1/32

Measurement of the $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ Dalitz plot distribution at KLOE

Li Caldeira Balkeståhl on behalf of the KLOE-2 collaboration

Department of Physics and Astronomy Uppsala University

2015-06-26



Analysis









- e^+e^- collider at $\sqrt{s} = \mathsf{M}_{\phi}$ (1020 MeV)
- 2 interaction regions
- separate e^+e^- rings
- $\bullet \sim 100$ bunches
- 2.7 ns bunch spacing
- $I_{peak}^{-/+} \sim 2.4/1.5 \text{ A}$
- $\theta_{cross} = 2 \cdot 12.5 \text{ mrad}$

Best performances (1999-2006)

- $L_{peak} = 1.4 \cdot 10^{32} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$
- $\int L dt = 8.5 pb^{-1}/day$





$\mathsf{DA}\phi\mathsf{NE}$ new interaction scheme



- large angle beam crossing
- $\theta_{cross} = 2 \cdot 25 \text{ mrad}$
- smaller horizontal beam size
- crabbed waist sextupoles





KLOE-2 run

- $L_{peak} = 2.0 \cdot 10^{32} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (so far)
- higher background levels than in the past

Analysis









KLOE: DC and EMC in \sim 0.52T

Drift Chamber (4 m diameter, 3.75m long)

 $\bullet~$ Gas Mixture 90% He $+10\%~C_4H_{10}$

•
$$\sigma_{xy} = 150 \ \mu {
m m}; \ \sigma_z = 2 \ {
m mm}$$

•
$$\frac{\delta p_t}{p_t} < 0.4\% \ (\theta > 45^\circ)$$

Electromagnetic Calorimeter

- lead/scintillating fibers
- 98% solid angle coverage

•
$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = \frac{5.7\%}{\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})}}$$

•
$$\sigma_t = rac{57 ext{ ps}}{\sqrt{E(ext{GeV})}} \oplus 140 ext{ ps}$$

PID capabilities



KLOE data taking



KLOE data taking 2001-2006

- 2.5 fb $^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = \mathsf{M}_{\phi}$ ($\sim 8 \cdot 10^9 \ \phi$ produced)
- $\sim 10 \ \text{pb}^{-1}$ scan ($1010, 1018, 1023, 1030 \ \text{MeV})$
- 250 pb⁻¹ at 1000 MeV



Analysis



KLOE-2 Upgrade







- 2+2 taggers (for $e^+e^-
 ightarrow e^+e^-\gamma^*\gamma^*
 ightarrow e^+e^-X$)
- 2 new calorimeters (for low angle γ s from IR & γ s from K_L decays)
- Inner tracker (cylindrical GEM, for better vertex reconstruction and larger low p_t track acceptance)





Analysis



KLOE-2 Upgrade



- New detectors installed
- KLOE-2 runs since November 2014 fully operational detectors







Analysis



- Milestone:
 1 fb⁻¹ until end of June
- Goal: \geq 5 fb⁻¹ in next 2-3 years





 η meson:

- quark composition $\sim rac{u ar{u} + d ar{d} 2s ar{s}}{\sqrt{6}}$
- mass *m* = 547.862(18) MeV
- full width $\Gamma=1.31(5)~\text{keV}$

•
$$I^G = 0^+, \ J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$$

 π^0 meson:

- mass *m* = 134.9766(6) MeV
- $I^G = 1^-, J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$

•
$$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \text{ BR} \sim 99\%$$

• $\pi^0
ightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma \ {\rm BR} \sim 1\%$

Main decays:

- (BR branching ratio)
 - $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ BR \sim 39%
 - $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \text{ BR} \sim 33\%$
 - $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \text{ BR} \sim 23\%$
 - $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma \text{ BR} \sim 4\%$

 $\pi^{+/-}$ mesons:

• mass *m* = 139.57018(35) MeV

•
$$I^G = 1^-, J^P = 0^-$$



Theory: QCD to ChPT



Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

- theory of the strong interaction
- α_s strong interaction coupling



At high energies

- perturbative QCD
- very successful

At low energies

- lattice QCD
- effective field theory: ChPT

Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT)

- (approximate) chiral symmetry of QCD
- other symmetries of QCD
- degrees of freedom: π, K, η
- perturbative expansion in powers of momenta



Theory: $\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$



Slow convergence of ChPT for calculations of $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$:

- $\Gamma_{LO} \sim 70 \text{ eV}$
- $\Gamma_{\textit{LO}+\textit{NLO}} = 160 \pm 50 \text{ eV}$
- $\Gamma_{LO+NLO+NNLO} = 298 \text{ eV}$
- $\Gamma_{exp} = 300 \pm 11 \text{ eV}$

In ChPT
$$\Gamma(\eta o \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) \propto Q^{-4}$$





Dalitz plot



- $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering or $1 \rightarrow 3$ decay
 - amplitude (A) depends on 2 variables
 - usually 2 of the Mandelstam variables (e.g. $s = (P_{\eta} - P_{\pi^0})^2$, $u = (P_{\eta} - P_{\pi^-})^2$)
 - $\Gamma(\eta
 ightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = \int |A(s,u)|^2 ds du$ (over the physical region)





In the $\eta\text{-rest}$ frame:

$$X = \sqrt{3} \frac{T_{+} - T_{-}}{Q_{\eta}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2m_{\eta}Q_{\eta}}(u - t)$$
$$Y = \frac{3T_{0}}{Q_{\eta}} - 1 = \frac{3}{2m_{\eta}Q_{\eta}}\left[(m_{\eta} - m_{\pi^{0}})^{2} - s\right] - 1$$

where

$$Q_{\eta} = T_{+} + T_{-} + T_{0} = m_{\eta} - 2m_{\pi^{+}} - m_{\pi^{0}}$$

$$T_{+}, T_{-}, T_{0} \text{ kinetic energies of the } \pi^{+}, \pi^{-}, \pi^{0}$$

s. *u*, *t* are the Mandelstam variables



$\eta ightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ Dalitz plot





Compare theory and experiment **Dalitz plot parameters**

$$|A(X, Y)|^2 \simeq N(1 + aY + bY^2 + cX + dX^2 + eXY + fY^3 + gX^2Y + hXY^2 + lX^3)$$



Previous results



Experiment	— <i>a</i>	Ь	d	f	g
Gormley(70)	1.17(2)	0.21(3)	0.06(4)	-	-
Layter (73)	1.080(14)	0.03(3)	0.05(3)	-	-
CBarrel (98)	1.22(7)	0.22(11)	0.06(fixed)	-	-
KLOE(08)	$1.090(5)(^{+19}_{-8})$	0.124(6)(10)	$0.057(6)(^{+7}_{-16})$	0.14(1)(2)	-
WASA (14)	1.144(18)	0.219(19)(47)	0.086(18)(15)	0.115(37)	-
BESIII(15)	1.128(15)(8)	0.153(17)(4)	0.085(16)(9)	0.173(28)(21)	-52)(2
Calculations	— <i>a</i>	b	d	f	g
ChPT LO	1.039	0.27	0	0	- I.
ChPT NLO	1.371	0.452	0.053	0.027	-
ChPT NNLO	1.271(75)	0.394(102)	0.055(57)	0.025 (160)	-
dispersive	1.16	0.26	0.10	E) 195	
simplified disp	1.21	0.33	0.04		- ///
NREFT	1.213(14)	0.308(23)	0.050(3)	0.083(19)	-0.039(2)
U ChPT	1.054(25)	0.185(15)	0.079(26)	0.064(12)	-



New KLOE analysis



- More data (\sim 1.6 fb $^{-1}$), different data set (2004-2005 period)
- Reduction of systematic errors
 - Monte Carlo description has been improved
 - Event classification effect cross-checked directly on prescaled data sample
- Provide Dalitz plot parameters
- Provide acceptance corrected, binned data





- $P_{\eta} = P_{\phi} P_{\gamma_{\phi}}$ decay
- $P_{\pi^0} = P_{\eta} P_{\pi^-} P_{\pi^+}$
- select γ 's from π^0 decay by opening angle



Background rejection



Cuts to reject Bhabha scattering events:

- $\bullet\,$ a graphical cut on the angle between the $\gamma{\rm 's}$ and charged $\pi{\rm 's}\,$ momenta
- particle identification with time-of-flight

 Δt between DC track and EMC cluster for π and e hypothesis





18/32

Analysis



Cuts

• $||P_{\pi^0}| - m_{\pi^0}| < 15$ MeV (figure of $P_{\pi^0}^2$)

• Opening angle between π^0 -decay γ 's in the π^0 rest frame (> 165°) Signal efficiency $\epsilon_{sig} = 38\%$



- Fit of MC to data to get scaling factors for background
- Scaling factor from opening angle, difference to missing mass as error



Dalitz plot variables - resolution



Look at $X_{rec} - X_{gen}$ and $Y_{rec} - Y_{gen}$, fit with 2 gaussians.



Taking the width of the "core" Gaussian as an estimate of the resolution:

 $\delta X = 0.021 \qquad \delta Y = 0.032$

31 x 20 bins, $\Delta X = 3.07\delta X$ $\Delta Y = 3.12\delta Y$

Analysis





 $(4.699\pm0.007)\cdot10^6$ events

Fit distribution to get
$$a, b, ...$$

 $|A(X, Y)|^2 \simeq$
 $N(1 + aY + bY^2 + cX + dX^2 + eXY + fY^3 + gX^2Y + hXY^2 + lX^3)$



Dalitz plot parameter fit



Minimize

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{Nbins} \left(\frac{N_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{Nbins} \tilde{\epsilon}_{j} S_{ij} N_{theory,j}}{\sigma_{i}} \right)^{2}$$

with:

- $N_{theory} = \int |A(X, Y)|^2 dPh(X, Y)$
- $N_i = N_{data,i} s_1 B_{i1} s_2 B_{i2}$ background subtracted data content in bin *i*
- $\tilde{\epsilon_j}$ acceptance of bin j
- S_{ij} smearing matrix from bin j to bin i in the Dalitz plot

• Use
$$\hat{s}_{ij} = S_{ij} \cdot \tilde{\epsilon}_j = \frac{N_{rec,i:gen,j}}{N_{gen,j}}$$

• $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma_{N_i}^2 + \sigma_{\hat{s}_{ij}}^2$, error in bin i
 $\sigma_{N_i}^2 = N_{data,i} + s_1^2 \cdot B_{i1} + \sigma_{s_1}^2 \cdot B_{i1}^2 + s_2^2 \cdot B_{i2} + \sigma_{s_2}^2 \cdot B_{i2}^2$
 $\sigma_{\hat{s}_{ij}}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{Nbins} N_{theory,j}^2 \cdot \frac{\hat{s}_{ij} \cdot (1 - \hat{s}_{ij})}{N_{gen,j}}$



Number of bins: 371

а	$b \cdot 10^1$	$d \cdot 10^2$	$f \cdot 10^1$	g·10 ²	χ^2	Prob
-1.104 ± 0.002	1.533 ± 0.028	$\textbf{6.75} \pm \textbf{0.27}$	-	-	1007	10^{-60}
-1.104 ± 0.003	1.420 ± 0.029	$\textbf{7.26} \pm \textbf{0.27}$	1.54 ± 0.06	-	385	0.24
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.030	8.11 ± 0.33	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.89	360	0.56
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.030	8.11 ± 0.32	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.89	354	0.60

Last row also:

$$c \cdot 10^3 = 4.34 \pm 3.39, \qquad e \cdot 1$$

 $h \cdot 10^2 = 1.07 \pm 0.90, \qquad l \cdot 1$

$$e \cdot 10^3 = 2.52 \pm 3.20,$$

 $l \cdot 10^3 = 1.08 \pm 6.54$









Analysis



Minimum photon energy cut standard cut 10 MeV, varied to 15 MeV and 20 MeV Background subtraction scaling factors for each bin separately Choice of binning varied the number of bins $\sim 2\delta_{X,Y}$ to $\sim 5\delta_{X,Y}$ (10) configurations) Track-photon angle cut area of graphical cut varied by $\pm 10\%$ Time of flight two cuts varied separately Photon opening angle cut varying the cut in steps of $3^{\circ} \sim 1\sigma$ Missing mass cut varying the cut in steps of 2.0 MeV $\sim 1\sigma$ Event classification procedure checked with a prescaled data sample without the event classification constraints, evaluated from MC



Event classification procedure



Ratio of Dalitz plot distribution with and without event classification

- $\bullet\,$ in signal MC:91.490 $\pm\,0.004\%$
- \bullet in prescaled (1/20) data: $91.45\pm0.05\%$



Effect of the event classification on signal MC as systematic effect



Summary of systematic errors



	-a	b	d	f	g
prel result	$1.095(3)(^{+3}_{-2})$	0.145(3)(5)	$0.081(3)(^{+6}_{-5})$	$0.141(7)(^{+7}_{-8})$	$-0.044(9)(^{+12}_{-13})$
syst err	Δa	Δb	Δd	Δf	Δg
E_{γ} min	± 0.0006	±0.0012	± 0.0010	± 0.0005	± 0.0016
bkg sub	± 0.0008	±0.0007	± 0.0011	± 0.0006	±0.0038
binning	± 0.0017	± 0.0013	± 0.0009	±0.0036	±0.0044
track-photon	+0 -0.0001	+0 -0.0002	+0.0002 -0.0002	+0.0003 -0	+0.0003 -0.0002
TOF hor	+0.0006 -0.0011	+0.0012 -0.0001	+0.0018 -0.0001	+0.0003 -0.0008	+0.0026 -0.0054
TOF diag	$^{+0}_{-0}$	+0 -0.0001	+0.0003 -0.0001	$^{+0}_{-0}$	+0.0002 -0.0001
γ angle	+0.0014 -0.0005	+0.0002 -0.0001	+0.0021 -0.0012	$+0.0005 \\ -0.0025$	+0.0026 -0.0038
miss mass	+0.0008 -0.0010	+0.0046 -0.0043	+0.0049 -0.0045	+0.0057 -0.0062	+0.0100 -0.0092
event class	± 0.0000	±0.0008	± 0.0006	± 0.0009	±0.0012
sum	+0.0026 -0.0025	+0.0052 -0.0048	+0.0059 -0.0050	+0.0069 -0.0077	+0.0123 -0.0129



Experimental results



Experiment -	— <i>a</i>	Ь	d	f	g
Gormley(70)	1.17(2)	0.21(3)	0.06(4)	-	-
Layter(73)	1.080(14)	0.03(3)	0.05(3)	-	-
CBarrel(98)	1.22(7)	0.22(11)	0.06(fixed)	-	-
KLOE(08)	$1.090(5)(^{+19}_{-8})$	0.124(6)(10)	$0.057(6)(^{+7}_{-16})$	0.14(1)(2)	
WASA(14)	1.144(18)	0.219(19)(47)	0.086(18)(15)	0.115(37)	
BESIII(15)	1.128(15)(8)	0.153(17)(4)	0.085(16)(9)	0.173(28)(21)	-
this work	$1.095(3)(^{+3}_{-2})$	0.145(3)(5)	$0.081(3)(^{+6}_{-5})$	$0.141(7)(^{+7}_{-8})$	$-0.044(9)(^{+12}_{-13})$
this work	1.104(3)(2)	$0.142(3)(^{+5}_{-4})$	$0.073(3)(^{+4}_{-3})$	$0.154(6)(^{+4}_{-5})$	- W _ W /

Preliminary



Experimental results





Systematic and statistical errors added in quadrature



Acceptance corrected data







Agreement with full results



Full smearing matrix (preliminary)

 $a = -1.095(3)\binom{+3}{-2}$ b = 0.145(3)(5) $d = 0.081(3)\binom{+6}{-5}$ $f = 0.141(7)\binom{+7}{-8}$ $g = -0.044(9)\binom{+12}{-13}$

a = -1.104(3)(2)

 $b = 0.142(3)(^{+5}_{-4})$

 $d = 0.073(3)(^{+4}_{-3})$

 $f = 0.154(6)\binom{+4}{-5}$

Acceptance corrected data

a = -1.092(3) b = 0.145(3) d = 0.081(3) f = 0.137(6) g = -0.044(8) a = -1.101(3) b = 0.142(3) d = 0.072(3)f = 0.150(6)

Acceptance corrected data: simpler, approximate way to compare experimental distribution to theory







- New high statistics, precision measurement of $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ Dalitz plot distribution
 - Dalitz plot parameters and acceptance corrected distribution
 - first measurement of g parameter ($\sim 3\sigma$ level)
 - paper in preparation
 - work in progress: extract Q^2 in collaboration with Emilie Passemar
- KLOE-2 data taking campaign ongoing
 - New detectors installed and working
 - Goal \geq 5 fb⁻¹ in 2-3 years

Thanks for your attention!



Cut to reject Bhabha events



Smallest angle between γ (from $\pi^0)$ and P_{π^+} vs smallest angle between γ (from $\pi^0)$ and P_{π^-}









Fit of Monte Carlo to data to get scaling factors for background

Scaling factors	Signal	$\omega\pi^0$ background	rest background	χ^2
Opening angle	0.1109(1)	1.530(6)	1.222(3)	$7.2 \cdot 10^{3}$
Missing mass squared	0.1131(1)	1.839(5)	0.973(3)	$7.8 \cdot 10^4$

Scaling factor from opening angle, difference to missing mass as error

















31 bins in $X \Leftrightarrow \Delta X = 3.07\sigma_x$, 20 bins in $Y \Leftrightarrow \Delta Y = 3.12\sigma_Y$ Number of bins: 371

а	b.10 ¹	c · 10 ³	d · 10 ²	e·10 ³	f.10 ¹	g·10 ²	h ∙ 10 ²	I.10 ³	χ^2	Prob
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.030	-4.34 ± 3.39	8.11 ± 0.32	2.52 ± 3.20	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.89	1.07 ± 0.90	1.08 ± 6.54	354	0.60
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.031	-	8.12 ± 0.33	3.20 ± 3.71	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.89	0.33 ± 0.68	-6.22 ± 3.03	356	0.58
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.031	-4.68 ± 3.44	8.11 ± 0.33	-	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.89	1.37 ± 0.84	1.96 ± 6.61	354	0.60
-1.035 ± 0.002	1.598 ± 0.029	-4.29 ± 3.45	9.14 ± 0.33	2.45 ± 3.62	-	-11.66 ± 0.84	1.06 ± 0.90	1.03 ± 6.72	792	10^{-34}
-1.104 ± 0.003	1.419 ± 0.031	-4.33 ± 3.39	7.26 ± 0.28	2.46 ± 3.67	1.54 ± 0.06	-	1.07 ± 0.89	1.09 ± 6.46	379	0.26
-1.095 ± 0.004	1.454 ± 0.030	-1.66 ± 2.54	8.11 ± 0.34	4.69 ± 3.25	1.41 ± 0.08	-4.37 ± 1.10		-2.43 ± 5.72	355	0.59
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.030	-3.84 ± 1.66	8.11 ± 0.32	2.64 ± 3.55	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.90	1.00 ± 0.82	-	354	0.61
-1.104 ± 0.002	1.533 ± 0.028	-	6.75 ± 0.27	-	-	- //	A 1/	1 12	1007	10^{-60}
-1.104 ± 0.003	1.420 ± 0.029	-	7.26 ± 0.27	-	1.54 ± 0.06	- / / /	/ /	<0 - <	385	0.24
-1.104 ± 0.003	1.420 ± 0.029	-1.66 ± 1.08	7.26 ± 0.27	-	1.54 ± 0.06	- / / / 2			383	0.25
-1.104 ± 0.003	1.420 ± 0.029	-	7.26 ± 0.27	1.49 ± 2.70	1.54 ± 0.06	-	1 - 10	-	385	0.28
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.030	-	8.11 ± 0.33	-	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.89	S// -// S	10-	360	0.56
-1.104 ± 0.003	1.420 ± 0.028	-	7.26 ± 0.27	-	1.54 ± 0.06	-	0.07 ± 0.48		385	0.23
-1.104 ± 0.003	1.420 ± 0.029	-	7.26 ± 0.27	-	1.54 ± 0.06	-		-4.00 ± 2.59	383	0.25
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.030	-	8.11 ± 0.33	-	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.89	-	-	360	0.56
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.030	-1.66 ± 1.09	8.11 ± 0.32	-	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.88		/ 6- 0	358	0.58
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.030	-	8.11 ± 0.32	1.53 ± 2.77	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.88			360	0.55
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.032	-	8.11 ± 0.36	-	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.88	0.07 ± 0.49	-	360	0.55
-1.095 ± 0.003	1.454 ± 0.030	-	8.11 ± 0.32	-	1.41 ± 0.07	-4.37 ± 0.88		-4.02 ± 2.57	358	0.58



Fit the ratios with straight lines in X slices

Black - UFO, Red - MC signal, Blue - background subtracted UFO





Close to diagonal smearing matrix



Percentage of reconstructed events in \dots that were generated in bin I to the total number of reconstructed events generated in bin I

- the same bin: 51.3 %
- in 9 closest bins (1 bin ring): 96.5%
- in 25 closest bins (2 bin ring): 98.6%



Percentage of events in

- X diagonal: 68.9 %
- X diagonal ± 1 bin: 97.8%

- Y diagonal: 68.9 %
- Y diagonal ± 1 bin: 97.1%



Summary of systematic errors



	-a	b	d	f
result	1.104(3)(2)	$0.142(3)(^{+5}_{-4})$	$0.073(3)(^{+4}_{-3})$	$0.154(6)(^{+4}_{-5})$
syst	Δa	Δb	Δd	Δf
E_{γ} min	± 0.0009	± 0.0010	± 0.0006	± 0.0000
bkg sub	± 0.0001	± 0.0005	± 0.0006	±0.0008
γ -track	+0 -0.0001	+0.0000 -0.0002	$^{+0.0001}_{-0.0001}$	+0.0004 -0
bin	± 0.0009	± 0.0014	± 0.0009	±0.0026
TOF hor	+0 -0.0006	$+0.0014 \\ -0.0006$	+0.0007 -0	+0.0019 -0.0015
TOF diag	$+0.0000 \\ -0.0000$	$^{+0.0000}_{-0.0001}$	$+0.0003 \\ -0.0000$	$+0.0000 \\ -0.0000$
γ angle	0.0006 -0.0000	0.0001 -0.0001	0.0014 -0.0008	0 -0.0013
$m\pi^0$	0.0010 -0.0010	0.0039 -0.0036	0.0031 -0.0026	0.0028 -0.0035
EVCL	±0.0002	± 0.0009	± 0.0009	±0.0013
sum	0.0018 -0.0018	0.0046 0.0041	0.0038 0.0031	0.0045 -0.0051



Previous results



Experiment	— <i>a</i>	Ь	d	f	g
Gormley(70)	1.17(2)	0.21(3)	0.06(4)	-	-
Layter (73)	1.080(14)	0.03(3)	0.05(3)	-	-
CBarrel (98)	1.22(7)	0.22(11)	0.06(fixed)	-	-
KLOE(08)	$1.090(5)(^{+19}_{-8})$	0.124(6)(10)	$0.057(6)(^{+7}_{-16})$	0.14(1)(2)	-
WASA (14)	1.144(18)	0.219(19)(47)	0.086(18)(15)	0.115(37)	-
Calculations	— <i>a</i>	Ь	d	f	g
ChPT LO	1.039	0.27	0	0	-
ChPT NLO	1.371	0.452	0.053	0.027	e la
ChPT NNLO	1.271(75)	0.394(102)	0.055(57)	0.025 (160)	E T
dispersive	1.16	0.26	0.10	- / / .	Com
simplified disp	1.21	0.33	0.04	-///	
NREFT	1.213(14)	0.308(23)	0.050(3)	0.083(19)	-0.039(2)
U ChPT	1.054(25)	0.185(15)	0.079(26)	0.064(12)	

M. Gormley et al., Phys. Rev. D2, 501 (1970)

J. Layter et al., Phys. Rev. D7, 2565 (1973)

A. Abele et al. (Crystal Barrel Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B417, 197 (1998)

F. Ambrosino *et al.* (The KLOE collaboration), *JHEP*, Vol 5, page 006 (2008)

P. Adlarson *et al.* (WASA-at-COSY Collaboration), *Phys.Rev.* **C90**, 045207 (2014).

ChPT (LO, NLO, NNLO): J. Bijnens and K. Ghorbani, *JHEP* 0711, 030 (2007).

dispersive: J. Kambor, C. Wiesendanger and D. Wyler, *Nucl.Phys.* B465, 215 (1996).

simplified disp: J. Bijnens and J. Gasser, *Phys.Scripta* **199**, 34 (2002), NREFT: S. P. Schneider, B. Kubis and C. Ditsche, *JHEP* **1102**, 028 (2011). U ChPT: B. Borasoy and R. Nissler, *Eur.Phys.J.* **A26**, 333 (2005).