

Trigger Upgrades

2019 4.55 GeV Run

Software Upgrades

Introduction

- Omar wrote a bump hunter code for the 2015 analysis.
 - Sebouh later did a separate analysis of the 2016, but only 10%.
 - Also, RooFit was used, and Omar has identified issues with this.

- We now want to improve upon Omar's code and perform a full analysis of the 2016 data set.
 - We aim to improve the capabilities of the bump hunter.
 - We also need to update it for the 2016 data set.

Technical Difficulties

- There have been a number of technical challenges in getting the bump hunter running.
- Version issues:
 - There are presently four versions of the bump hunter.
 - The first one trialed turned out to be a working version that didn't actually work right.
 - The second turned out to be an old version that still used RooFit.
 - The third version was the correct one, and is now currently in use.
 - The fourth version is the working copy for the upgrades.

Technical Difficulties

- There have been a number of technical challenges in getting the bump hunter running.
- Version issues:
 - There are presently four versions of the bump hunter.
 - The first one trialed turned out to be a working version that didn't actually work right.
 - The second turned out to be an old version that still used RooFit.
 - The third version was the correct one, and is now currently in use.
 - The fourth version is the working copy for the upgrades.
- We should implement better versioning controls moving forward!

Technical Difficulties

- There have been a number of technical challenges in getting the bump hunter running.
- Other issues:
 - Some compile issues with ROOT/compiler versioning.
 - Infinite loop in upper limit calculation method.
 - This is probably working code – should be fixed but can be disabled for now to keep moving forward.

Technical Difficulties

- There have been a number of technical challenges in getting the bump hunter running.
- Other issues:
 - Some compile issues with ROOT/compiler versioning.
 - Infinite loop in upper limit calculation method.
 - This is probably working code – should be fixed but can be disabled for now to keep moving forward.
- These issues have been effectively resolved.
 - The code now runs successfully on 2016 data on JLab.
 - Modifications are underway!

Improvements

- Some improvements have already been made to the code.
- **Code Runs on 2016 Data:** The biggest step forward – the code works for 2016 data.
- **Mass Parameterization:** Sebouh's 2016 data mass parameterization has been implemented.
 - We will want to redo this before the final analysis, but this gives us something from 2016 to use.

Improvements

- **Structural Improvements:** As the code is modified, it is important to ensure that is built for maintainability and so that it can be taken over easily by subsequent students.
 - Documentation is important! Omar was pretty decent about this, but it can always be made better. The code should be clearly understandable to subsequent code managers to avoid unnecessary delays.
 - Design improvements: Some areas of the code design can be improved to remove repeated code segments, overloaded/nested classes, and so on. This makes it easier to modify the code successfully.
 - Switches: Ideally, we can swap improvements in and out easily to test the effects of these changes (or to revert to the original functionality if needed).

Improvements

- **Crystal Ball Fit Function:** It was decided that a crystal ball function is a better choice than a Gaußian for the purpose of fitting the signal.
 - A crystal ball function has been written and integrated into the code base.
 - The fit function code has been granted its own package, abstracted, and generally cleaned.
 - A switch has been added to allow for easy swapping between the original Gaußian function and the crystal ball function.
- This step is on-going – a final switch is needed to enable flipping between the functions at the command line and then the fit needs to be tested to ensure that it works correctly.

Conclusions

- There were some significant delays initially with getting the code up to a state where it could be run and modified.
 - This has been resolved, and modifications are now on-going successfully for 2016 data!
- New and improved functionality is being added, along with structural improvements to enable better future maintainability.

Conclusions

- There's still a lot to do, though!

Task	Subtask	Comment	Document
MC and Data agreement	<input type="checkbox"/> Check the tracking efficiency (Matt, Omar) <input type="checkbox"/> Check single hit efficiency? (Matt, Omar) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MG5 cross section converges? (Bradley) <input type="checkbox"/> Any significant discrepancy between MC and data selection cut? (Matt)	Unlike to 2015 data, we see that the Normalized data is about 30% higher than the normalized MC	
Event Selection	<input type="checkbox"/> Optimize event selection cuts (Rafo) <input type="checkbox"/> Optimize energy/momentum sum cut (Rafo? Omar?) <input type="checkbox"/> Generate final e+e- invariant mass spectrum (Rafo)		
Determine mass resolution with the pass4 data	<input type="checkbox"/> Generate A'-beam MC at several different masses along with Moller-beam MC (Bradley). <input type="checkbox"/> Check data-MC agreement for Moller data (Matt? Omar?) <input type="checkbox"/> Develop a cutflow to isolate Moller peak and fit using a Crystal Ball function to extract the mass resolution (Rafo? Omar?) <input type="checkbox"/> Isolate A' invariant mass peaks and fit each using a Crystal Ball function to extract mass resolution (Rafo) <input type="checkbox"/> Fit A' mass resolution as a function of A' mass to obtain mass parametrization. (Rafo) <input type="checkbox"/> Determine mass scale correction (Kyle)		
Bump Hunting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Run the BumpHunter (Kyle) <input type="checkbox"/> Use Crystal-Ball instead of the Gauss for the signal shape (Omar) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Add CLs limit calculation <input type="checkbox"/> Import mass resolution parametrization (Kyle) <input type="checkbox"/> Optimize fitting function and window size. Requires knowledge of the mass resolution (Kyle) <input type="checkbox"/> Incorporate mass resolution and scale systematic. <input type="checkbox"/> Add "Pulls to exclusion limits" conversion in the BumpHunter?		
Systematics	<input type="checkbox"/> fRad (Matt) <input type="checkbox"/> Mass resolution (Moeller mass fit, different target positions) (Rafo) <input type="checkbox"/> fits (Kyle)		

- With the run complete, we can hopefully focus all efforts on moving forward and filling out this list!