Status of Analysis of Compton Scattering Yelena Prok July 21, 2009



Binning

```
10 bins of 11 echannels each for 4.9-5.5 GeV
6 'hand-formed' bins for 2.0-3.1 GeV
460-475
492-502
505-520
511-530
552-562
563-575
```

Basic Analysis

Starting with raw (not skim) files Applying cuts used to create skim files $(e_1 + e_2) > 3.5 (1.0) \text{ GeV}$ $|px_1+px_2|<0.025 \text{ GeV}$ lpy1+py2l<0.025 GeV $l(e_1+e_2)/eb-1.l<0.5$ Choose first recorded bit 2 per event to give HyCal time Look for photons within 10 ns window of HyCal Choose the one "closest" in time to Hycal Form all possible combinations of clusters in events with at least 2 clusters, with $e_{min}=0.5$ GeV Central region and pair-affected areas are cut out geometrically

Event Selection (Be)

Reconstruct the vertex of Compton reaction $Z=(x^2+y^2)^{0.5}[\alpha/(E/e-1)]^{0.5}$

Apply kinematic constraints: energy and momentum conservation Reconstruct Z again









Data: signal: double gaussian background : gaussian +p3

Experimental Z-distributions



Background/Signal ~ 21 % (carbon), 27% (Be)

Adding background to MC



MC z-distributions: Carbon



Added inelastic background -> efficiency went down by 2-3%

MC z-distributions: Be



Added inelastic background-> efficiency went down by 1.5-2%

Total Xsec, Be, 4.9-5.5 GeV



Total Xsec, C, 2.0-5.5 GeV



Total Xsec, C, 2.0-3.1 GeV



Total Xsec, C, 4.9-5.5 GeV





Yield Stability





Empty Target



Y vs X



Z-distribution





Item	2.0 < E < 3.1 GeV, C	4.9 < E < 5.5 GeV, C	4.9 < E < 5.5 GeV, Be
Target thickness	0.1?%	0.1?%	0.1?%
Tagging ratio stability	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%
Photon flux	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Efficiency	0.3%	0.25%	0.25%
Beam alignment	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Hycal gains	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Minimum energy cut	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%
Kinematical fitting	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
Background subtraction	1.2%	1.4%	1.2%
Total	2.2%	2.3%	2.2%





Data Set	2.0 < E < 3.1 GeV, C	4.9 < E < 5.5 GeV, C	4.9 <e<5.5 be<="" gev,="" th=""></e<5.5>
$<\sigma_{RC}^{expt}/\sigma_{RC}^{thr}>$	1.02	1.01	1.00
<statistical error=""></statistical>	0.3%	0.6%	2.0
Systematic error	2.2%	2.3%	2.2%

