Jefferson Lab

# RF DIPOLE DEFLECTING/CRABBING CAVITIES

### Subashini De Silva

### Center for Accelerator Science Department of Physics, Old Dominion University and Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility





### **Compact Deflecting/Crabbing Designs**

- Operate in TE-like or TEM-like modes
  - 4-Rod Cavity (University of Lancaster / Jefferson Lab)
  - Parallel-Bar / RF-Dipole Cavity (ODU / SLAC)
  - Quarter Wave Cavity (BNL)
- RF Dipole design has
  - Low surface fields and high shunt impedance
  - Good balance between peak surface electric and magnetic field
  - No LOMs
  - Nearest HOM is widely separated (~ 1.5 fundamental mode)
  - Good uniformity of deflecting field due to high degree symmetry





# **Current Applications of RF Dipole Cavity**



Page 3





# **RF Dipole Cavity**

- Operates in a TE-like mode (cannot be a pure TE mode Panofsky Wenzel Theorem)
- Deflecting/Crabbing mode is the lowest operating mode
- Net deflection is mainly due to the transverse electric field
- Transverse voltage





### **Characteristics of the RF-Dipole Cavity**

- Properties depend on a few parameters
  - Frequency determined by diameter of the cavity design
  - Bar Length  $\sim \lambda/2$
  - Bar height and aperture determine  $E_{\rm P}$  and  $B_{\rm P}$





# **RF-Dipole Square Cavity Options**

- Square-type rf-dipole cavity to further reduce the transverse dimensions
- Frequency is adjusted by curving radius of the edges
- RF-dipole cavity with modified curved loading elements across the beam aperture to reduce field non-uniformity





Voltage deviation at 20 mm



### **RF-Dipole Cavity Designs**

Frequency	499.0	400.0	750.0*	MHz	
Aperture Diameter (d)	40.0	84.0	60.0	mm	
d/(λ/2)	0.133	0.224	0.3		
LOM	None	None	None	MHz	
Nearest HOM	777.0	589.5	1062.5	MHz	
$E_p^*$	2.86	3.9	4.29	MV/m	
$B_p^{*}$	4.38	7.13	9.3	mT	
$B_p^*/E_p^*$	1.53	1.83	2.16	mT/ (MV/m)	
$[R/Q]_T$	982.5	287.2	125.0	Ω	
Geometrical Factor ( <i>G</i> )	105.9	138.7	136.0	Ω	
$R_T R_S$	1.0×10 <sup>5</sup>	4.0×10 <sup>4</sup>	1.7×10 <sup>4</sup>	$\Omega^2$	
At $E_T^* = 1$ MV/m					

### 499 MHz Deflecting Cavity for Jefferson Lab 12 GeV Upgrade





#### 400 MHz Crabbing Cavity for LHC High Luminosity Upgrade





750 MHz Crabbing Cavity for MEIC at Jefferson Lab











# **HOM Properties of the RF-Dipole Cavity**



### **Wakefield and Impedance**

- T3P EM Time Domain Solver in the SLAC ACE3P Suite
- For the 400 MHz crabbing cavity
- Bunch Parameters
  - $-\sigma = 1.4 \text{ cm}$







# **HOM Damping**

• Widely separated HOMs from the operating mode allows more options in the design of damping schemes

### Waveguide Damping

• Strong damping was achieved with waveguide couplers



### Coaxial Coupling

 A high pass coaxial couplers to exclude the operating mode







Z. Li et.al., in Proceedings of the 3rd IPAC, New Orleans, Louisiana (2012), p. 2185

# **Multipacting Analysis**

Track3P – Particle tracking code in the SLAC ACE3P Suite ٠ For the 400 MHz square-shaped crabbing cavity ۲ Multipacting - Impact Energy vs V T 4000 +‡ 3500 **Deflecting Voltage** 3000 0.5MV to 2.6 MV Impact energy (eV) 2500 1.8 MV to 2.8MV 2000 3.0 MV to 6.0 MV • 1500 1000 500 🗸 T (MV) Multipacting - location z vs VT Multipacting - MP order vs V\_T 0.4 ++ 1.6 —Niobium 0.35 1.4 10 + 0.3 1.2 0.25 1.0 MP order 8.0 EV (m) z 0.2 0.6 0.15 0.4 0.1 0.2 0.05 0.0 0 500 1000 1500 2000 0 0 2 0 1 3 4 5 3 Impact Energy (eV) V\_T (MV) VT (MV)





Z. Li et.al., in Proceedings of the 3rd IPAC, New Orleans, Louisiana (2012), p. 2185

Page 11

# **Mechanical Analysis – 499 MHz Cavity**

• Without any kind of stiffening or pressure sensitivity optimization

#### <u>Pressure</u>

Pressure sensitivity - 212 Hz/torr



Jefferson Lab

### Lorentz Detuning

Room temperature cavity with a uniform 3 mm thickness

- $\Delta f = 6.15 \text{ kHz} @ V_T = 3.0 \text{ MV}$
- $k_L = 61.54 \text{ Hz}/(\text{MV/m})^2$

#### Fabricated cavity at 4 K

- $\Delta f = 4.93 \text{ kHz} @ V_T = 3.0 \text{ MV}$
- $k_L = 49.27 \text{ Hz/(MV/m)}^2$
- Deformation =  $1.2 \,\mu m$





### Mechanical Modes



- Cavity with a 3 mm uniform thickness
- At room temperature and under vacuum





# **Tuning Sensitivity – 499 MHz Cavity**







### **499 MHz RF-Dipole Cavity Fabrication**























### 400 & 750 MHz RF-Dipole Cavity Fabrication

### 400 MHz Crabbing Cavity









### 750 MHz Crabbing Cavity

















### **Properties of Cavities Under Development**

Parameter		A A	5	Ĩ		Alex Cast	illa (ODU	))	Unit
Frequency	400.0		49	9.0	750.0		0.0		MHz
Particle	р		e-		e	e		р	
Deflecting voltage $(V_T^*)$	0.375		0.3		0.2			MV	
Peak electric field $(E_P^*)$	3.9		2.86		4.29			MV/m	
Peak magnetic field $(B_P^*)$	7.13		4.38		9.3			mT	
Required transverse voltage per beam	10.0		5.6		1	1.5		.0	MV
No. of cavities	3		2		1		4		
Transverse voltage per cavity	3.4		3.0		1.5		2.0		MV
Peak magnetic field $(B_P)$	64.7		43.8		69.8		93.0		mT
Peak electric field $(E_P)$	35.4		28.6		32.2		42.9		MV
R <sub>T</sub> R <sub>S</sub>	3.7×10 <sup>4</sup>		3.6×10 <sup>4</sup>		3.7×10 <sup>4</sup>			Ω <sup>2</sup>	
Operating temperature	2.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	к
Surface Resistance $(R_s)^{**}$	11.3	70.0	12.0	100.0	14.0	200.0	14.0	200.0	nΩ
Power dissipation per cavity **	3.6	21.9	3.0	25.0	0.9	12.2	1.6	21.7	W
At $E_T^* = 1 \text{ MV/m}$ ** Estimated									



# Summary

- Development of compact deflecting/crabbing cavities was in response to the strict dimensional requirements in some current applications
- Compact rf-dipole design has
  - Low and balanced surface fields
  - High shunt impedance
  - Has no lower-order-mode with a well-separated fundamental mode
- Work in progress
  - 499 MHz deflecting cavity
    - Fabrication will be completed by Nov-Dec
  - 400 MHz crabbing cavity
    - Preparation for processing
    - Bulk BCP Fixtures and parts are being fabricated for both cavities (Nov-Dec)
  - 750 MHz crabbing cavity
    - Cavity processed with bulk BCP of 150 μm



### **Acknowledgements**

- Jefferson Lab
  - HyeKyoung Park
- ODU
  - Alejandro Castilla
- SLAC
  - Zenghai Li, Lixin Ge
- Niowave
  - Dmitry Gorelov, Terry Grimm
- The work done at ODU is towards my PhD carried out under the supervision of Dr. Jean Delayen





### **Design Evolution**



- To increase mode separation between fundamental modes
- ~18 MHz → ~ 130 MHz
- To improve design rigidity → Less susceptible to mechanical vibrations and deformations
- To lower peak magnetic field
- Reduced peak magnetic field by ~20%





### **Design Evolution**



- To remove higher order modes with field distributions between the cavity outer surface and bar outer surface
- Eliminate multipacting conditions

- To lower peak magnetic field
- Reduced peak magnetic field by ~25%
- To achieve balanced peak surface fields
- $B_{\rm P}/E_{\rm P} \approx 1.5 \, {\rm mT/(MV/m)}$





### **Stress Analysis – 499 MHz Cavity**

#### A: ¥TA Cool down (Room Temp 1.4 atm)

Equivalent Stress Type: Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress Unit: MPa Time: 1

- Fixed support from the top
- Pressure = 0.14186 MPa
- With standard earth gravity



F: VTA Test condition (4K 1 atm) Equivalent Stress Type: Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress Unit: MPa Time: 1

-	27.585 Max
	24.526
_	21.468
4	18.41
_	15.352
	12.294
-	9.2353
-	6.1771
	3.1189
	0.060644 Min



