Polarized Semi-Inclusive Physics Measurements at HERMES and Future Prospects at the Colliders

E.R. Kinney University of Colorado 2nd Electron-Ion Collider Workshop

Jefferson Lab



16 March 2004

Outline

- Semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering
- Polarized Hadron Asymmetries
- Flavor Decomposition using Purity Analysis
- HERMES Experiment/Results
- COMPASS Experiment/Results/Expected Results
- RHIC Spin W Production
- EIC MC Studies/Progress
- Outlook

Semi-inclusive DIS Formalism

 $F_1^h(x,Q^2) \propto \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} dz \sum_f e_f^2 q_f(x,Q^2) \cdot D_f^h(z,Q^2)$



Hadron Asymmetries

$$A_{1}^{h} \approx \frac{g_{1}^{h}}{F_{1}^{h}}(x,Q^{2}) = \frac{\int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} dz \sum_{f} e_{f}^{2} \Delta q_{f}(x,Q^{2}) \cdot D_{f}^{h}(z,Q^{2})}{\int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} dz \sum_{f} e_{f}^{2} q_{f}(x,Q^{2}) \cdot D_{f}^{h}(z,Q^{2})}$$



Purity Analysis

$$A_1^h = \sum_q \frac{e_q^2 q(x) \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} dz D_{q'}^h(z)}{\sum_{q'} e_{q'}^2 q'(x) \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} dz D_{q'}^h(z)} \frac{\Delta q(x)}{q(x)}$$
$$= \sum_q P_q^h \frac{\Delta q}{q}$$

Purities calculated using q(x) + Lund FF + Acceptance
Matrix equation used to perform fit to data:

$$\vec{A}(x) = \mathcal{P}(x) \cdot \vec{Q}(x)$$

HERMES Experiment



Current \approx 30 mA (Beam Polarization) \approx 50%





 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Polarized: H, D, }^{3}\mbox{He} \\ \mbox{Unpolarized: H}_{2}, \mbox{D}_{2}, \, {}^{3,4}\mbox{He, Ne, N}_{2}, \, \mbox{Kr} \\ \langle \mbox{Target Thickness} \, \rangle \approx 10^{13} - 10^{15} \rm{atoms/cm}^{2} \end{array}$



- large solid angle: $|\theta_x| < 170 \text{ mrad}$ $40 < \theta_y < 140 \text{ mrad}$
- momentum resolution \sim 1% from 1 to 27 GeV
- hadron/lepton contamination < 1% (with high efficiency)
- calo energy resolution $\sim 2\% + 5.1\%/\sqrt{E} + 10\%/E$

5 Flavor Analysis Results



- Asymmetries from p and d targets
- Systematic uncertainty dominated by FF dependence
- Consistent with PDF parameterizations
- Sea polarizations set to 0 above x = 0.3
- Anti-strange sea pol = 0
- Low Q2 NLO analysis necessary?
- Sea polarizations consistent with zero

Light Sea Asymmetry



COMPASS at CERN





Beam: Luminosity: 2 · 10⁸ μ⁺/ spill (4.8s / 16.2s) <u>Beam momentum:</u> 160 GeV/c ~5 · 10³² cm⁻² s⁻¹ <u>Beam polarization:</u> -76%

COMPASS Acceptance



Preliminary Inclusive Results

 $\langle Q^2 \rangle$ SMC • E143 40 HERMES - 2002 data only COMPASS 20 6.5 Million DIS events n 10⁻² 10⁻¹ $Q^2 > 1 (GeV/c)^2$ 0.1 < y < 0.90.6 SMC (92,94,95) 0.5 Åď COMPASS(2002 0.4 expect *4 statistics preliminary 0.3 by end of 2004 0.2 0.1 0 -0.1 -0.2 10⁻² 10⁻¹

X _{Bi}

X _{Bi}

Expected Accuracies for Δq



Δq from Polarized pp at RHIC





Single Spin Asymmetries from W Production



Independent method of decomposition
Large integrated
luminosity required, with
high polarization
Studies underway to
understand realistic
detector/background
effects

Δq at an Electron-Ion Collider



•From EIC White Paper

- 5 GeV e on 50 GeV p
- •70% polarizations
- •"Perfect" detection outside

5 degrees

U. Stoesslein

Recent Studies at Colorado

- Optimize energies: 10 GeV electrons on 50, 150, 250 GeV protons
- Study requirements for spectrometer acceptance and pid
- Study luminosity requirements
- Just started, so no conclusions yet...

K- Multiplicities

10 on 50





J. Seele

Conclusions/Outlook

- After HERMES, new measurements at COMPASS and RHIC will significantly improve our knowledge of Δq 's in particular regimes
- New measurements at an EIC will have big impact at low x
- Optimization Studies underway
- Other experiments (Minerva, g5 at EIC)
- Will non-perturbative QCD theorists rise to the challenge of a precise flavor-spin decomposition?