- P.A.M. Guichon and M. Vanderhaeghen, hep-ph/0306007;

- A. Afanasev: Axial-vector dominance fit of 2-photon exchange;

- P.G.Blunden, W. Melnichouk and J.A. Tjon, nucl-th/0306076.

Q^2 (GeV/c)^2	0.61	2.10	3.50
$\Delta(A_T/A_L)/(A_T/A_L)$	4.3%	7.1%	10.7%
$\Delta A_{pr}/A_{pr}$	-0.2%	2.6%	3.0%
$\Delta(A_T/A_L)/(A_T/A_L)$	0.3%	0.8%	1.4%
$\Delta A_{pr}/A_{pr}$	8.9%	10.5%	6.8%

OUR CONCLUSION

- At the moment theories about the two photon exchange effect are not well developed yet and cannot give a consistent explanation of the data.

- The current understanding is that the correction to the elastic asymmetry is small, based on the same argument as the recoil polarization method as follows (W. Melnichouk):

  "The size of the 2-gamma effects for asymmetry measurements is much smaller than in the Rosenbluth separation case. Our preliminary results for the polarization transfer corrections are very small. As long as one is taking a ratio of cross sections, the epsilon dependence should cancel to a much larger extent than in the cross section itself. I wouldn't expect the different kinematical factors associated with the initial state polarization cf. the final state polarization to alter the qualitative conclusions about the cancellation of the epsilon dependence."