langle N|\underbrace{\frac{\beta_{QCD}}{2g}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}_a}_{\text{Trace anomaly}} + \underbrace{m_u\bar{u}u + m_d\bar{d}d + m_s\bar{s}s + \cdots}_{\text{Higgs}}|N\rangle$$

- Mass largely generated by gluon field energy via the trace anomaly of the QCD energy-momentum tensor
- $\boxed{\langle N|m_u\bar{u}u + m_d\bar{d}d|N\rangle \doteq \sigma_{\pi N}}$

  $\hookrightarrow$ measures light-quark contribution to the nucleon mass, how large precisely?
- $\boxed{\langle N|m_s\bar{s}s|N\rangle \doteq \sigma_s}$

  $\hookrightarrow$ strangeness content of the nucleon

The pion-nucleon σ-term

Scalar form factor of the nucleon:

$\sigma(t) = \langle N(p')|\hat{m}\left(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d\right)|N(p)\rangle \qquad t = (p'-p)^2 \qquad \sigma_{\pi N} = \sigma(0)$

- $\sigma_{\pi N}$ measures the **light-quark contribution** to the nucleon mass
- Unfortunately, no direct experimental access to it
- Only very recent precise lattice results
- Linked to πN via the **Cheng-Dashen** theorem

[Cheng, Dashen 1971]

$$\underbrace{F_\pi^2 \bar{D}^+(\nu = 0, t = 2M_\pi^2)}_{F_\pi^2(d_{00}^+ + 2M_\pi^2 d_{01}^+) + \Delta_D} = \underbrace{\sigma(2M_\pi^2)}_{\sigma_{\pi N} + \Delta_\sigma} + \Delta_R$$

$|\Delta_R| \lesssim 2$ MeV [Bernard, Kaiser, Meißner 1996]

$\Delta_D - \Delta_\sigma = (-1.8 \pm 0.2)$ MeV [Hoferichter et al. 2012]



Phenomenological status

- **Karlsruhe/Helsinki** partial-wave analysis KH80 [Höhler et al. 1980s]

  $\hookrightarrow$ comprehensive analyticity constraints, old data

- Formalism for the extraction of $\sigma_{\pi N}$ via the **Cheng–Dashen low-energy theorem**

  $\hookrightarrow$ "canonical value" $\sigma_{\pi N} \sim 45$ MeV, based on KH80 input [Gasser, Leutwyler, Locher, Sainio 1988,1991]

- **GWU/SAID** partial-wave analysis [Pavan, Strakovsky, Workman, Arndt 2002]

  $\hookrightarrow$ much larger value $\sigma_{\pi N} = (64 \pm 8)$ MeV

- More recently: ChPT in different regularizations (w/ and w/o Δ) [Alarcón et al. 2012]

  $\hookrightarrow$ fit to PWAs, $\sigma_{\pi N} = 59 \pm 7$ MeV

Phenomenological status

- **Karlsruhe/Helsinki** partial-wave analysis KH80 [Höhler et al. 1980s]

  $\hookrightarrow$ comprehensive analyticity constraints, old data

- Formalism for the extraction of $\sigma_{\pi N}$ via the **Cheng–Dashen low-energy theorem**

  $\hookrightarrow$ "canonical value" $\sigma_{\pi N} \sim 45$ MeV, based on KH80 input [Gasser, Leutwyler, Locher, Sainio 1988,1991]

- **GWU/SAID** partial-wave analysis [Pavan, Strakovsky, Workman, Arndt 2002]

  $\hookrightarrow$ much larger value $\sigma_{\pi N} = (64 \pm 8)$ MeV

- More recently: ChPT in different regularizations (w/ and w/o Δ) [Alarcón et al. 2012]

  $\hookrightarrow$ fit to PWAs, $\sigma_{\pi N} = 59 \pm 7$ MeV

- This talk: two new sources of information on low-energy πN scattering
  - Precision extraction of πN **scattering lengths** from **hadronic atoms** [Baru et al. 2011]
  - **Roy-equation** constraints: analyticity, unitarity, crossing symmetry

Hadronic atoms: constraints for πN

- $\pi H/\pi D$: bound state of π^- and p/d spectrum sensitive to threshold πN amplitude
- Combined analysis of πH and πD:

$$a_0^+ \equiv a^+ = (7.5 \pm 3.1) \cdot 10^{-3} M_\pi^{-1}$$
$$a_0^- \equiv a^- = (86.0 \pm 0.9) \cdot 10^{-3} M_\pi^{-1}$$

  $\hookrightarrow$ Large a^+ suggests a large $\sigma_{\pi N}$,

- But: a^+ very sensitive to isospin breaking, PWA based on $\pi^\pm p$ channels

  $\hookrightarrow$ use instead

$$\frac{a_{\pi^- p} + a_{\pi^+ p}}{2} = (-0.9 \pm 1.4) \cdot 10^{-3} M_\pi^{-1}$$

- Isospin breaking in $\sigma_{\pi N}$ could be important
- We revisit the Cheng-Dashen low-energy theorem



[Baru et al. 2011]



$$\tilde{a}^+ = a^+ + \frac{1}{1+M_\pi/m_p}\left\{\frac{M_\pi^2 - m_{\pi 0}^2}{\pi F_\pi^2} c_1 - 2\alpha f_1\right\}$$

Motivation: Why Roy-Steiner equations?

Roy(-Steiner) eqs. = Partial-Wave (Hyberbolic) Dispersion Relations coupled by unitarity and crossing symmetry

- **Respect all symmetries**: analyticity, unitarity, crossing
- **Model independent** $\Rightarrow$ the actual parametrization of the data is irrelevant once it is used in the integral.
- Framework allows for systematic improvements (subtractions, higher partial waves, ...)
- **PW(H)DRs** help to study processes with high precision:
  - $\pi\pi$-scattering: [Ananthanarayan et al. (2001), García-Martín et al. (2011)]
  - πK-scattering: [Büttiker et al. (2004)]
  - $\gamma\gamma \to \pi\pi$ scattering: [Hoferichter et al. (2011)]

Warm up: Roy-equations for $\pi\pi$

- $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi \Rightarrow$ fully crossing symmetric in Mandelstam variables s, t, and $u = 4M_\pi - s - t$
- Start from twice-subtracted **fixed-t** DRs of the generic form

$$T^I(s,t) = c(t) + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{s'^2}\left[\frac{s^2}{(s'-s)} - \frac{u^2}{(s'-u)}\right] \mathrm{Im}\, T^I(s',t)$$

- Subtraction functions c(t) are determined via crossing symmetry

  $\hookrightarrow$ functions of the I=0,2 scattering lengths: a_0^0 and a_0^2

- PW-expansion of these DRs yields the **Roy-equations** [Roy (1971)]

$$t_J^I(s) = ST_J^I(s) + \sum_{J'=0}^{\infty}(2J'+1)\sum_{I'=0,1,2}\int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} ds'\, K_{JJ'}^{II'}(s',s)\,\mathrm{Im}\, t_{J'}^{I'}(s')$$

- $K_{JJ'}^{II'}(s',s) \equiv$ kernels $\Rightarrow$ analytically known

Roy–Steiner equations for πN: differences to $\pi\pi$ Roy equations

Key differences compared to $\pi\pi$ Roy equations

- Crossing: coupling between $\pi N \to \pi N$ (s-channel) and $\pi\pi \to \bar{N}N$ (t -channel)

  $\Rightarrow$ need a different kind of dispersion relations [Hite, Steiner 1973, Büttiker et al. 2004]

- Unitarity in t-channel, e.g. in single-channel approximation

$$\mathrm{Im} f_\pm^J(t) = \sigma_t^\pi f_\pm^J(t) t_J^I(t)^*$$



  $\Rightarrow$ **Watson's theorem**: phase of $f_\pm^J(t)$ equals δ_{IJ} [Watson 1954]

  $\hookrightarrow$ solution in terms of Omnès function [Muskhelishvili 1953, Omnès 1958]

- Large pseudo-physical region in t -channel

  $\hookrightarrow$ $\bar{K}K$ intermediate states for s-wave in the region of the $f_0(980)$

Roy–Steiner equations for πN: flow of information

Limited range of validity

$$\sqrt{s} \leq \sqrt{s_m} = 1.38\,\text{GeV} \qquad \sqrt{t} \leq \sqrt{t_m} = 2.00\,\text{GeV}$$

Input/Constraints

- S- and P-waves above matching point $s > s_m$ $(t > t_m)$
- Inelasticities
- Higher waves (D-, F-, $\cdots$)
- Scattering lengths from hadronic atoms

[Baru et al. 2011]

Output

- S- and P-wave phase-shifts at low energies $s < s_m$ $(t < t_m)$
- Subthreshold parameters
  - ▷ Pion-nucleon σ-term
  - ▷ Nucleon form factor spectral functions
  - ▷ ChPT LECs

Uncertainties: s-channel pw



Uncertainties: Imaginary part t-channel pw



Results for the sigma-term

$$\boxed{\sigma_{\pi N} = F_\pi^2 \left(d_{00}^+ + 2M_\pi^2 d_{01}^+ \right) + \Delta_D - \Delta_\sigma - \Delta_R}$$

- subthreshold parameters output of the Roy–Steiner equations

$$d_{00}^+ = -1.36(3) M_\pi^{-1} \qquad [\text{KH: } -1.46(10) M_\pi^{-1}],$$

$$d_{01}^+ = 1.16(2) M_\pi^{-3} \qquad [\text{KH: } 1.14(2) M_\pi^{-3}]$$

- $|\Delta_R| \lesssim 2$ MeV [Bernard, Kaiser, Meißner 1996]
- $\Delta_D - \Delta_\sigma = -(1.8 \pm 0.2)$ MeV [Hoferichter at al. 2012]
- Isospin breaking in the CD theorem shifts $\sigma_{\pi N}$ by $+3.0$ MeV
- Final results: $\boxed{\sigma_{\pi N} = (59.1 \pm 1.9_{\text{RS}} \pm 3.0_{\text{LET}})\ \text{MeV} = (59.1 \pm 3.5)\ \text{MeV}}$ [MH, JRE, Kubis, Meißner]
- $\sigma_{\pi N}$ depends linearly on the scattering lengths:

$$\sigma_{\pi N} = 59.1 + \sum_{l_s} c_{l_s} \Delta a_{0+}^{l_s}$$

$\sigma_{\pi N}$: comparison with KH80 and SAID

Comparison with KH80

- RS eqs. with KH80 input $\hookrightarrow \sigma_{\pi \mathbf{N}} = 46$ MeV

  $\hookrightarrow$ to be compared with $\sigma_{\pi \mathbf{N}} = 45$ MeV Gasser, Leutwyler, Socher, Sainio 1988, Gasser, Leutwyler, Sainio 1991

  $\hookrightarrow$KH80 is internally **consistent** but at odd with the modern SL determinations

How are d_{00}^+ and d_{01}^+ extracted in KH80 and SAID?

- Standard approach: Gasser, Leutwyler, Locher, Sainio 1988

  replace d_{00}^+ and d_{01}^+ in favor of threshold parameters: a_{0+}^+ and a_{1+}^+

  $\hookrightarrow$ corrections from PWA via DRs (D^+ and E^+)

	Born	a_{0+}^+	a_{1+}^+	D^+	E^+	$\Sigma_d = F_\pi^2 \left(d_{00}^+ + 2M_\pi^2 d_{01}^+ \right)$
KH80	-133	-7	**+352**	-91	-72	50
SAID	-127	0	**+351**	-88	-69	67
diff	+6	7	-1	+3	+3	17

- difference with KH80 due the a_{0+}^+
- large weight of $a_{1+}^+ \Rightarrow$ **It has to be known extremely accurately!**

  $\hookrightarrow$ the difference 132.7 (SAID)/131.2 (RS) translates in 5 MeV in the $\sigma_{\pi N}$

Comparison with lattice $\sigma_{\pi N}$ results

- Recent lattice determination of $\sigma_{\pi N}$ at (almost) the physical point
  - ▷ BMW $\sigma_{\pi N} = 38(3)(3)$MeV [Durr et al. 2015]
  - ▷ χQCD $\sigma_{\pi N} = 44.4(3.2)(4.5)$MeV [Yang et al. 2015]
  - ▷ ETMC $\sigma_{\pi N} = 37.22(2.57)(1)$MeV [Abdel-Rehim et al. 2015]
  - ▷ RQCD $\sigma_{\pi N} = 35(6)$MeV [Bali et al. 2016]

- The linear dependence of $\sigma_{\pi N}$ on the scattering lengths introduces an additional constraint



- Inconsistent with the hadronic atom phenomenology

  ↪ determine the πN scattering lengths in the lattice

Comparison with experimental cross-section data

Unravel the tension around the σ-term comparing with the experimental πN data base

- Generate RS differential cross sections
  - ▷ RS S and P waves
  - ▷ higher partial waves from SAID and KH80 [Workman et al. 2006,2012, Höhler et al. 1980s]
  - ▷ EM interactions implemented using Tromborg proccedure [Tromborg et al. 1977]
- Uncertainties from statistical effects, SL, input variation
  - ▷ below $T_\pi = 50$ MeV uncertainties dominated by scattering length errors
  - ↪ disentangle RS SL solutions by looking at the data base
- Define:

$$\chi^2_{a^I_{0+}} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\left(\mathcal{O}^{\text{exp}}{}_{i,j} - \mathcal{O}^{RS}_{i,j}(a^I_{0+})\right)^2}{\Delta\mathcal{O}^{\text{exp}\ 2}_{i,j}}$$

- Discrepancy concentrated in the $\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$ channel

	RS	KH80
$a^{1/2}_{0+}$ $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-1}]$	169.8 ± 2.0	173 ± 3
$a^{3/2}_{0+}$ $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-1}]$	-86.3 ± 1.8	-101 ± 4

Cross-section data: $\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$ channel



Comparison with experimental cross-section data

- Linearized version of RS $d\sigma/d\Omega$ around the HA scattering lengths
  ▷ good decription in the KH80 region
- minimize the χ^2-like as a function of a^I_{0+}

channel	SL combination	result	HA SL	KH80 SL
$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$	$a^{3/2}_{0+}$	-86.6	-86.3 ± 1.8	-101 ± 4
$\pi^- p \to \pi^- p$	$2a^{1/2}_{0+} - a^{3/2}_{0+}$	256.2	253.3 ± 4.4	245 ± 7

- Current challenges
  ▷ CXS channel
  ↪ inconsistencies in the data base
  ▷ GWU/SAID data base normalization constants
  ↪ D'Agostini bias ⇒ unbiased low energy fit

In progress

Nucleon form factor spectral functions

- $\pi\pi \to \bar{N}N$ partial waves + F_π^V pion form factor

  $\hookrightarrow$ $\pi\pi$ contribution to the isovector spectral functions



- consistent $\pi\pi$ phase shifts in $f_1^\pm$ and F_π^V

  $\hookrightarrow$ Watson theorem is satisfied

- modern pion form factor data

[BaBar 2009, KLOE 2012, BESIII 2015]

- isospin breaking: $m_p - m_n$ in pole terms, subthreshold parameters, consistent $\rho - \omega$ mixing





[Hoferichter, Kubis, JRE, Hammer, Meißner 2016]

$\pi\pi$ continuum and proton radius puzzle

- sum rules for the isovector radii: $\langle r^2_{E/M}\rangle^v = \frac{6}{\pi}\int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda} dt' \, \frac{\mathrm{Im}\, G^v_{E/M}(t')}{t'^2}$

	$\Lambda = 1\,\mathrm{GeV}$	$\Lambda = 2m_N$
$\langle r^2_E\rangle^v$ [fm^2]	0.418(32)	0.405(36)
$\langle r^2_M\rangle^v$ [fm^2]	1.83(10)	1.81(11)

- correcting normalization by single heavier resonance: ρ', ρ'':
  reduces the radii only to: $\Delta\langle r^2_E\rangle^v = -(0.006\ldots0.008)\,\mathrm{fm}^2$
  $\Delta\langle r^2_M\rangle^v = -(0.05\ldots0.07)\,\mathrm{fm}^2$
- with $\langle r^2_E\rangle^n = -0.1161(22)\,\mathrm{fm}^2$ (n scattering on heavy atoms):
  $\hookrightarrow$ proton radius puzzle $\Longleftrightarrow$ isovector radius puzzle

$$\langle r^2_E\rangle^v = 0.412\,\mathrm{fm}^2\ (\mu\mathrm{H}) \quad \text{vs.} \quad \langle r^2_E\rangle^v = 0.442\,\mathrm{fm}^2\ (\mathrm{CODATA})$$

$\triangleright$ mild preference for small proton charge radius

[Hoferichter, Kubis, JRE, Hammer, Meißner 2016]

Matching to Chiral Perturbation Theory

Matching to ChPT at the subthreshold point:

- Chiral expansion expected to work best at subthreshold point
  - ▷ Maximal distance from threshold singularities
  - ▷ πN amplitude can be expanded as polynomial
- Preferred choice for NN scattering due to proximity of relevant kinematic regions

Express the subthreshold parameters in terms of the LECs to $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$

$$d_{00}^{+} = -\frac{2M_\pi^2(2\tilde{c}_1 - \tilde{c}_3)}{F_\pi^2} + \frac{g_a^2(3+8g_a^2)M_\pi^3}{64\pi F_\pi^4} + M_\pi^4\left\{\frac{16\bar{e}_{14}}{F_\pi^2} - \frac{2c_1 - c_3}{16\pi^2 F_\pi^4}\right\}$$

- Chiral πN amplitude to $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ depends on 13 low-energy constants
- Roy–Steiner system contains 10 subtraction constants
  - ▷ Calculate remaining 3 from sum rules
  - ▷ Invert the system to solve for LECs

Chiral low-energy constants

	NLO	N^{2}LO	N^{3}LO
c_1 [GeV^{-1}]	-0.74 ± 0.02	-1.07 ± 0.02	-1.11 ± 0.03
c_2 [GeV^{-1}]	1.81 ± 0.03	3.20 ± 0.03	3.13 ± 0.03
c_3 [GeV^{-1}]	-3.61 ± 0.05	-5.32 ± 0.05	-5.61 ± 0.06
c_4 [GeV^{-1}]	2.17 ± 0.03	3.56 ± 0.03	4.26 ± 0.04
$\bar{d}_1 + \bar{d}_2$ [GeV^{-2}]	—	1.04 ± 0.06	7.42 ± 0.08
$\bar{d}_3$ [GeV^{-2}]	—	-0.48 ± 0.02	-10.46 ± 0.10
$\bar{d}_5$ [GeV^{-2}]	—	0.14 ± 0.05	0.59 ± 0.05
$\bar{d}_{14} - \bar{d}_{15}$ [GeV^{-2}]	—	-1.90 ± 0.06	-12.18 ± 0.12
$\bar{e}_{14}$ [GeV^{-3}]	—	—	0.89 ± 0.04
$\bar{e}_{15}$ [GeV^{-3}]	—	—	-0.97 ± 0.06
$\bar{e}_{16}$ [GeV^{-3}]	—	—	-2.61 ± 0.03
$\bar{e}_{17}$ [GeV^{-3}]	—	—	0.01 ± 0.06
$\bar{e}_{18}$ [GeV^{-3}]	—	—	-4.20 ± 0.05

- Subthreshold errors tiny, chiral expansion dominates uncertainty
- $\bar{d}_i$ at N^{3}LO increase by an order of magnitude
  - ↪ due to terms proportional to $g_A^2(c_3 - c_4) = -16$ GeV^{-1}
  - ↪ mimic loop diagrams with Δ degrees of freedom
- What's going on with chiral convergence?
  - ↪ look at convergence of threshold parameters with LECs fixed at subthreshold point

Convergence of the chiral series

	NLO	N^{2}LO	N^{3}LO	RS
a_{0+}^{+} $[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-1}]$	-23.8	0.2	-7.9	-0.9 ± 1.4
a_{0+}^{-} $[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-1}]$	79.4	92.9	59.4	85.4 ± 0.9
a_{1+}^{+} $[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	102.6	121.2	131.8	131.2 ± 1.7
a_{1+}^{-} $[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	-65.2	-75.3	-89.0	-80.3 ± 1.1
a_{1-}^{+} $[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	-45.0	-47.0	-72.7	-50.9 ± 1.9
a_{1-}^{-} $[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	-11.2	-2.8	-22.6	-9.9 ± 1.2
b_{0+}^{+} $[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	-70.4	-23.3	-44.9	-45.0 ± 1.0
b_{0+}^{-} $[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	20.6	23.3	-64.7	4.9 ± 0.8

- N^{3}LO results bad due to large Delta loops
- matching to ChPT with the explicit Δ's

  $\hookrightarrow$ improvement of the chiral convergence [Siemens, JRE, Epelbaum, Hoferichter, Krebs, Kubis, Meißner 2016]
- Conclusion: lessons for few-nucleon applications

  $\hookrightarrow$ either include the Δ to reduce the size of the loop corrections or use LECs from subthreshold kinematics

  $\hookrightarrow$ error estimates: consider chiral convergence of a given observable, difficult to assign a global chiral error to LECs

The “ruler plot” vs. ChPT

Lattice QCD simulations can be performed at different quark/pion masses

Pion mass dependence of m_N up to NNNLO in ChPT, using

- Input from Roy–Steiner solution



thanks to A. Walker-Loud for providing the lattice data

↪ range of convergence of the chiral expansion is very limited

↪ huge cancellation amongst terms to produce a linear behavior

Summary

- Derived a closed system of Roy–Steiner equations for πN
- Numerical solution and error analysis of the full system of RS eqs.
- Precise determination of the $\sigma_{\pi N}$
  - ▷ Roy–Steiner formalism reproduces KH80 result with KH80 input
  - ▷ With modern input for scattering lengths and coupling constant $\sigma_{\pi N}$ increases
  - ▷ results from hadronic atom results compatible with low-energy πN scattering data
- t- channel $\rightarrow$ nucleon form factor spectral functions

  sum rules for isovector radii $\rightarrow$ proton radius puzzle
- Extraction of the ChPT LECs
- Study of the chiral convergence

Thank you

Spare slides

Motivation: Why πN scattering?

- **Low energies:** test chiral dynamics in the baryon sector
  $\Rightarrow$ low-energy theorems e.g. for the scattering lengths
- **Higher energies:** resonances, baryon spectrum
- **Input for NN scattering:** LECs c_i, πNN coupling



- **Crossed channel $\pi\pi \to \bar{N}N$:** nucleon form factors

$\Rightarrow$ probe the structure of the nucleon

- ▷ scalar form factors (S-wave)
- ▷ electromagnetic form factors (P-waves)
- ▷ generalized PDF (D-waves)



Roy-Steiner equations for πN: flow of information



Solving Roy-equations: flow information

- **Roy-equations** rigorously valid for a finite energy range

  $\Rightarrow$ introduce a matching point s_m

- only partial waves with $J \leq J_{\rm max}$ are solved
- assume isospin limit
- **Input**
  - High-energy region: $\mathrm{Im}t_{IJ}(s)$ for $s \geq s_m$ and for all J
  - Higher partial waves: $\mathrm{Im}t_{IJ}(s)$ for $J > J_{\rm max}$ and for all s
- **Output**
  - Self-consistent solution for $\delta_{IJ}(s)$ for $J \leq J_{\rm max}$ and $s_{\rm th} \leq s \leq s_m$
  - Constraints on subtraction constants

πN-scattering basics

$\pi^a(q) + N(p) \to \pi^b(q') + N(p')$

- **Isospin Structure**:
  $T^{ba} = \delta^{ba} T^+ + \epsilon^{ab} T^-$
- **Lorentz Structure**: $I \in \{+, -\}$
  $T^I = \bar{u}(p') \left(A^I + \frac{\not{q} + \not{q}'}{2} B^I \right) u(p)$
  $D^I = A^I + \nu B^I, \quad \nu = \frac{s-u}{4m}$
- **Isospin basis**: $I_s \in \{1/2, 3/2\}$
  $\{T^+, T^-\} \Leftrightarrow T^{1/2}, T^{3/2}$
- PW projection:
  s-channel pw: $f^I_{l\pm}$
  t-channel pw: $f^J_\pm$



Bose symmetry $\Rightarrow$ even/odd $J \Leftrightarrow I = +/-$

πN-scattering basics: partial waves

- **s-channel** projection:

$$f^I_{l\pm}(W) = \frac{1}{16\pi W_1}\Big\{(E+m)\big[A^I_l(s)+(W-m)B^I_l(s)\big]+(E-m)\big[-A^I_{l\pm1}(s)+(W+m)B^I_{l\pm1}(s)\big]\Big\}$$

$$X^I_l(s) = \int_{-1} \mathrm{d}z_s\; P_l(z_s)X^I(s,t)\Big|_{t=t(s,z_s)=-2q^2(1-z_s)} \qquad \text{for } X\in\{A,B\} \text{ and } W=\sqrt{s}$$

- **McDowell symmetry**: $f^I_{l+}(W) = -f^I_{(l+1)-}(-W) \qquad \forall\, I \geq 0$
- **t-channel** projection:

$$f^J_+(t) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z_t\; P_J(z_t)\Big\{\frac{p_t^2}{(p_tq_t)^J}A^I(s,t)\Big|_{s=s(t,z_t)} - \frac{m}{(p_tq_t)^{J-1}}z_tB^I(s,t)\Big|_{s=s(t,z_t)}\Big\} \qquad \forall\, J\geq 0$$

$$f^J_-(t) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\sqrt{J(J+1)}}{2J+1}\frac{1}{(p_tq_t)^{J-1}}\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z_t\Big[P_{J-1}(z_t)-P_{J+1}(z_t)\Big]B^I(s,t)\Big|_{s=s(t,z_t)} \qquad \forall\, J\geq 1$$

- **Bose symmetry** $\Rightarrow$ even/odd $J \Leftrightarrow I = +/-$

πN-scattering basics: Unitarity relations

- **s-channel** unitarity relations ($I_s \in \{1/2, 3/2\}$):

$$\mathrm{Im}\, f^{I_s}_{l\pm}(W) = q\left|f^{I_s}_{l\pm}(W)\right|^2 \theta(W-W_+) + \frac{1-\left(\eta^{I_s}_{l\pm}(W)\right)^2}{4q}\,\theta(W-W_{\rm inel})$$



- **t-channel** unitarity relations: 2-body intermediate states: $\pi\pi + \bar{K}K + \cdots$

$$\mathrm{Im}\, f^J_\pm(t) = \sigma^\pi_t \left(t^{I_t}_J(t)\right)^* f^J_\pm(t)\,\theta(t-t_\pi) + 2c_J\sqrt{2}\,k_t^{2J}\sigma^K_t \left(g^{I_t}_J(t)\right)^* h^J_\pm(t)\,\theta(t-t_K)$$



- Only linear in $f^J_\pm(t) \Rightarrow$ less restrictive

Roy-Steiner equations for πN: HDR's

- **Hyperbolic DRs**: $(s-a)(u-a) = b = (s'-a)(u'-a)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$A^+(s,t;a) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{s_+}^{\infty} ds' \left[\frac{1}{s'-s} + \frac{1}{s'-u} - \frac{1}{s'-a}\right] \mathrm{Im}\, A^+(s',t') + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} dt' \; \frac{\mathrm{Im}\, A^+(s',t')}{t'-t}$$

$$B^+(s,t;a) = N^+(s,t) + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{s_+}^{\infty} ds' \left[\frac{1}{s'-s} - \frac{1}{s'-u}\right] \mathrm{Im}\, B^+(s',t') + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} dt' \; \frac{\nu}{\nu'}\frac{\mathrm{Im}\, B^+(s',t')}{t'-t}$$

$$N^+(s,t) = g^2\left(\frac{1}{m^2-s} - \frac{1}{m^2-u}\right) \quad \text{similar for } A^-, B^- \text{ and } N^- \text{ [Hite/Steiner (1973)]}$$

- Why **HDR**?
  - Combine all physical regions $\Rightarrow$ crucial for t-channel projection
  - Evade double-spectral regions $\Rightarrow$ the PW decompositions converge
  - Range of convergence can be maximized by tuning the free hyperbola parameter a
  - No kinematical cuts, manageable kernel functions

Roy-Steiner equations for πN: derivation

- Recipe to derive **Roy-Steiner** equations:
  - Expand imaginary parts in terms of s- and t-channel partial waves
  - Project onto s- and t-channel partial waves
  - Combine the resulting equations using s- and t-channel PW unitarity relations
- Similar structure to $\pi\pi$ **Roy equations**
- **Validity**: assuming Mandelstam analyticity
  - s-channel $\Rightarrow$ optimal for $a = -23.2 M_\pi^2$

$$s \in \left[s_+ = (m + M_\pi)^2, 97.30\, M_\pi^2\right] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad W \in [W_+ = 1.08 \text{ GeV}, 1.38 \text{ GeV}]$$

  - t-channel $\Rightarrow$ optimal for $a = -2.71 M_\pi^2$

$$t \in [t_\pi = 4M_\pi^2, 205.45\, M_\pi^2] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sqrt{t} \in [\sqrt{t_\pi} = 0.28 \text{ GeV}, 2.00 \text{ GeV}] \ .$$

Roy-Steiner equations for πN: subtractions

- **Subtractions** are necessary to ensure the convergence of DR integrals
  $\Rightarrow$ asymptotic behavior
- Can be introduced to lessen the dependence of the low-energy solution on the high-energy behavior
- Parametrize high-energy information in (a priori unknown) subtraction constants
  $\Rightarrow$ matching to ChPT
- Subthreshold expansion around $\nu = t = 0$

$$\bar{A}^+(\nu,t) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a^+_{mn}\nu^{2m}t^n \qquad \bar{B}^+(\nu,t) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} b^+_{mn}\nu^{2m+1}t^n \ ,$$

$$\bar{A}^-(\nu,t) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a^-_{mn}\nu^{2m+1}t^n \qquad \bar{B}^-(\nu,t) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} b^-_{mn}\nu^{2m}t^n \ ,$$

where

$$\bar{A}^+(s,t) = A^+(s,t) - \frac{g^2}{m} \qquad \bar{B}^+(s,t) = B^+(s,t) - g^2\left[\frac{1}{m^2-s} - \frac{1}{m^2-u}\right] \ ,$$

$$\bar{A}^-(s,t) = A^-(s,t) \ , \qquad \bar{B}^-(s,t) = B^-(s,t) - g^2\left[\frac{1}{m^2-s} + \frac{1}{m^2-u}\right] + \frac{g^2}{2m^2} \ ,$$

RS-eqs for πN: subthreshold expansion

- Subthreshold expansion around $\nu = t = 0$

$$A^+(\nu,t) = \frac{g^2}{m} + d_{00}^+ + d_{01}^+ t + a_{10}^+ \nu^2 + \mathcal{O}(\nu^2 t, t^2)$$

$$A^-(\nu,t) = \nu a_{00}^- + a_{01}^- \nu t + a_{10}^- \nu^3 + \mathcal{O}(\nu^5, \nu t^2, \nu^3 t)$$

$$B^+(\nu,t) = g^2 \frac{4m\nu}{(m^2 - s_0)^2} + \nu b_{00}^+ + \mathcal{O}(\nu^3, \nu t) \ ,$$

$$B^-(\nu,t) = g^2 \left[\frac{2}{m^2 - s_0} - \frac{t}{(m^2 - s_0)^2} \right] - \frac{g^2}{2m^2} + b_{00}^- + b_{01}^- t + + b_{10}^- \nu^2 + \mathcal{O}(\nu^2, \nu^2 t, t^2)$$

- pseudovector Born terms: $D^I = A^I + \nu B^I$

$$\bar{D}^+ = d_{00}^+ + d_{01}^+ t + d_{10}^+ \nu^2$$

$$d_{mn}^+ = a_{mn}^+ + b_{m-1,n}^+ \ , \qquad d_{mn}^- = a_{mn}^- + b_{mn}^- \ .$$

- Sum rules for subthreshold parameters:

$$d_{00}^+ = -\frac{g^2}{m} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_+}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s' \, h_0(s') \left[\mathrm{Im}\, A^+(s', z_s') \right]_{(0,0)} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}t'}{t'} \left[\mathrm{Im}\, A^+(t', z_t') \right]_{(0,0)}$$

$$h_0(s') = \frac{2}{s' - s_0} - \frac{1}{s' - a}$$

Solving Roy-Steiner equations for πN: Recoupling schemes

- s-channel subproblem:
  - Kernels are diagonal for $I \in \{+,-\}$, but unitarity relations are diagonal for $I_s \in \{1/2, 3/2\} \Rightarrow$ all partial-waves are interrelated
  - Once the t-channel PWs are known
    $\Rightarrow$ Structure similar to $\pi\pi$ Roy-equations



- t-channel subproblem:
  - Only higher PWs couple to lower ones
  - Only PWs with even or odd J are coupled
  - No contribution from f_+^J to f_-^{J+1}
    $\Rightarrow$ Leads to Muskhelishvili-Omnès problem



Roy-Steiner equations for πN: s-channel

s-channel RS equations

$$f^I_{l+}(W) = N^I_{l+}(W) + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{W_+}^{\infty} dW' \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty}\left\{K^I_{ll'}(W,W')\,\mathrm{Im}\,f^I_{l'+}(W') + K^I_{ll'}(W,-W')\,\mathrm{Im}\,f^I_{(l'+1)-}(W')\right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} dt' \sum_J \left\{G_{lJ}(W,t')\,\mathrm{Im}\,f^J_+(t') + H_{lJ}(W,t')\,\mathrm{Im}\,f^J_-(t')\right\}$$

$$= -f^I_{(l+1)-}(-W) \qquad \forall\, I \geq 0\ , \quad \text{[Hite/Steiner (1973)]}$$

- e $K^I_{ll'}(W,W')$, $G_{lJ}(W,t')$ and $H_{lJ}(W,t')$-Kernels: analytically known,
  e.g. $K^I_{ll'}(W,W') = \frac{\delta_{ll'}}{W'-W} + \ldots \quad \forall\, I, I' \geq 0$,
- **Validity**: assuming Mandelstam analyticity
  $\Rightarrow$ optimal for $a = -23.2 M_\pi^2$

$$s \in \left[s_+ = (m+M_\pi)^2, 97.30\, M_\pi^2\right] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad W \in [W_+ = 1.08\ \text{GeV}, 1.38\ \text{GeV}]$$

Roy-Steiner equations for πN: t-channel

t-channel RS equations

$$f_+^J(t) = \tilde{N}_+^J(t) + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{W_+}^{\infty} dW' \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left\{\tilde{G}_{Jl}(t,W')\,\mathrm{Im}\,f_{l+}^l(W') + \tilde{G}_{Jl}(t,-W')\,\mathrm{Im}\,f_{(l+1)-}^l(W')\right\}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} dt' \sum_{J'}\left\{\tilde{K}^1_{JJ'}(t,t')\,\mathrm{Im}\,f_+^{J'}(t') + \tilde{K}^2_{JJ'}(t,t')\,\mathrm{Im}\,f_-^{J'}(t')\right\} \qquad \forall\, J \geq 0\ ,$$

$$f_-^J(t) = \tilde{N}_-^J(t) + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{W_+}^{\infty} dW' \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left\{\tilde{H}_{Jl}(t,W')\,\mathrm{Im}\,f_{l+}^l(W') + \tilde{H}_{Jl}(t,-W')\,\mathrm{Im}\,f_{(l+1)-}^l(W')\right\}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} dt' \sum_{J'}\tilde{K}^3_{JJ'}(t,t')\,\mathrm{Im}\,f_-^{J'}(t') \qquad \forall\, J \geq 1\ ,$$

- **Validity**: assuming Mandelstam analyticity
  $\Rightarrow$ optimal for $a = -2.71 M_\pi^2$

$$t \in [t_\pi = 4M_\pi^2, 205.45\, M_\pi^2] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sqrt{t} \in [\sqrt{t_\pi} = 0.28\ \text{GeV}, 2.00\ \text{GeV}]\ .$$

RS-eqs for πN: Range of convergence

- Assumption: Mandelstam analyticity — Mandelstam (1958,1959)

  $\Rightarrow$ T(s,t) can be written in terms double spectral densities: ρ_{st}, ρ_{su}, ρ_{ut}

$$T(s,t) = \frac{1}{\pi^2}\iint \mathrm{d}s'\mathrm{d}u' \frac{\rho_{su}(s',u')}{(s'-s)(u'-u)} + \frac{1}{\pi^2}\iint \mathrm{d}t'\mathrm{d}u' \frac{\rho_{tu}(t',u')}{(t'-t)(u'-u)} + \frac{1}{\pi^2}\iint \mathrm{d}s'\mathrm{d}t' \frac{\rho_{st}(s',t')}{(s'-s)(t'-t)}$$

  $\hookrightarrow$ integration ranges defined by the support of the double spectral densities ρ

- Boundaries of ρ are given lowest lying intermediate states



- They limit the range of validity of the HDRS:
  - Pw expansion converge
    $\Rightarrow z = \cos\theta \in$ Lehmann ellipses — Lehmann (1958)
  - the hyperbolae $(s-a)(u-a) = b$ does not enter any double spectral region
    $\Rightarrow$ for a value of a, constraints on b yield ranges in s & t



Solving t-channel: single channel

- Elastic-channel approximation: generic form of the integral equation

$$f(t) = \Delta(t) + (a + bt)(t - 4m^2) + \frac{t^2(t-4m^2)}{\pi} \int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} dt' \frac{\mathrm{Im}\, f(t')}{t'(t'^2 - 4m^2)(t' - t)}$$

- $\Delta(t)$: Born terms, s-channel integrals, higher t -channel partial waves
  $\Rightarrow$ left-hand cut
- Introduce subtractions at $\nu = t = 0 \Rightarrow$ subthreshold parameters a, b
- Solution in terms of Omnès function:

$$f(t) = \Delta(t) + (t - 4m^2)\Omega(t)(1 - t\dot{\Omega}(0))a + t(t - 4m^2)\Omega(t)b$$
$$- \Omega(t)\frac{t^2(t-4m^2)}{\pi}\left\{ \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{t_m} dt' \frac{\Delta(t')\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega(t')^{-1}}{t'^2(t' - 4m^2)(t' - t)} + \int_{t_m}^{\infty} dt' \frac{\Omega(t')^{-1}\mathrm{Im}\, f(t')}{t'(t' - 4m^2)(t' - t)} \right\}$$

$$\Omega(t) = \exp\left\{ \frac{t}{\pi} \int_{t_\pi}^{t_m} \frac{dt'}{t'} \frac{\delta(t')}{t' - t} \right\}$$

Solving t-channel: input and subtractions

- elastic channel approximation: $\sqrt{t_m} = 0.98 - 1.1$ GeV, for $t > t_m$ Im $f_\pm^J(t) = 0$
- First step: check **consistency** with KH80 — Höhler 1983
- Input needed:
  - $\pi\pi$ phase shifts: — Caprini, Colangelo, Leutwyler, (in preparation), Madrid group
  - πN phase shifts: SAID,KH80 — Arndt et al. 2008, Höhler 1983
  - πN at high energies: Regge model — Huang et al. 2010
  - πN parameters: KH80





Solving t-channel: P-wave results



MO solutions in general consistent with KH80 results

Solving t-channel: P, D and F waves up to $\bar{N}N$



Solving t-channel: coupled channels

- Generic coupled-channel integral equation

$$\mathbf{f}(t) = \mathbf{\Delta}(t) + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{t_\pi}^{t_m} dt' \, \frac{T^*(t')\Sigma(t')\mathbf{f}(t')}{t'-t} + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{t_m}^{\infty} dt' \, \frac{\mathrm{Im}\,\mathbf{f}(t')}{t'-t}$$

- Formal solution as in the single-channel case (now with Omnès matrix $\Omega(t)$)

  $\Rightarrow$ Two-channel Muskhelishvili-Omnès problem

  $\mathbf{f}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_+^0(t) \\ h_+^0(t) \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathrm{Im}\,\Omega(t) = (T(t))^*\Sigma(t)\Omega(t)$

- Two linearly independent solutions Ω_1, Ω_2 — Muskhelishvili 1953

- In general no analytical solution for the Omnès matrix but for its determinant — Moussallam 2000

$$\det\Omega(t) = \exp\left\{\frac{t}{\pi}\int_{t_\pi}^{t_m} dt' \, \frac{\psi(t')}{t'(t'-t)}\right\}.$$

Solving t-channel S-wave equations: input

- Input needed:
  - $\pi\pi$ s-wave partial waves: Caprini, Colangelo, Leutwyler, (in preparation)
  - $K\bar{K}$ s-wave partial waves: Büttiker. (2004)
  - πN and KN s-wave pw: SAID, KH80 Arndt et al. 2008, Höhler 1983,
  - πN at high energies: Regge model Huang et al. 2010
  - πN parameters: KH80
  - Hyperon couplings from Jülich model 1989
  - KN subthreshold parameters neglected
- Two-channel approximation beaks down at $\sqrt{t_0} = 1.3$ GeV $\Rightarrow 4\pi$ channel
- From t_0 to $t = 2$ GeV, different approximations considered

Solving t-channel: S-wave results



Solving t-channel: S-wave results



MO solutions in general consistent with KH80 results

Solving s-channel: S-wave results

- General form of the s-channel integral equation

$$f^I_{l+}(W) = \Delta^I_{l+}(W) + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{W_+}^{\infty} dW' \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \left\{ K^I_{ll'}(W,W')\,\mathrm{Im}\, f^I_{l'+}(W') + K^I_{ll'}(W,-W')\,\mathrm{Im}\, f^I_{(l'+1)-}(W') \right\}$$

  $\Rightarrow$ form of $\pi\pi$ Roy-Equations

- $\Delta^I_{l+}(W) \equiv$ t-channel contribution and pole term
- valid up to $W_m = 1.38$ GeV
- **Input**:
  - RS t-channel solutions for S and P waves
  - s-channel partial waves for $J > 1$ — SAID analysis
  - s-channel partial waves for $W_m < W < 2.5$ GeV — SAID analysis
  - high energy contribution for $W > 2.5$ GeV: Regge model — Huang et al. 2010
- **Output**:
  - Self-consistent solution for S and P waves for $J \leq J_{\max}$ and $s_{\rm th} \leq s \leq s_m$
  - Constraints on subtraction constants $\Rightarrow$ subthreshold parameters

Solving s-channel: subtractions

- Existence and uniqueness of solutions — Gasser, Wanders 1999

  $\Rightarrow$ no-cusp condition for each pw + 2 additional constraints are needed

- Take advantage of the precise data for pionic atoms — Gotta et al. 2005, 2010

  $\Rightarrow$ Impose as a **constraint** scattering lengths from a combined analysis of pionic hydrogen and deuterium — Baru et al. 2011

$$a_{0+}^{1/2} = (169.8 \pm 2.0)10^{-3} M_\pi^{-1} \quad a_{0+}^{3/2} = (-86.3 \pm 1.8)10^{-3} M_\pi^{-1}$$

$$\text{Re}\, f_{l\pm}^{I}(s) = \mathbf{q}^{2I} \left(a_{l\pm}^{I} + b_{l\pm}^{I} \mathbf{q}^2 + \cdots \right)$$

10 subthreshold parameters are needed to match **d.o.f**

$\Rightarrow$ **three subtractions**

Solving s-channel: strategy

- Parameterize S and P waves up to $W < W_m$
  - Using SAID partial waves as starting point
- Impose as **constraints** the hadronic atom scattering lengths
- Introduce as many subtractions as necessary to match d.o.f [Gasser, Wanders 1999]
- Minimize difference between LHS and the RHS on a grid of points W_j

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{I,I_s,\pm} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\left(\text{Re}\, f^{I_s}_{l\pm}(W_j) - F[f^{I_s}_{l\pm}](W_j)\right)^2}{\text{Re}\, f^{I_s}_{l\pm}(W_j)}$$

  $F[f^{I_s}_{l\pm}](W_j) \equiv$ right hand side of RS-equations
- Parametrization and subthreshold parameters are the fitting parameters

Solving s-channel: results













blue/red

⇕

LHS/RHS

after the fit

gray/black

⇕

LHS/RHS

before the fit

Notation: $L_{2I_s 2J}$

Results: s-channel PWs













blue/red

⇕

LHS/RHS

after the fit

gray/black

⇕

LHS/RHS

before the fit

Notation: $L_{2I_s 2J}$

Results: s-channel PWs













sizable
$f_2(1275)$ effect
blue/re

⇕

LHS/RHS

after the fit

gray/black

⇕

LHS/RHS

before the fit

Notation: $L_{2I_s 2J}$

Results: t-channel PWs











blue

⇕

before the fit

red

⇕

after the fit

+

⇕

KH80

Solving the full RS system: strategy

- Full solution: self-consistent, iterative solution of the full RS system
  $\Rightarrow$ consistent set of **s**- and **t**-channel PWs & low-energy parameters
- However:
  - t-channel RS eqs. depend only weakly on s-channel PWs
  - resulting s-channel PW change little from **SAID**

A **full solution** can be achieved including in the s-channel RS eqs. the t-channel dependence on the **subthreshold parameters**

Uncertainties

- Statistical errors (at intermediate energies)
  - ▷ important correlations between subthreshold parameters
  - ▷ shallow fit minima
  - $\Rightarrow$ Sum rules for subthreshold parameters become essential to reduce the errors
- Input variation (small)
  - ▷ small effect for considering s-channel KH80 input
  - ▷ very small effects from $L > 5$ s-channel PWs
  - ▷ small effect from the different S-wave extrapolation for $t > 1.3$ GeV
  - ▷ negligible effect of ρ' and ρ''
  - ▷ very significant effects of the D-waves ($f_2(1275)$)
  - ▷ F-waves shown to be negligible
- matching conditions (close to W_m)
- scattering length (SL) errors (on S-waves and subthreshold parameters)
  - ▷ very important for the $\sigma_{\pi \mathbf{N}}$

Uncertainties: Real part s-channel pw



Uncertainties: Real part t-channel pw



Decomposition of s-channel RS pw

$$f_{l\pm}^{Is}(W) = N_{l\pm}^{Is}(W) + K_s T(W)_{l\pm}^{Is} + K_t T(W)_{l\pm}^{Is} + DT(W)_{l\pm}^{Is}$$



Comparison with KH80

- Karlsruhe-Helsinki analysis **KH80** Höhler et al. 1980
  - comprehensive analyticity constraints based on fixed-t dispersion relations
  - old experimental data
- Here, an update of **KH80** results with modern input
  - HDR increase the range of validity of the equations
  - πN scattering length extracted from hadronic atoms $\Rightarrow$ essential for the $\sigma_{\pi N}$
  - Goldberger-Miyazawa-Oehme sum rule:

    $g^2_{\pi N}/4\pi = 13.7 \pm 0.2$ Baru et al. 2011

    compare: $g^2_{\pi N}/4\pi = 14.28$ Höhler et al. 1983
  - s-channel PWs from **SAID**
  - $f_2(1275)$ included $\Rightarrow$ sizable effect
- **KH80** is internally consistent $\Rightarrow$ RS reproduces **KH80** results with **KH80** input

Results: s-channel PWs with KH80 input













blue/red
⇕
LHS/RHS
after the fit

gray/black
⇕
LHS/RHS
before the fit

Notation: $L_{2I_s 2J}$

Results: t-channel PWs with KH80 input











blue

⇕

before the fit

red

⇕

after the fit

\+

⇕

KH80

Threshold parameters

- Threshold parameters defined as: Re $f^I_{l\pm}(s) = q^{2l}\{a^I_{l\pm} + b^I_{l\pm} q^2 + \cdots\}$
- Extracted from hyperbolic sum rules

	RS	KH80
a^+_{0+} $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-1}]$	-0.9 ± 1.4	-9.7 ± 1.7
a^-_{0+} $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-1}]$	85.4 ± 0.9	91.3 ± 1.7
a^+_{1+} $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	131.2 ± 1.7	132.7 ± 1.3
a^-_{1+} $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	-80.3 ± 1.1	-81.3 ± 1.0
a^+_{1-} $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	-50.9 ± 1.9	-56.7 ± 1.3
a^-_{1-} $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	-9.9 ± 1.2	-11.7 ± 1.0
b^+_{0+} $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	-45.0 ± 1.0	-44.3 ± 6.7
b^-_{0+} $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	4.9 ± 0.8	13.3 ± 6.0

- Reasonable agreement with KH80 but for the scattering lengths
- Disagreement in the scattering lengths in $\sim 4\sigma$

Threshold parameters

- Threshold parameters defined as: Re $f^I_{l\pm}(s) = q^{2l}\{a^I_{l\pm} + b^I_{l\pm} q^2 + \cdots\}$
- Extracted from hyperbolic sum rules

	RS	KH80
$a^{1/2}_{0+}$ $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-1}]$	169.8 ± 2.0	173 ± 3
$a^{3/2}_{0+}$ $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-1}]$	-86.3 ± 1.8	-101 ± 4
$a^{1/2}_{1+}$ $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	-29.4 ± 1.0	-30 ± 2
$a^{3/2}_{1+}$ $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	211.5 ± 2.8	214 ± 2
$a^{1/2}_{1-}$ $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	-70.7 ± 4.1	-81 ± 2
$a^{3/2}_{1-}$ $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	-41.0 ± 1.1	-45 ± 2
$b^{1/2}_{0+}$ $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	-35.2 ± 2.2	-18 ± 12
$b^{3/2}_{0+}$ $[10^{-3} M_\pi^{-3}]$	-49.8 ± 1.1	-58 ± 9

- Reasonable agreement with KH80 but for the scattering lengths
- Disagreement in the $a_0^{3/2}$ scattering length in $\sim 4\sigma$

Dispersion relation for the scalar form factor of the nucleon

- Unitarity relation:



$$\mathrm{Im}\,\sigma(t) = \frac{2}{4m^2-t}\left\{\frac{3}{4}\sigma_t^{\pi}\left(F_{\pi}^{S}(t)\right)^{*} f_{+}^{0}(t) + \sigma_t^{K}\left(F_{K}^{S}(t)\right)^{*} h_{+}^{0}(t)\right\}$$

- Dispersion relation:

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t' \frac{\mathrm{Im}\sigma(t')}{(t'-t)} = \sigma_{\pi N} + \frac{t}{\pi}\int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t' \frac{\mathrm{Im}\sigma(t')}{t'(t'-t)}$$

Unsubtracted: $\sigma_{\pi N} = \sigma(0)$

Once-subtracted: $\Delta_\sigma = \sigma(2M_\pi^2) - \sigma_{\pi N}$

Convergence of the dispersive integral





- Unsubtracted: slow convergence
- Once-subtracted: stable result for $\Lambda \gtrsim 1$ GeV

Dispersion relation for the πN amplitude

- t-channel expansion of the subtracted pseudo-Born amplitude

$$\bar{D}(\nu=0,t)=4\pi\left\{\frac{1}{p_t^2}\,\bar{f}_0^+(t)+\frac{5}{2}q_t^2\,\bar{f}_2^+(t)+\frac{27}{8}p_t^2q_t^4\,\bar{f}_4^+(t)+\frac{56}{16}p_t^4q_t^6\,\bar{f}_6^+(t)+\cdots\right\}$$

- Insert t-channel RS equations for Born-term-subtracted amplitudes $\bar{f}_J^+(t)$

$$\bar{D}(\nu=0,t)=d_{00}^+ + d_{01}^+ t - 16t^2\int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} dt'\,\frac{\mathrm{Im}\bar{f}_0^+(t')}{t'^2(t'-4m^2)(t'-t)} + \{J\geq 2\} + \{\text{s-channel integral}\}$$

- $\Delta_D = F_\pi^2\left(\bar{D}(\nu=0,t) - d_{00}^+ + d_{01}^+ t\right)$ from evaluation at $t=2M_\pi^2$

Summary: σ-term corrections

- Nucleon scalar form factor

$$\Delta_\sigma = (13.9 \pm 0.3)\,\text{MeV}$$
$$+ Z_1\left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} - 14.28\right) + Z_2\left(d_{00}^+ M_\pi + 1.46\right) + Z_3\left(d_{01}^+ M_\pi^3 - 1.14\right) + Z_4\left(b_{00}^+ M_\pi^3 + 3.54\right)$$
$$Z_1 = 0.36\,\text{MeV}\,, \qquad Z_2 = 0.57\,\text{MeV}\,, \qquad Z_3 = 12.0\,\text{MeV}\,, \qquad Z_4 = -0.81\,\text{MeV}$$

- πN amplitude

$$\Delta_D = (12.1 \pm 0.3)\,\text{MeV}$$
$$+ \hat{Z}_1\left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} - 14.28\right) + \hat{Z}_2\left(d_{00}^+ M_\pi + 1.46\right) + \hat{Z}_3\left(d_{01}^+ M_\pi^3 - 1.14\right) + \hat{Z}_4\left(b_{00}^+ M_\pi^3 + 3.54\right)$$
$$\hat{Z}_1 = 0.42\,\text{MeV}\,, \qquad \hat{Z}_2 = 0.67\,\text{MeV}\,, \qquad \hat{Z}_3 = 12.0\,\text{MeV}\,, \qquad \hat{Z}_4 = -0.77\,\text{MeV}$$

$\hookrightarrow$ most of the dependence on the πN parameters cancels in the difference

Full Correction

$$\Delta_D - \Delta_\sigma = (-1.8 \pm 0.2)\ \text{MeV}$$

Cheng-Dashen theorem in the presence of isospin breaking

- Define as isoscalar as

$$X^+ \to X^p = \frac{1}{2}\left(X_{\pi^+ p\to\pi^+ p} + X_{\pi^- p\to\pi^- p}\right), \qquad X \in \{D, d_{00}, d_{01}, a_{0+}\ldots\}$$

  and "isospin limit" by proton and charged pion

- Assume virtual photons to be removed

  $\hookrightarrow$ scenario closest to actual πN PWA

- Calculate IV corrections in SU(2) ChPT, mainly due to $\Delta_\pi = M_\pi^2 - M_{\pi^0}^2$
  - For the σ term no differences at $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$

$$\sigma_{\pi N} = \sigma_p = \sigma_N = -4c_1 M_{\pi^0}^2 - \frac{3g_A^2 M_{\pi^0}^2}{64\pi F_\pi^2}(2M_\pi + M_{\pi^0}) + \mathcal{O}(M_\pi^4)$$

  - Slope of the scalar form factor

$$\Delta_\sigma^p = \sigma_p(2M_\pi^2) - \sigma_p = \frac{3g_A^2 M_\pi^3}{64\pi F_\pi^2} + \frac{g_A^2 M_\pi \Delta_\pi}{128\pi F_\pi^2}\left(-7 + \sqrt{2}\log(3+2\sqrt{2})\right) + \mathcal{O}(M_\pi^4)$$

  - Similarly for Δ_D^p

$$\Delta_D^p = F_\pi^2\left\{\bar{D}_p(0, 2M_\pi^2) - d_{00}^p - 2M_\pi^2 d_{01}^p\right\} = \frac{23g_a^2 M_\pi^3}{384\pi F_\pi^2} + \frac{g_a^2 M_\pi \Delta_\pi}{256\pi F_\pi^2}\left(3 + 4\sqrt{2}\log\left(1+\sqrt{2}\right)\right) + \mathcal{O}(M_\pi^4)$$

Cheng-Dashen theorem in the presence of isospin breaking

- Taking everything together

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\pi N} =& F_\pi^2\left(d_{00}^p + 2M_\pi^2 d_{01}^p\right) - \Delta_R + \Delta_D - \Delta_\sigma + \left(\Delta_D^p - \Delta_D\right) - \left(\Delta_\sigma^p - \Delta_\sigma\right) \\ &+ \sigma_p(2M_\pi^2) + F_\pi^2 \bar{D}(0, 2M_\pi^2) \\ =& F_\pi^2\left(d_{00}^p + 2M_\pi^2 d_{01}^p\right) - \underbrace{\Delta_R}_{\lesssim 2\text{MeV}} + \underbrace{\Delta_D - \Delta_\sigma}_{(-1.8\pm 0.2)\text{MeV}} + \underbrace{\frac{81 g_a^2 M_\pi \Delta_\pi}{256\pi F_\pi^2}}_{3.4\text{MeV}} + \underbrace{\frac{e^2}{2} F_\pi^2 (4 f_1 + f_2)}_{(-0.4\pm 2.2)\text{MeV}}\end{aligned}$$

$\hookrightarrow$ sizable corrections from Δ_π increasing the value of the $\sigma_{\pi N}$

$\pi\pi$-continuum contribution to the electromagnetic nucleon form factors

- Electromagnetic nucleon form factor: $\langle N(p')|j^\mu_{\rm em}|N(p)\rangle = \bar{u}(p')\left[F_1^N(t)\gamma^\mu + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu}{2m_N}F_2^N(t)\right]u(p),$

$$G_E^N(t) = F_1^N(t) + \frac{t}{4m_N^2}F_2^N(t), \quad G_M^N(t) = F_1^N(t) + F_2^N(t).$$

- first inelastic correction from $\pi\pi$ continuum

$$\text{Im}\, G_E^v(t) = \frac{q_t^3}{m_N\sqrt{t}}\left(F_\pi^V(t)\right)^* f_+^1(t)\theta\left(t - t_\pi\right)$$
$$\text{Im}\, G_M^v(t) = \frac{q_t^3}{\sqrt{2t}}\left(F_\pi^V(t)\right)^* f_-^1(t)\theta\left(t - t_\pi\right)$$



  - ↪ rigorous constraint fixed from:
    - ▷ RS t-channel partial waves
    - ▷ pion form factor
- update of Höhler spectral functions, including also isospin breaking

criticism by Lee et al. 2015

$\pi\pi$-continuum: $\rho - \omega$ mixing

- Isovector and isoscalar nucleon form factor

$$F_i^s(t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(F_i^p(t) + F_i^n(t)\right), \quad F_i^v(t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(F_i^p(t) - F_i^n(t)\right)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Im}\, G_E^v(t) = {} & \frac{q_t^3}{m_N\sqrt{t}}\,|\Omega_1^1(t)||f_+^1(t)|\theta\left(t - t_\pi\right) \\
& \times \left(1 + \alpha t + \frac{\varepsilon\, t}{M_\omega^2 + iM_\omega\Gamma_\omega - t}\right) \\
& + \varepsilon\,\text{Im}\left(\frac{t}{M_\omega^2 - iM_\omega\Gamma_\omega - t}\right) \\
& \times \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t'\, \frac{\frac{q_t'^3}{m_N\sqrt{t'}}\,|\Omega_1^1(t')||f_+^1(t')|}{t' - t - i\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Im}\, G_M^v(t) = {} & \frac{q_t^3}{\sqrt{2t}}\,|\Omega_1^1(t)||f_-^1(t)|\theta\left(t - t_\pi\right) \\
& \times \left(1 + \alpha t + \frac{\varepsilon\, t}{M_\omega^2 + iM_\omega\Gamma_\omega - t}\right) \\
& + \varepsilon\,\text{Im}\left(\frac{t}{M_\omega^2 - iM_\omega\Gamma_\omega - t}\right) \\
& \times \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{t_\pi}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t'\, \frac{\frac{q_t'^3}{\sqrt{2t'}}\,|\Omega_1^1(t')||f_-^1(t')|}{t' - t - i\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Chiral Low Energy Constants with Δ's

	HB-NN		HB-πN		covariant	
N^{2}LO	Q^3	ε^3	Q^3	ε^3	Q^3	ε^3
c_1	−1.08(2)	−1.25(3)	−1.08(2)	−1.24(3)	−1.00(2)	−1.19(4)
c_2	3.26(3)	1.71(1.01)	3.26(3)	1.13(1.02)	2.55(3)	1.14(19)
c_3	−5.39(5)	−2.68(84)	−5.39(5)	−2.75(84)	−4.90(5)	−2.56(40)
c_4	3.62(3)	1.57(16)	3.62(3)	1.58(16)	3.08(3)	1.33(20)
d_{1+2}	1.02(6)	0.14(17)	1.02(6)	−0.07(18)	1.78(6)	0.62(16)
d_3	−0.46(2)	−0.84(14)	−0.46(2)	−0.48(15)	−1.12(2)	−1.45(5)
d_5	0.15(5)	0.80(7)	0.15(5)	0.47(6)	−0.05(5)	0.29(6)
d_{14-15}	−1.85(6)	−1.09(30)	−1.85(6)	−0.72(31)	−2.27(6)	−0.98(13)
N^{3}LO	Q^4	ε^4	Q^4	ε^4	Q^4	ε^4
c_1	−1.11(3)	−1.11(3)	−1.11(3)	−1.11(3)	−1.12(3)	−1.10(3)
c_2	3.61(4)	1.41(38)	3.17(3)	1.28(20)	3.35(3)	1.16(20)
c_3	−5.60(6)	−1.88(45)	−5.67(6)	−2.04(39)	−5.70(6)	−2.10(39)
c_4	4.26(4)	2.03(28)	4.35(4)	2.07(29)	3.97(3)	1.91(27)
d_{1+2}	6.37(9)	1.78(31)	7.66(9)	2.90(30)	4.70(7)	1.78(24)
d_3	−9.18(9)	−3.64(36)	−10.77(10)	−5.91(50)	−5.26(5)	−3.25(14)
d_5	0.87(5)	1.52(7)	0.59(5)	1.03(7)	0.31(5)	0.66(6)
d_{14-15}	−12.56(12)	−4.38(54)	−13.44(12)	−5.17(55)	−8.84(10)	−3.41(41)
e_{14}	1.16(4)	1.64(10)	0.85(4)	1.12(16)	1.17(4)	1.28(11)
e_{15}	−2.26(6)	−4.95(15)	−0.83(6)	−3.30(25)	−2.58(7)	−3.07(13)
e_{16}	−0.29(3)	4.21(16)	−2.75(3)	1.92(43)	−1.77(3)	1.71(17)
e_{17}	−0.17(6)	−0.44(6)	0.03(6)	−0.39(7)	−0.45(6)	−0.51(7)
e_{18}	−3.47(5)	1.34(29)	−4.48(5)	0.67(31)	−1.68(5)	1.30(17)

Threshold kinematics from subthreshold with Δ's

	HB-NN		HB-πN		covariant		RS
N^{2}LO	Q^3	ε^3	Q^3	ε^3	Q^3	ε^3	
$a_{0+}^{+}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-1}]$	0.5	−9.8(10.9)	0.5	−0.4(9.2)	−14.8	1.0(17.3)	−0.9(1.4)
$a_{0+}^{-}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-1}]$	92.2	92.7(1.0)	92.9	90.5(9)	89.9	81.7(1.6)	85.4(9)
$a_{1+}^{+}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	113.8	125.8(16.7)	121.7	127.2(18.4)	116.4	128.5(9.6)	131.2(1.7)
$a_{1+}^{-}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	−74.8	−77.4(2.5)	−75.5	−78.4(2.6)	−75.1	−79.7(3.0)	−80.3(1.1)
$a_{1-}^{+}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	−54.1	−53.4(14.1)	−47.0	−52.5(15.8)	−55.5	−52.5(8.5)	−50.9(1.9)
$a_{1-}^{-}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	−14.1	−13.1(2.7)	−2.5	−7.8(3.0)	−10.4	−9.7(4.1)	−9.9(1.2)
$b_{0+}^{+}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	−45.7	−38.1(9.6)	−22.1	−23.7(14.4)	−50.9	−34.7(12.1)	−45.0(1.0)
$b_{0+}^{-}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	35.9	26.4(1.0)	22.6	17.6(8)	21.6	14.2(2.0)	4.9(8)
N^{3}LO	Q^4	ε^4	Q^4	ε^4	Q^4	ε^4	
$a_{0+}^{+}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-1}]$	−1.5	−1.5(8.5)	−8.0	1.2(20.4)	−5.7	−0.8(10.3)	−0.9(1.4)
$a_{0+}^{-}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-1}]$	68.5	96.3(2.0)	58.6	70.0(3.3)	83.8	83.6(1.9)	85.4(9)
$a_{1+}^{+}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	134.3	136.0(9.7)	132.1	135.2(8.7)	128.0	132.7(9.0)	131.2(1.7)
$a_{1+}^{-}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	−80.9	−80.0(3.4)	−90.1	−86.4(2.7)	−78.1	−81.1(3.6)	−80.3(1.1)
$a_{1-}^{+}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	−55.7	−47.5(10.5)	−73.7	−56.9(7.1)	−53.5	−51.4(7.9)	−50.9(1.9)
$a_{1-}^{-}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	−10.0	−5.6(4.9)	−23.7	−14.4(6.5)	−11.8	−10.4(5.7)	−9.9(1.2)
$b_{0+}^{+}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	−42.2	−31.4(8.1)	−44.5	−32.6(21.3)	−54.7	−33.9(8.5)	−45.0(1.0)
$b_{0+}^{-}[10^{-3}M_\pi^{-3}]$	−31.6	7.1(2.3)	−65.2	−34.1(5.7)	2.3	2.9(2.1)	4.9(8)

Goldberger-Miyazawa-Oehme sum rule

- Fixed-t dispersion relations at threshold $\hookrightarrow$ **GMO sum rule**

$$\frac{g^2}{4\pi} = \left(\left(\frac{m_p + m_n}{M_\pi}\right)^2 - 1\right)\left\{\left(1 + \frac{M_\pi}{m_p}\right)\frac{M_\pi}{4}\left(a_{\pi^- p} - a_{\pi^+ p}\right) - \frac{M_\pi^2}{2}J^-\right\}$$

$$= 13.69 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.15$$

$$J^- = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dk \frac{\sigma^{\rm tot}_{\pi^- p}(k) - \sigma^{\rm tot}_{\pi^+ p}(k)}{\sqrt{M_\pi^2 + k^2}}$$

- J^- known very accurately — Ericson et al. 2002, Abaev et al. 2007
- other determinations

	de Swart et al. 97	Arndt et al. 94	Ericson et al. 02	Bugg et al. 73	KH80
method	NN	πN	GM0	πN	πN
$g^2/4\pi$	13.54 ± 0.05	13.75 ± 0.15	14.11 ± 0.20	14.30 ± 0.18	14.28

- With KH80 scattering lengths $g^2/4\pi = 14.28$ is reproduced exactly

  $\hookrightarrow$ discrepancy related to old scattering length values

Trace anomaly

- Classical massless QCD is invariant under scale transformations

$$x \to \lambda x \qquad q(x) \to \lambda^{3/2} q(\lambda x) \qquad A(x) \to \lambda A(\lambda x) \qquad \text{(dilatations)}$$

- Quantization/renormalization generates a scale Λ_{QCD} that breaks scale invariance:

  $\hookrightarrow$ dimensional transmutation

$\Rightarrow$ **Trace anomaly**

$$\theta^\mu_\mu = \frac{\beta_{QCD}}{2g} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a + m_u \bar{u}u + m_d \bar{d}d + m_s \bar{s}s + \cdots$$

▷ trace anomaly $\equiv$ signal for the generation of hadron masses

▷ the mass of any hadron made of light quarks mass is essentially field energy

"Mass without a mass" [Wheeler, 1962]

The role of the pion-nucleon σ-term

- scalar coupling of the nucleon

  $\langle N|m_q\bar{q}q|N\rangle = f_q m_N, \quad f_q = \frac{\sigma_{\pi N}}{2m_N} \quad N \in \{p, n\}$

  $\hookrightarrow$ Dark Matter detection

  $\hookrightarrow$ $\mu \to e$ conversion in nuclei

- Condensates in nuclear matter

  $$\frac{\langle\bar{q}q\rangle(\rho)}{\langle 0|\bar{q}q|0\rangle} = 1 - \frac{\rho\,\sigma_{\pi N}}{F_\pi^2 M_\pi^2}$$

- CP violation in πN couplings

  $\hookrightarrow$ hadronic EDMs

  $$g_{\eta N} \propto -\left(\frac{\sigma_s}{m_s} - \frac{\sigma_{\pi N}}{2\hat{m}}\right)\bar{\theta}$$





Nucleon strangeness

- relate $\sigma_{\pi N}$ to strangeness content of the nucleon:

$$\sigma_{\pi N} = \frac{\hat{m}}{2m_N}\frac{\langle N|\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - 2\bar{s}s|N\rangle}{1-y} = \frac{\sigma_0}{1-y}, \qquad y \equiv \frac{2\langle N|\bar{s}s|N\rangle}{\langle N|\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d|N\rangle}$$

  $(m_s - \hat{m})\,(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - 2\bar{s}s) \subset$ LQCD produces SU(3) mass splittings:

$$\sigma_{\pi N} = \frac{\sigma_0}{1-y}, \qquad \sigma_0 = \frac{\hat{m}}{m_s - \hat{m}}\,(m_\Xi + m_\Sigma - 2m_N) \sim 26\,\text{MeV}$$

  higher-order corrections: $\sigma_0 \to (36 \pm 7)$ MeV [Borasoy, Meißner 1997]

- potentially large effects
  - ▷ from the decuplet [Alarcon et al. 2013, Siemens et al. 2016]
  - ▷ from relativistic corrections (EOMS vs. heavy-baryon)
  - ↪ may increase to $\sigma_0 = (58 \pm 8)$ MeV
- **Conclusion**:
  - ▷ $\sigma_{\pi N} = (59.1 \pm 3.5)$ MeV not incompatible with small y
  - ▷ chiral convergence of σ_0 (hence $\langle N|\bar{s}s|N\rangle$) very doubtful

Spin-independent WIMP–nucleon scattering

- Effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = C_{qq}^{SS} \frac{m_q}{\Lambda^3} \bar{\chi}\chi\, \bar{q}q + C_{qq}^{VV} \frac{m_q}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\chi}\gamma^\mu\chi\, \bar{q}\gamma_\mu q + \bar{C}_{gg}^{S} \frac{\alpha_S}{\Lambda^3} \bar{\chi}\chi G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}$$

- WIMP χ **Dirac fermion** and **SM singlet**
- Spin–independent cross section at vanishing momentum transfer

$$\sigma_N^{SI} = \frac{\mu_\chi^2}{\Lambda^4} \left| \left(\frac{m_N}{\Lambda} C_{qq}^{SS} f_q^N - 12\pi C_{gg}^{S} f_Q^N \right) + C_{qq}^{VV} f_V^N \right|^2$$

$$\mu_\chi = \frac{m_\chi m_N}{m_\chi + m_N} \qquad f_q^N = 2 \quad f_q^N = \frac{\sigma_{\pi\mathbf{N}}(1-\xi)}{m_N} + \Delta f_q^N$$

- nucleon-matrix elements dominated by $\sigma_{\pi\mathbf{N}}$

Roy-equations: $\pi\pi$ results

Solution for the $\pi\pi$ S0-wave





Garcia-Martin, Kaminski, Pelaez, JRE (2011)